Collective flow in single hit QCD kinetic theory

Robin Törnkvist

Lund University
Department of Astronomy and Theoretical Physics

16-04-2021

Submitted to arXiv
Aleksi Kurkela, Aleksas Mazeliauskas and RT

European Research Council
Established by the European Commission
Grant:collectiveQCD

TWO PICTURES OF HADRON COLLISIONS

pp



SHERPA, JHEP 02 (2009)

AA



UrQMD, Marcus Bleicher

COLLECTIVITY IN SMALL SYSTEMS

ALICE, Phys.Rev.Lett. 123 (2019)



QCD EFFECTIVE KINETIC THEORY

- Boltzmann equation

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}})\, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) = -C[f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)].$$

  Relevant LO scattering processes[1]:
  - $2 \leftrightarrow 2$ elastic scattering.
  - "$1 \leftrightarrow 2$" collinear radiation.
- Out-of-equilibrium QGP description.
- Bottom-up thermalization in large systems[2].

We use EKT to study collective flow in small systems.



[1] P. Arnold, G.D. Moore, and L.G. Yaffe, Journal of High Energy Physics (2003).
[2] R. Baier, A.H. Mueller, D. Schiff, D.T. Son, Phys. Lett. B 502 (2001)

SINGLE HIT APPROXIMATION

Expand in the number of scatterings: $f = f^{(0)} + f^{(1)} + \ldots$.

Free streaming $\quad (\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) f^{(0)} = 0.$

1st scattering $\quad (\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) f^{(1)} = -C[f^{(0)}].$

$$f^{(1)}(\tau) = -\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' C[f^{(0)}](\tau').$$

INITIAL CONDITIONS

Linearized perturbation of symmetric background,

$$f^{(0)} = \bar{f} + \delta f = \bar{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) \left(1 + \epsilon \frac{r^n}{R_0^n} \cos(n\phi_{\mathbf{x}})\right).$$

CGC motivated momentum distribution,

$$\bar{f} = \frac{A}{p_\xi} e^{-\frac{2p_\xi^2}{3}}, \quad p_\xi \equiv \frac{\sqrt{p_\perp^2 + \xi^2 p_z^2}}{Q(\mathbf{x}_\perp)}, \quad Q(\mathbf{x}_\perp) = Q_0 e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}_\perp|^2}{4R_0^2}}.$$

Initially $\bar{f}$ isotropic in $\mathbf{p}_\perp$.



ANISOTROPIC ENERGY FLOW

We find Fourier harmonics of transverse energy flow

$$\frac{dE_\perp}{d\eta d\phi_p} = \tau \int d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \int \frac{p_\perp dp_\perp dp_z}{(2\pi)^3} p_\perp f(\tau, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{dE_\perp}{2\pi d\eta}\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1} v_n \cos[n(\phi_\mathbf{p})]\right).$$

In single hit approximation

$$v_n = -\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' \tau' \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{p_\perp \cos[n(\phi_\mathbf{p})]\delta C_{2\leftrightarrow 2}\left[\bar{f}, \delta f\right]}{dE_\perp/(2\pi d\eta)|_{\bar{f}}}.$$

ESCAPE MECHANISM

ELLIPTIC FLOW



$\tau \sim 0.7R_0$ $\tau \sim R_0$ $\tau \sim 1.3R_0$

ESCAPE MECHANISM

TRIANGULAR FLOW



$\tau \sim 0.7R_0$ $\tau \sim R_0$ $\tau \sim 1.3R_0$

COLLECTIVE FLOW RESPONSE





$$v_n = \int_{\tau_0/R_0}^{\infty} d\hat{\tau}' \int d\hat{r}\; dv_n(\hat{\tau}', \hat{r})$$

CONFORMAL SCALING

Due to conformal scaling parameters appear only in certain combinations

$$\hat{R} = \lambda^2 R_0 Q_0 \hat{A}, \quad \hat{A} \equiv \frac{A\tau_0}{\xi R_0}, \quad \hat{m}_g^2 = \frac{m_g^2}{Q_0^2}\frac{\tau_0}{R_0}.$$

EKT single hit flow response given by the scaling formula

$$\frac{v_n}{\varepsilon_n} = \hat{R}\left[\hat{v}_n^{\text{cl.}}(\hat{m}_g^2) + \hat{A}\,\hat{v}_n^{\text{b.e.}}(\hat{m}_g^2)\right].$$

- $\hat{v}_n^{\text{cl.}}$ - contribution from classical loss/gain term.
- $\hat{v}_n^{\text{b.e.}}$ - contribution from Bose-enhanced loss/gain term.

