# DK and $D\overline{K}$ scattering and the $D_{s0}^*$ (2317) from lattice QCD

#### Christopher Thomas, University of Cambridge

c.e.thomas@damtp.cam.ac.uk

9th Workshop of the APS Topical Group on Hadronic Physics, 13 April 2021













Some other LQCD studies: Mohler *et al* [PR D87, 034501 (2013)], Liu *et al* [PR D87, 014508 (2013)], Mohler *et al* [PRL 111, 222001 (2013)], Lang *et al* [PR D90, 034510 (2014)], Bali *et al* (RQCD) [PR D96, 074501 (2017)], Alexandrou *et al* (ETM) [PR D101 034502 (2020)]

#### Lattice QCD spectroscopy

Finite-volume energy eigenstates from:

$$C_{ij}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \mathcal{O}_i(t) \mathcal{O}_j^{\dagger}(0) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$



Large bases of interpolating operators (with appropriate structures)

Finite-volume energy eigenstates from:

$$C_{ij}(t) = \left\langle 0 \left| \mathcal{O}_i(t) \mathcal{O}_j^{\dagger}(0) \right| 0 \right\rangle$$



Large bases of interpolating operators (with appropriate structures)

Lüscher method (and extensions): relate **finite-volume energy levels** to **infinite-volume scattering** *t*-matrix.

Elastic scattering: one-to-one mapping  $E_{cm} \leftrightarrow t(E_{cm})$ 

Param.  $t(E_{cm})$  using various K-matrix forms, effective range, ...

## DK (isospin=0)

[Cheung, CT, Wilson, Moir, Peardon, Ryan (HadSpec), JHEP 02 (2021) 100, arXiv:2008.06432]

Use many different fermion-bilinear

 $\sim \bar{\psi} \Gamma D \dots \psi$ 

and DK, ... operators

## DK (isospin=0)

[Cheung, CT, Wilson, Moir, Peardon, Ryan (HadSpec), JHEP 02 (2021) 100, arXiv:2008.06432]



## DK (isospin=0)

[Cheung, CT, Wilson, Moir, Peardon, Ryan (HadSpec), JHEP 02 (2021) 100, arXiv:2008.06432]



#### DK (isospin=0) – spectra

32

40

32

40

32

40

32

40

32

40

32

40

24

#### $m_\pi=239~{ m MeV}$ $a_t E_{cm}$ [000] $A_1^+$ $[100]A_1$ $[110]A_1$ $[111]A_1$ $[200]A_1$ $E_{cm}/$ MeV 0 0.42 $D_s \eta|_{\text{thr.}}$ $D^*K|_{\text{thr.}}$ -0-2500 -02 $D_s \pi \pi |_{\text{thr.}}$ 0.40 205 202 202 <u>a</u> $DK|_{\text{thr.}}$ 2400 32 22 202 200 322 0.38 2300 0.36 2200 ••• ••• e. ÷ 2100 0.34 32 32 32 24 32 40 40 40 40 32 40 $a_t E_{\rm cm} \ [000] T_1^ [000]E^+$ $[100]E_2$ $[110]B_1$ $[110]B_2$ $[111]E_2$ $E_{\rm cm}/$ MeV 長豆 <u>32</u> 0.42 $D_s \eta |_{\text{thr.}}$ $D^*K|_{thr.}$ 2500 202 ш $D_s \pi \pi |_{\text{thr}}$ 0.40 $DK|_{\text{thr}}$ 2400 0.38 2300 0.36 2200-~ • o, • 2100 0.34

#### [JHEP 02 (2021) 100]

*m*<sub>π</sub> ≈ 239 MeV

Use 22 energy levels for  $\ell = 0, 1$ 

#### DK (isospin=0) – spectra



#### [JHEP 02 (2021) 100]

#### *m*<sub>π</sub> ≈ 391 MeV

Use 34 energy levels for  $\ell = 0, 1$ 

## *DK* (isospin=0) – amplitudes

 $m_{\pi} \approx 239 \text{ MeV}$ 



Elastic *DK* scattering in *S* and *P*-wave Sharp turn-on in *S*-wave at threshold

#### *DK* (isospin=0) – amplitudes

*m*<sub>π</sub> ≈ 239 MeV

*m*<sub>π</sub> ≈ 391 MeV



Elastic *DK* scattering in *S* and *P*-wave Sharp turn-on in *S*-wave at threshold

#### *DK* (isospin=0) – *S*-wave poles



Bound-state pole in S-wave

 $\Delta E = 25(3)$  MeV for  $m_{\pi} \approx 239$  MeV  $\Delta E = 57(3)$  MeV for  $m_{\pi} \approx 391$  MeV

