# Lorentz invariance relation anomalies and intrinsic parton transverse momentum

Speaker Fatma P. Aslan (Jlab/UConn) Leonard Gamberg (PSU) Ted C. Rogers (Jlab/ODU)

9<sup>th</sup> Workshop of the APS Topical Group on Hadronic Physics 13 Apr 2021

# Outline

**What are** Lorentz invariance relations (LIRs)?

**Derivation** of LIRs

**Violation** of LIRs

LIRs in a **renormalizable field theory** 

□ Summary and conclusion

# **Lorentz invariance relations (LIRs)**

Lorentz invariance relations (LIRs) **connect** the twist-2 and twist-3 parton distribution functions (PDFs) and weighted moments of transverse momentum dependent (TMD) correlation functions

Some examples for LIRs

The distributions on  
the l.h.s are twist-3  
$$f_T(x) = g_1(x) + \frac{d}{dx}g_{1T}^{(1)}(x),$$
$$h_L(x) = h_1(x) - \frac{d}{dx}h_{1L}^{\perp(1)}(x),$$
$$f_T(x) = -\frac{d}{dx}f_{1T}^{\perp(1)}(x),$$
$$h(x) = -\frac{d}{dx}h_1^{\perp(1)}(x).$$
PJ. Mulders and R.D. Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 197-237 (1996).  
D. Boer and P.J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. D 57, 5780 (1998).  
The superscript (1) indicates the  
 $k_T^2$  moment of the TMD  $g_{1T}^{(1)}(x) = \int d^2\mathbf{k}_T \frac{\mathbf{k}_T^2}{2M^2}g_{1T}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2),$  etc.

ASLAN, GAMBERG, ROGERS, LORENTZ INVARIANCE RELATION ANOMALIES AND INTRINSIC PARTON TRANSVERSE MOMENTUM

# **Derivation of LIRs**

The quark correlator written in a Lorentz invariant form

k: Parton momentumM: Hadron massP, S: Hadron momentum, spin $a_j \equiv a_j(k^2, k, P)$ 



$$\underbrace{\int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T}dk^{-}\left(a_{4}\frac{2}{M^{3}}-a_{8}\frac{k_{T}^{2}}{M^{5}}\right)}_{g_{T}(x)} = \underbrace{\int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T}dk^{-}\left[a_{4}\frac{2}{M^{3}}+(a_{7}-xa_{8})\frac{2k^{-}P^{+}-xM^{2}}{M^{5}}\right]}_{g_{1}(x)} + \frac{d}{dx}\underbrace{\int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T}dk^{-}\frac{k_{T}^{2}}{M^{5}}(a_{7}-xa_{8})}_{g_{1}^{(1)}}$$

Provided that the integrals are **convergent**:

$$g_T(x) = g_1(x) + \frac{d}{dx}g_{1T}^{(1)}(x)$$

# **Violation of LIRs**

#### □ Inclusion of Wilson lines

K. Goeke, A. Metz, P. Pobylitsa, and M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 567, 27 (2003), hep-ph/0302028. A. Accardi, A. Bacchetta, W. Melnitchouk, and M. Schlegel, JHEP 11, 093 (2009), 0907.2942.

	No Wilson lines	With Wilson lines
The correlator	$\Phi(k, P, S) = a_1 \frac{1}{M^3} + a_2 \not\!$	$\Phi(k, P, S, \nu) = a_1 \frac{1}{M^3} + a_2 \not\!$
LIR	$g_T(x) = g_1(x) + \frac{d}{dx}g_{1T}^{(1)}$	$g_T(x) = g_1(x) + \frac{d}{dx}g_{1T}^{(1)} + \hat{g}_T(x)$

**UV divergent integrals** Aslan, Gamberg, Rogers, in prep.

$$\underbrace{\int d^2 \mathbf{k}_T dk^- \left(a_4 \frac{2}{M^3} - a_8 \frac{k_T^2}{M^5}\right)}_{g_T(x)} = \underbrace{\int d^2 \mathbf{k}_T dk^- \left[a_4 \frac{2}{M^3} + (a_7 - xa_8) \frac{2k^- P^+ - xM^2}{M^5}\right]}_{g_1(x)} + \frac{d}{dx} \underbrace{\int d^2 \mathbf{k}_T dk^- \frac{k_T^2}{M^5} (a_7 - xa_8)}_{g_{1T}^{(1)}}$$

