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## Quarkonium Families



Quarkonia: bound states of $c \bar{c}$ or $b \bar{b}$

- combination of two spin $1 / 2$ particles with orbital angular momentum $\rightarrow$ different spin states ${ }^{2 S+1} L_{J}$
- all color singlets ${ }^{2 S+1} L_{J}{ }^{[1]}$
- produced in $h h, \gamma \mathrm{p}, \gamma \gamma$, and $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$
- $S$ states below the $H \bar{H}(H=D, B)$ threshold decay electromagnetically into $\ell^{+} \ell^{-}$


## Polarization and Angular Distribution

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\psi\rangle=a_{-1}\left|J_{z}=-1\right\rangle+a_{0}\left|J_{z}=0\right\rangle+a_{+1}\left|J_{z}=+1\right\rangle, \quad \sum\left|a_{J_{z}}\right|^{2}=1 \\
\lambda_{\vartheta}=\frac{1-3\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}}{1+\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}}, \quad \lambda_{\varphi}=\frac{2 \operatorname{Re}\left[a_{+} a_{-1}^{*}\right]}{1+\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}}, \quad \lambda_{\vartheta \varphi}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[a_{0}^{*}\left(a_{+}-a_{-}\right)\right]}{1+\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}} \\
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} \propto \frac{1}{3+\lambda_{\vartheta}}\left[1+\lambda_{\vartheta} \cos ^{2} \vartheta+\lambda_{\varphi} \sin ^{2} \vartheta \cos (2 \varphi)+\lambda_{\vartheta \varphi} \sin (2 \vartheta) \cos \varphi\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- For a single elementary process, the polarized-to-total cross section can be calculated as $a J_{z}$ 's. Combinations of $a J_{z}$ 's gives different angular distributions.
- However, there is no combination that would give $\lambda_{\vartheta}=\lambda_{\varphi}=\lambda_{\vartheta \varphi}=0$.
- An unpolarized production can only be described by a mixture of sub-processes or
 randomization modeling.


## Polarization Measurement



- There are three commonly used choices for the $z$-axis, namely $z_{H X}$ (helicity), $z_{C S}$ (Collins-Soper), and $z_{G J}$ (Gottfried-Jackson)
- $\vartheta$ is defined as the angle between the $z$-axis and the direction of travel for the $\ell^{+}$in the quarkonium rest frame


## Extracting Polarization

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} \propto \frac{1}{3+\lambda_{\vartheta}}\left[1+\lambda_{\vartheta} \cos ^{2} \vartheta+\lambda_{\varphi} \sin ^{2} \vartheta \cos (2 \varphi)+\lambda_{\vartheta \varphi} \sin (2 \vartheta) \cos \varphi\right]
$$

- Polarization parameters can be obtained by fitting the angular spectra as a function of $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$
- One can write $\varphi_{\vartheta}=\varphi-\frac{\pi}{2} \mp \frac{\pi}{4}$ for $\cos \vartheta \lessgtr 0$, then ${ }^{[1]}$
- $\frac{d \sigma}{d \varphi_{\vartheta}} \propto 1+\frac{\sqrt{2} \lambda_{\vartheta \varphi}}{3+\lambda_{\vartheta}} \cos \varphi_{\vartheta}$



${ }^{1}$ I. Abt et al. (HERA-B Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 60, 517 (2009).


## Importance of Polarization

- Polarization predictions are strong tests of production models
- Detector acceptance depends on polarization hypothesis
- Understanding polarization helps narrow systematic uncertainties

${ }^{2}$ R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1645 (2011).
${ }^{3}$ G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. B 850, 387 (2011).


## Quarkonium Polarization Puzzle

## Quarkonium Polarization Puzzle

- mechanism of producing quarkonium has not yet been understood
- non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD), a common method to calculate quarkonium production, has difficulties describing yield and polarization simultaneously with a low- $p_{T}$ cut


## Non Relativistic QCD (NRQCD) [Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage 95]

- e.g. for $J / \psi, \sigma_{J / \psi}=\sum_{n} \sigma_{c \bar{c}[n]}\left\langle\mathcal{O}^{J / \psi}[n]\right\rangle$
- both color singlet term $n={ }^{3} S_{1}^{[1]}$ and color octet terms ${ }^{1} S_{0}^{[8]},{ }^{3} S_{1}^{[8]}$, and ${ }^{3} P_{j}^{[8]}$ contributes to the production
- mixing of Long Distance Matrix Elements (LDMEs $\left.=\left\langle\mathcal{O}^{J / \psi}[n]\right\rangle\right)$ are determined by fitting to data, usually $p_{T}$ distributions above some $p_{T}$ cut


## Polarization Puzzle ${ }^{[4]}$


${ }^{4}$ N. Brambilla et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 2981 (2014)

## The Improved Color Evaporation Model (ICEM)

[Ma, Vogt (PRD 94, 114029 (2016).)]
$\sigma=\left.F_{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{i, j} \int_{M_{\psi}}^{2 m_{H}} d M \int d x_{i} d x_{j} f_{i}\left(x_{i}, \mu_{F}\right) f_{j}\left(x_{j}, \mu_{F}\right) d \hat{\sigma}_{i j \rightarrow c \bar{c}+X}\left(p_{c \bar{c}}, \mu_{R}\right)\right|_{p_{c \bar{c}}=\frac{M}{M_{\psi}} p_{\psi}}$,
where $M_{\psi}$ is the mass of the charmonium state, $\psi$.