#### *DK* (isospin=0) – *S*-wave poles



**Bound-state** pole in *S*-wave

 $\Delta E = 25(3)$  MeV for  $m_{\pi} \approx 239$  MeV  $\Delta E = 57(3)$  MeV for  $m_{\pi} \approx 391$  MeV c.f. experiment  $\Delta E \approx 45$  MeV (decays to  $D_s \pi^0$ )

#### *DK* (isospin=0) – *S*-wave poles



**Bound-state** pole in *S*-wave

 $\Delta E = 25(3) \text{ MeV for } m_{\pi} \approx 239 \text{ MeV} \qquad Z \leq 0.11$  $\Delta E = 57(3) \text{ MeV for } m_{\pi} \approx 391 \text{ MeV} \qquad Z \approx 0.13(6)$ c.f. experiment  $\Delta E \approx 45 \text{ MeV}$  (decays to  $D_s \pi^0$ )

Weinberg [PR 137, B672 (1965)] compositeness,  $0 \le Z \le 1$  (assuming binding is sufficiently weak)

#### *DK* (isospin=0) – *S*-wave poles



**Bound-state** pole in *S*-wave

 $\Delta E = 25(3) \text{ MeV for } m_{\pi} \approx 239 \text{ MeV} \qquad Z \leq 0.11$  $\Delta E = 57(3) \text{ MeV for } m_{\pi} \approx 391 \text{ MeV} \qquad Z \approx 0.13(6)$ c.f. experiment  $\Delta E \approx 45 \text{ MeV}$  (decays to  $D_s \pi^0$ )

Also deeply bound state in *P*-wave, but doesn't
 ( strongly influence *DK* scattering at these energies



Exotic flavour  $(\overline{l}\,\overline{l}\,c\,s)$ 

[JHEP 02 (2021) 100]

# Use many operators, $\sim D\bar{K}$

8

## $D\overline{K}$ (isospin=0,1) Exotic flavour $(\overline{l}\overline{l}cs)$

$$[0,0,0] J^{P} = 0^{+} (, 4^{+}, ...)$$



Use many operators,  $\sim D\bar{K}$ 

 $D\bar{K}$  (isospin=0,1) Exotic flavour  $(\bar{l}\bar{l}cs)$ 

$$[0,0,0] J^{P} = 0^{+} (, 4^{+}, ...)$$

[JHEP 02 (2021) 100]



## $D\bar{K}$ (isospin=0,1) – amplitudes



Elastic scattering in S, P, D-wave

Weak attraction in *S*-wave I=0 Weak repulsion in *S*-wave I=1

#### $D\bar{K}$ (isospin=0,1) – amplitudes





## $D\bar{K}$ (isospin=0) – poles



# Suggestion of a **virtual bound-state** pole in *S*-wave I=0 (**exotic flavour**)

#### SU(3) multiplets:

#### SU(3) multiplets:

#### SU(3) multiplets:

S-wave results [broken SU(3)] suggest:

- $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$  bound state
- 6 virtual bound state
- $\overline{15}$  weak repulsion

[See also PR D87, 014508 (2013) (1208.4535); PL B767, 465 (2017) (1610.06727); PR D98, 094018 (2018) (1712.07957); *EPJ C79, 13 (2019)* (1811.05585)]

## Summary

- First principles lattice-QCD calculations
- Isospin-0 *DK* :
  - Bound state in S-wave,  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$
  - Deeply bound state in *P*-wave,  $D_s^*$
- Exotic-flavour isospin-0, 1  $D\bar{K}$  :
  - Suggestion of virtual bound state in S-wave isospin-0
- Light quark dependence, comparison with SU(3) flavour symmetry
- See Nicolas Lang's talk (next) for  $D\pi$  scattering and the  $D_0^*$ , and comparison between  $D\pi$  and DK.

#### Acknowledgements





#### **Hadron Spectrum Collaboration**

[www.hadspec.org]

Jefferson Lab and surroundings, USA:



JLab: Robert Edwards, Jie Chen, Frank Winter; ORNL: Bálint Joó W&M: Jozef Dudek<sup>1</sup>, Arkaitz Rodas, *Christopher Johnson*, *Archana Radhakrishnan, Felipe Ortega* (<sup>1</sup> and Jefferson Lab) ODU: Raúl Briceño<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Jackura, Luka Leskovec

Trinity College Dublin, Ireland:

Michael Peardon, Sinéad Ryan, Nicolas Lang

University of Cambridge, UK:

CT, Bipasha Chakraborty, David Wilson, James Delaney

Edinburgh, UK: Max Hansen; Tata Institute, India: Nilmani Mathur