> Taking the transverse integrals using a fixed cut off

> Using  $\overline{MS}$  renormalization scheme for the PDFs

#### Violation of LIRs: Treatment of the UV divergent integrals

1- Using a cut off for the UV divergent integrals

$$g_T(x) - g_1(x) - \frac{d}{dx}g_{1T}^{(1)}(x) \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \qquad a_4 = -\frac{M^4}{k^4}\delta_+[(k-P)^2 - m_s^2]$$
$$a_7 = a_8 = \frac{M^6}{k^4}\delta_+[(k-P)^2 - m_s^2]$$

#### *Fixed transverse cutoff:* μ

$$\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\mu} \int_{0}^{\pi} d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T} \int dk^{-} \left(a_{4} \frac{2}{M^{3}} - a_{8} \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{M^{5}}\right)}_{g_{T}(x)} - \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\mu} d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T} \int dk^{-} \left[a_{4} \frac{2}{M^{3}} + (a_{7} - xa_{8}) \frac{2k^{-}P^{+} - xM^{2}}{M^{5}}\right]}_{g_{1}(x)} - \frac{d}{dx} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\mu} d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T} \int dk^{-} \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{M^{5}} (a_{7} - xa_{8})}_{g_{1T}^{(1)}} = 0$$

X-dependent transverse cutoff:  $k_T^2 = \mu^2(x-1) + x(1-x)M^2 - xm_s^2$ 

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{\int_{0}^{k_{T}^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{T}^{2}} dk_{T} \int dk^{-} \left(a_{4} \frac{2}{M^{3}} - a_{8} \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{M^{5}}\right)}_{g_{T}(x)} - \underbrace{\int_{0}^{k_{T}^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{T}^{2}} dk_{T} \int dk^{-} \left[a_{4} \frac{2}{M^{3}} + (a_{7} - xa_{8}) \frac{2k^{-}P^{+} - xM^{2}}{M^{5}}\right]}_{g_{1}(x)} - \frac{d}{dx} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{k_{T}^{2}} dk_{T} \int dk^{-} \frac{k_{T}^{2}}{M^{5}} (a_{7} - xa_{8})}_{g_{1T}^{(1)}} \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

# Violation of LIRs: Treatment of the UV divergent integrals

1- Using a cut off for the UV divergent integrals



Assuming that DGLAP equations hold for all collinear functions, the divergent integrals over  $k_T$  are defined by implementing a fixed cutoff on the large transverse momentum, which is independent of the momentum fraction x. Such cutoffs result in a violation of LIRs even in the limit that the cut off is taken to infinity.

# Violation of LIRs: Treatment of the UV divergent integrals

# 2- Using $\overline{MS}$ renormalization scheme for the PDFs

Distributions	Transverse integrals	
Collinear PDFs	$\overline{MS}$	$\underbrace{g_T(x)}_{\underline{\longrightarrow}} - \underbrace{g_1(x)}_{\underline{\longrightarrow}} - \frac{d}{dx} \qquad \underbrace{g_{1T}^{(1)}}_{\underline{\longrightarrow}}  \stackrel{\mu \to \infty}{=} \pi x \ln(1 - x)$
TMD PDFs	Cut off	$MS  MS  \begin{array}{c} x-dependent \\ cut-off \end{array}$

John C. Collins, What exactly is a parton density? (2003)

#### Violation of LIRs in a renormalizable theory: Scalar Yukawa model



#### Violation of LIRs in a renormalizable theory: Scalar Yukawa model



# Another source of violation: The zero modes in twist-3 distributions





Aslan, Burkardt, Singularities in Twist-3 Quark Distributions, 2018

# **Summary and Conclusion**

□ The LIRs are valid when the transverse integrals are convergent.

□ Treatment of UV divergent integrals leads to violation of LIRs in two ways:



# Outlook

> Studying the treatments which lead to the violation of LIRs in QCD

EoM relations potentially have the same problems: Checking how the treatment of UV divergent integrals affect the EoM relations

$$e(x) = \tilde{e}(x) + \frac{m}{Mx} f_1(x)$$
$$h_L(x) = \tilde{h}_L(x) - \frac{2}{x} h_{1L}^{\perp(1)} + \frac{m}{Mx} g_1(x)$$
$$g_T(x) = \tilde{g}_T(x) + \frac{1}{x} g_{1T}^{(1)} + \frac{m}{Mx} h_1(x)$$

Considering which UV treatment is optimal, given the problems that arise when using standard renormalization treatments

# THANK YOU