# N<sup>3</sup>LO extraction of the Sivers functions from SIDIS, DY and W±/Z data

Alexei Prokudin

M. Bury, AP, A. Vladimirov, PRL 126, 112002 (2021)  $\Phi_{q \leftarrow h}^{i \prime - 1}(x, b) = f_1(x, b) + i \epsilon_T^{\mu\nu} b_\mu s_\nu M f_1^{\perp}(x, b)$ Our understanding of hadron evolves: TMDs with Polarization

Nucleon emerges as a strongly interacting, 1 relativistic bound state of quarks and  $\widetilde{gluo}_{RS_1}$ 



X

xp,

z P

The Sivers function



Unpolarized quarks in trans. pol. nucleon

- Causes asymmetries in SIDIS and DY
- Changes sign in DY w.r.t. SIDIS

 $\Phi_{q \leftarrow h}^{i \prime - i}(x, b) = f_1(x, b) + i \epsilon_T^{\mu\nu} b_\mu s_\nu M f_1^{\perp}(x, b)$ Our understanding of hadron evolves: TMDs with Polarization

xp,

Nucleon emerges as a strongly interacting, 1 relativistic bound state of quarks and gluo  $\overline{ns_1}$ 



### TMD EVOLUTION CONTAINS NON-PERTURBATIVE COMPONENT

TMD evolution is a two scale evolution
Remarkably simple in the zeta-prescription

Scimemi, Vladimirov (18), (20) Vladimirov (20)



$$F(x,b;\mu,\zeta) = \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\mu}(b)}\right)^{-\mathcal{D}(b,\mu)} F(x,b)$$

- F(x,b) is the "optimal" TMD
- $\zeta_{\mu}(b)$  calculable function
- $\mathcal{D}(b,\mu)$  Colins-Soper kernel or rapidity anomalous dimension. Fundamental universal function related to the properties of QCD vacuum

### THE SIVERS ASYMMETRY



### DATA SELECTION

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)

Dataset name	Ref.	Reaction	# Points	
Compass08	[36]	$d^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to \pi^+$	1 / 9	•
		$d^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to \pi^-$	1 / 9	
		$d^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to K^+$	1 / 9	
		$d^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to K^-$	1 / 9	_
Compass16	[39]	$p^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to h^+$	5 / 40	
Compassio		$p^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to h^-$	5 / 40	_
Hermes	[35]	$p^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to \pi^+$	11 / 64	
		$p^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to \pi^-$	11 / 64	
		$p^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to K^+$	12 / 64	
		$p^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to K^-$	12 / 64	_
JLab	[41, 42]	$^{3}He^{\uparrow} + \gamma^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{+}$	1 / 4	
		$^{3}He^{\uparrow} + \gamma^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{-}$	1 / 4	
		$^{3}He^{\uparrow} + \gamma^* \to K^+$	1 / 4	
		$^{3}He^{\uparrow} + \gamma^{*} \rightarrow K^{-}$	0 / 4	_
SIDIS total			63	-
CompassDY	[40]	$\pi^- + d^\uparrow \to \gamma^*$	2/3	•
Star.W+		$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow W^+$	5 / 5	•
Star.W-	[43]	$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow W^{-}$	5 / 5	
Star.Z		$p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z$	1 / 1	-
DY total			13	-
Total			76	_

 Only P<sub>T</sub> dependence used to avoid double counting
Data selection compatible with TMD factorization requirement

$$\delta = \frac{|P_{hT}|}{zQ}$$
 (in SIDIS),  $\delta = \frac{|q_T|}{Q}$  (in DY).

 $\langle Q \rangle > 2 \; {\rm GeV} \qquad {\rm and} \qquad \delta < 0.3$ 



### FIT RESULTS

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)

 Replica method using Artemide framework
Errors both from the data and the uncertainty due to unpolarized TMD

Name	$\chi^2/N_{pt}$ [SIDIS]	$\chi^2/N_{pt}[\mathrm{DY}]$	$\chi^2/N_{pt}$ [total]
SIDIS at N <sup>3</sup> LO	$0.87^{+0.13}_{+0.03}$	$1.23^{+0.50}_{-0.24}$ no fit	$0.93^{+0.16}_{+0.01}$
SIDIS+DY at $N^{3}LO$	$0.88^{+0.15}_{+0.04}$	$0.90^{+0.31}_{+0.00}$	$0.88^{+0.15}_{+0.05}$

- Unbiased parametrization
- ▶ No tension between SIDIS and DY data universality
- ▶ Good convergence of the fit for all data sets

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)

#### HERMES 20 3D binning description



## Filled in points used in the fit

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)

#### **COMPASS SIDIS** data



Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)

#### Pion induced Drell-Yan, COMPASS

#### W/Z production, STAR



### **SIVERS FUNCTION IN THE MOMENTUM SPACE**



### **SIVERS FUNCTION IN THE MOMENTUM SPACE**

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)



Comparison to Jam20 (LO) analysis

Jam20: Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)



### **NUCLEON TOMOGRAPHY**

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)

$$\rho_{1;q \leftarrow h^{\uparrow}}(x, \mathbf{k}_T, \mathbf{S}_T, \mu) = f_{1;q \leftarrow h}(x, k_T; \mu, \mu^2) - \frac{k_{Tx}}{M} f_{1T;q \leftarrow h}^{\perp}(x, k_T; \mu, \mu^2)$$



### **NUCLEON TOMOGRAPHY**

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)

$$\rho_{1;q \leftarrow h^{\uparrow}}(x, \mathbf{k}_T, \mathbf{S}_T, \mu) = f_{1;q \leftarrow h}(x, k_T; \mu, \mu^2) - \frac{k_{Tx}}{M} f_{1T;q \leftarrow h}^{\perp}(x, k_T; \mu, \mu^2)$$



### THE QIU-STERMAN MATRIX ELEMENT

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2020)

Invert the formula for Operator Product Expansion of Sivers via the QS functions

Scimemi, Tarasov, Vladimirov (19)

$$T_{q}(-x,0,x;\mu_{b}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left( 1 + C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{b})}{4\pi} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \right) f_{1T;q\leftarrow h}^{\perp}(x,b) - \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{b})}{4\pi^{2}} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dy}{y} \left[ \frac{\bar{y}}{N_{c}} f_{1T;q\leftarrow h}^{\perp} \left( \frac{x}{y}, b \right) + \frac{3y^{2}\bar{y}}{2x} G^{(+)} \left( -\frac{x}{y}, 0, \frac{x}{y}; \mu_{b} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(a_{s}^{2}, b^{2})$$

$$\mu_b = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b}$$

We choose  $b = 0.11 \text{ (GeV}^{-1}), \ \mu_{\rm b} = 10 \text{ (GeV)}$ 

and estimate gluon contribution  $G^{(+)} = \pm (|T_u| + |T_d|)$ 

### CONCLUSIONS

- We have extracted Sivers function from the first global fit of SIDIS, pion-induced Drell-Yan and W±/Z-bozon production experimental data at N3LO precision
- Conservative data cuts are used to ensure validity of factorization and unbiased parametrization
- Good agreement between SIDIS and DY data in an analysis with TMD evolution is achieved for the first time
- The Qiu-Sterman functions are extracted in a model independent way
- Our results set a new benchmark and the standard of precision for studies of TMD polarized functions

### **BACKUP SLIDES**

. . . . .

. . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

### **SIGN CHANGE**

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2020)



Large contribution from antiquark Sievers functions to DY makes it possible to describe data without the sign change

$$f_{1T}^{\perp sea} \to -f_{1T}^{\perp sea}$$

