Experimental approaches to flow and nonflow in small systems

Shengquan Tuo



9th Workshop of the APS Topical Group on Hadronic Physics

13-16 April, 2021

Flow in small systems



What is the smallest possible droplet of QGP?

How does nonflow affect our understanding of the onset of QGP production?

Nonflow correlations

- Flow correlations are global and collective
- Nonflow correlations are local, mostly short range and related to a few particles
 - Correlations due to momentum conservation
 - $_{\odot}$ Particle correlations inside jet cones
 - Particle decays



Structure of the two particle correlations



Long range two particle correlations



Peripheral subtraction in two particle correlations



 Nonflow due to back to back correlations from momentum conservation is removed by subtracting correlations in low multiplicity events, assuming flow is zero there (method is tested in Pythia and HIJING models)

The effect is large for multiplicity less than 100

Nonflow subtraction with template fitting



Nonflow subtraction at different energies



The method behavior depends on collision energies

Two particle flow and $< p_T > correlations$



Signature of initial momentum flow from CGC:

- Sign change of the correlator with IP-Glasma + Music + UrQMD
- No sign change without initial momentum anisotropies

Two particle v2 and <pT> correlations from nonflow



- The results from PYTHIA8 already showing sign change
- Subevent method is used for the flow in two particle correlations
- No peripheral subtraction is done

 Must have assumptions about flow in the lowest multiplicity range, model dependent results

 The onset and multiplicity dependence of flow are strongly related to the assumptions

Flow from multiparticle correlations

Subevent method with multiparticle correlations

Phys. Rev. C 96, 034906 (2017)

- The standard method has large nonflow contribution in small multiplicity ranges.
- The subevent method works much better

Nonflow in subevent method

Looping method

- Need to study correlations vs. η gap

- Run out of statistics quickly
- Any solutions?
- The idea behind Q-cumulant method is to avoid using nested loops

 If we just loop over particle azimuthal angles, it is very easy to study the η gap dependence

• Also with much better statistics since it keeps all possible combinations

Time complexity:

- $O(N_{trk}^{n})$, for n particle cumulant with N_{trk} total number of particles per event
- The 8 particle cumulant in an event with 1000 particles will take ~1 billion years
- \bullet However, our interest is in the small system with N_{trk} less than 100
- It takes a few seconds to calculate the 4 particle cumulant with $N_{\mbox{trk}}\mbox{=}100$
- It could be much faster after applying η gaps between particles

Subevent and looping method

Nonflow is less as more subevents are applied

Subevent and looping method

• Nonflow is less as more subevents are applied

• The looping method remove most of the nonflow

Summary

- Nonflow has to be carefully subtracted for flow study in small systems
- The current subtraction methods in two particle correlations need assumptions about flow in the lowest multiplicity range and the results are model dependent
- Multiparticle correlations with subevent and looping methods are better for dealing with nonflow