CONFORMAL SCALING

Test scaling for many different configurations with numerical simulations.



$$\frac{v_n}{\varepsilon_n} = \hat{R}\left[\hat{v}_n^{\mathrm{cl.}}(\hat{m}_g^2) + \hat{A}\,\hat{v}_n^{\mathrm{b.e.}}(\hat{m}_g^2)\right]$$

ELLIPTIC FLOW IN SMALL SYSTEMS





$$v_2 \approx \varepsilon_2 \hat{R} \left[1.3(\hat{m}_g^2)^{-0.44} + \hat{A} 4.2(\hat{m}_g^2)^{-0.59} \right] \cdot 10^{-3}$$

Pocket formula used with realistic input parameters for small systems.

CONCLUSIONS

- First study of QCD EKT in small systems.
- Non-trivial scaling properties of the collective flow.
- Identified both similarities and differences between toy models.
- Reasonable order of magnitude of v_2, smaller than ideal hydrodynamics.

Outlook:

- $p_\perp$-dependence.
- v_3, v_4, $\ldots$.
- Higher order in scatterings.

CONCLUSIONS

- First study of QCD EKT in small systems.
- Non-trivial scaling properties of the collective flow.
- Identified both similarities and differences between toy models.
- Reasonable order of magnitude of v_2, smaller than ideal hydrodynamics.

Outlook:

- $p_\perp$-dependence.
- v_3, v_4, $\ldots$.
- Higher order in scatterings.

Thank you for listening.

BACKUP: KINETIC THEORY

Defines collision term,

$$(\partial_t + \overline{v} \cdot \nabla_{\overline{x}})\, f = -C^{2\leftrightarrow 2}[f] - C^{''1\leftrightarrow 2''}[f]$$

where e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} C^s_{2\leftrightarrow 2}[f](\bar{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\nu_s}\frac{1}{4}\sum_{abcd}(2\pi)^3 \int_{\mathbf{pkp'k'}} |\mathcal{M}^{ab}_{cd}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \times \delta^{(4)}(P + K - P' - K') \\ \times \{(f^a_{\mathbf{p}} f^b_{\mathbf{k}}(1 \pm f^c_{\mathbf{p}'})(1 \pm f^d_{\mathbf{k}'})) - (f^c_{\mathbf{p}'} f^d_{\mathbf{k}'}(1 \pm f^a_{\mathbf{p}})(1 \pm f^b_{\mathbf{k}}))\} \\ \times \left[\delta(\bar{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p})\delta^{as} + \delta(\bar{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{k})\delta^{bs} - \delta(\bar{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p}')\delta^{cs} - \delta(\bar{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{k}')\delta^{ds}\right] \end{aligned}$$

BACKUP: LINEAR

$$v_n = -\int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' \tau' \int r dr D_n(\tau', r)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n(\tau, r) = \epsilon \frac{1}{16\nu_g} \left(\frac{dE_\perp}{2\pi d\eta}\bigg|_{\bar{f}} \right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{pkp'k'}} |\mathcal{M}(\bar{m}_g^2)|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P + K - P' - K') \\
\times \left[\frac{\delta|\mathcal{M}(\bar{m}_g^2, \delta m_g'^2)|^2}{|\mathcal{M}(\bar{m}_g^2)|^2} \left\{ \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}} \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}} [1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}'}][1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}'}] - \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}'} \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}'} [1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}}][1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}}] \right\} \right. \\
\times (p_\perp \cos(n\phi_{\mathbf{p}}) + k_\perp \cos(n\phi_{\mathbf{k}}) - k'_\perp \cos(n\phi_{\mathbf{k}'}) - p'_\perp \cos(n\phi_{\mathbf{p}'})) \\
+ \left\{ \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}} \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}} [1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}'}][1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}'}] \left(\frac{\bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}'}}{1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}'}} C_{\mathbf{p}'} + \frac{\bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}'}}{1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}'}} C_{\mathbf{k}'} + C_{\mathbf{p}} + C_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \right. \\
\left. \left. - \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}'} \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}'} [1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}}][1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}}] \left(C_{\mathbf{p}'} + C_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{\bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}}}{1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{p}}} C_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{\bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}}}{1 + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}}} C_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \right\} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

BACKUP: EKT VS ITA



BACKUP: EKT VS ITA





BACKUP: EKT VS ITA