- all Quarkonium states are treated like $Q \bar{Q}(Q=c, b)$ below $H \bar{H}$ ( $H=D, B$ ) threshold
- all diagrams for $Q \bar{Q}$ production included, independent of color
- able to describe relative production of $\psi(2 S)$ to $J / \psi$
- fewer parameters than NRQCD (one $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ for each Quarkonium state)
- distinction between the momentum of the $c \bar{c}$ pair and that of charmonium so that the $p_{T}$ spectra will be softer and thus may explain the high $p_{T}$ data better
- $F_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is fixed by comparison of NLO calculation of $\sigma_{\mathcal{Q}}^{C E M}$ to $\sqrt{s}$ for $J / \psi$ and $\Upsilon, \sigma\left(x_{F}>0\right)$ and $B d \sigma /\left.d y\right|_{y=0}$ for $J / \psi, B d \sigma /\left.d y\right|_{y=0}$ for $\Upsilon$


## Collinear Polarized ICEM at $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{3}\right)^{[5]}$

## Production distribution

$$
\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d p_{T} d y}=F_{\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{i, j=\{q, \bar{q}, g\}} \int_{M_{\mathcal{Q}}}^{2 m_{H}} d M_{\psi} \int d \hat{s} d x_{1} d x_{2} f_{i / p}\left(x_{1}, \mu^{2}\right) f_{j / p}\left(x_{2}, \mu^{2}\right) d \hat{\sigma}_{i j \rightarrow c \bar{c}+X},
$$

- We consider all 16 diagrams from $\mathrm{gg} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \overline{\mathrm{c} g}, 5(+5)$ from $\mathrm{gq}(\bar{q}) \rightarrow c \bar{c} \mathrm{q}(\bar{q})$, and 5 from $q \bar{q} \rightarrow c \bar{c} g$ with the projection operator applied at the diagram level.
- The $c \bar{c}$ produced are the proto- $J / \psi$ before hardonization.
- We used the CT14 PDFs in our calculations.
- $k_{T}$-smearing is applied to the initial state partons to provide better description at low $p_{T}$
- First $p_{T}$-dependent polarization results using collinear factorization
- $1.18<m_{c}<1.36 \mathrm{GeV}, \mu_{F} / m_{T}=2.1_{-0.85}^{+2.55}, \mu_{R} / m_{T}=1.6_{-0.12}^{+0.11}$
- same set of variations used in MV [2016] and NVF [PRC 87, 014908 (2013)]
${ }^{5}$ V. Cheung and R. Vogt, submitted.


## Collinear ICEM Unpolarized Cross Sections ${ }^{[5]}$



- $k_{T}$-smearing gives a small kick $<k_{T}^{2}>\sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ to the inital state parton.
- The uncertainty band ${ }^{[5]}$ is constructed by varying the charm quark mass, factorization scale, and renormalization scale.
- We find agreement with the $p_{T}$-distribution measured by the $\mathrm{LHCb}{ }^{[6]}$.

[^0]
## Polarization Parameters in Collinear ICEM ${ }^{[5]}$



- We find agreement with LHCb data ${ }^{[6]}$ at small and moderate $p_{T}$.
- Difference between the prediction and experimental results in high $p_{T}$ is frame dependent.


## Invariant Polarization Parameter in Collinear ICEM ${ }^{[5]}$




$$
\operatorname{ICEM}\left(p_{T}=12 \mathrm{GeV}\right)
$$



$$
\text { LHCb data }\left(10<p_{T}<15 \mathrm{GeV}\right)
$$

- The frame-invariant polarization parameter $\tilde{\lambda}=\frac{\lambda_{\vartheta}+3 \lambda_{\varphi}}{1-\lambda_{\varphi}}$
- Comparing the frame-invariant polarization paremeter removes frame-induced kinematic dependencies
- We find agreement with the invariant polarization measured by the LHCb ${ }^{[6]}$.


## Conclusion and Future

## (I)CEM

- Less rigorous
- Fewer fit parameters
- Applied extensively to only hadroproduction (so far)


## NRQCD

- More rigorous
- More fit parameters
- Applied to all collision systems


## In this talk, I

- outlined the quarkonium polarization puzzle
- showed the latest attempt to solve the polarization puzzle in the ICEM

In the future, we

- anticpate the feed down from $P$ states can explain the discrepancies in high $p_{T}$.
- will move from hadroproduction to other collision systems.


## Backup Slides

## $C G C+N R Q C D^{[7]}$

- is a solution to the polarization puzzle where gluon distribution is calculated using CGC and the conversion of $Q \bar{Q}$ is described by NRQCD formulation
- able to describe all polarization parameters for $p_{T}<15 \mathrm{GeV}$


${ }^{7}$ Y. Q. Ma, T. Stebel, R. Venugopalan, JHEP12 (2018) 057.


[^0]:    ${ }^{6}$ R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2631 (2013).

