A New Quadrupole Resonator for SRF R&D

<u>Ricardo Monroy-Villa^{1,2}</u>, Wolfgang Hillert¹, Piotr Putek³, Detlef Reschke², Jan-Hendrik Thie², Ursula van Rienen³, Marc Wenskat¹ and Shahnam Zadeh³

¹Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

²Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

³Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany

9th Workshop on Thin Films and New Ideas for Pushing Limits of RF Superconductivity 18. March 2021







Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Why do we need a QPR?

- Already "conventional" niobium shows unexpected and/or unexplained behavior
 - Not done neither experimentally nor theoretically!
- New SRF materials & structures emerge and need to be studied
- Blind on one eye:
 - Either RF tests with a cavity or material characterization on samples
 - Transfer of results always with minor or major underlying assumptions
- Need a realistic and direct measurement of crucial RF/BCS parameters & provide easy access to the surface of interest for further direct material measurements



Shape Analysis

Geometric Accuracy is Important!



Static detuning: Tilt of the rods changes spectrum

• Can cause increased fields in gap

Shape variations have significant impact on performance parameters

Alignment of Pole Shoe Plane

HZB and CERN.2 QPRs





Measured: 414, 847, and 1285 MHz

New QPR: What did we change?

- No change in functional key parameters to preserve sample exchangeability!
- Minor modifications due to material availability checked influence on RF properties: No problems
- Two modifications motivated to improve RF performance



Fabrication

Placed at Zanon R.I. in Dec. 2019



HP2: Survey of Pole Shoes and Rods

- b. To be measured after the fabrication of the RF-section
 - The perpendicularity of the two planes of the pole shoes to the weld joint geometry
 - The distance of the two planes of the pole shoes projected in z-direction relative to the weld joint geometry for sample connector section



Can't show details - colors should be sufficient...

- Rods length difference
- Significant tilt of the rods

• We planned for that in the design phase!



Think twice – build once

And Plan for Disaster

- Thorough simulations and discussions showed importance on geometric accuracy
- Holdpoints gave us a chance to detect and correct problems!
- Rods underwent 800°C anneal after welding \rightarrow trigger issues while they can be observed & corrected
- Tilt:
 - Bend rods back to spec
- Offset:
 - We included ",buffer material" at the bottom of the pole shoes
 - Height difference was corrected by material removal

All Specifications are met!

RF Spectrum @ RT using CBM data



Q₀ vs f (DESY QPR CST Simulation-CBM)

So what's next?

- Receive final CBM Measurement Report
- Ship QPR to UHH/DESY ToA: End of March
- Commissioning
 - Wall thickness measurements vessel and pole shoes (Ultrasonic measurements)
 - Bridge coordinate measurement
 - Mechanical spectrum
 - RF spectrum (warm) with sample (waiting for Antennas ToA: End of April)
 - Repeat 2 & 4 after evacuating the QPR (check for deformation)
- Surface Treatment
 - @ Zanon R.I.
 - 800°C@3h | Coarse BCP | 120°C@48h | Fine BCP



- Exciting times for SRF material R&D
- Lack of "realistic" sample test environments \rightarrow Quadrupole Resonators provide that!
- Started "forensic analysis" and joined forces with CERN / HZB + Partners
- (Hopefully) improved our design and "planned for disaster"
- Fabrication was delayed due to Corona by 6 months
- Went rather smooth: "expected problems" were solved
- Fabrication finished 2 weeks ago
- Commissioning + Surface Treatment finished end Q2 2021
- RF Commissioning and first test: Q4 2021

Thanks to

Andrea Muhs (DESY), Andrew Burrill (SLAC), Birte van der Horst (DESY), Carsten Müller (MSL), Daniel Klinke (DESY), Dmitry Tikonov (HZB), Hans Weise (DESY), Jens Knobloch (HZB), Lennart Trelle (DESY), Maike Röhling (DESY), Marco Arzeo (CERN), Martin Lemke (DESY), Oliver Kugeler (HZB), Ralf Bößpflug (DESY), Sebastian Keckert (HZB), Thorsten Büttner (DESY), Ursula van Rienen (URO), Walter Venturini-Delsolaro (CERN), Wolfgang Ackermann (TEMF), Zanon R.I.

Contact

Marc Wenskat Institut für Experimentalphysik marc.wenskat@desy.de +49-40-8998-2032



NCR - Sample Connector Nozzle

Second to last weld – ofc something had to happen....

- Coaxial gap is 1.2mm shorter!
- Problem?
 - Welded samples couldn't be tested due to different flange design already before
 - Other samples can be installed with thicker adapter flange (+1.2 mm)
- Issue with more RF induced heating?
 - Well 1.2 mm closer compared to 83.2 mm, max. ~2% effect
 - Simulated ΔT by TEMF: No significant difference
 - \rightarrow Accepted the NCR



Support Structures







Getting welded right now – ToA: Today





Assembly Plattform: Planned for Q3

Antenna fabrication is delayed due to Corona. New ToA is end of April





Design of adapter flange



CERN QPR modes at RT



T. Junginger, Ph.D. thesis, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.