


## Answers to Questions (Hall A)

Q: *What number times to reprocess was assumed in the storage and CPU estimates?*

A: In general, 3 times. See the spreadsheet at

[https://userweb.jlab.org/~ole/HallA\\_12GeV\\_SciComp\\_Resources.xlsx](https://userweb.jlab.org/~ole/HallA_12GeV_SciComp_Resources.xlsx) 

Q: *Discuss your tools for software quality assurance*

A: Methods & tools

- Design rules
  - ▶ Work within C++ analyzer framework (use prescribed functions to do things)
  - ▶ Go through standard APIs for common tasks (e.g. database access)
- Consistent coding standards
  - ▶ In general, we try to follow the ROOT coding conventions closely, awkward as they sometimes may be
  - ▶ variable and function naming
  - ▶ indentation and bracketing
  - ▶ commenting requirements

## Answers to Questions (2)

- Revision control system (CVS)
  - ▶ restricted commit permissions
  - ▶ automatic email notifications to experts/reviewers
- Code reviews
  - ▶ Commits checked by experts at commit time (upon email notification)
  - ▶ Branch merge review before merge
- Testing
  - ▶ Critical inspection of online replay results
  - ▶ 12C optics runs that almost all experiments do
- Release Management
  - ▶ Only expert(s) are allowed to make official releases
  - ▶ All changes/contributions must have been reviewed line-by-line
  - ▶ Must compile cleanly (without warnings!) on all supported platforms
  - ▶ Must compile cleanly against a set of relevant ROOT versions (viz. those that experiments in prior 3 years have been using in in the counting house and on CUE)
  - ▶ Must not introduce new minimum software version requirements or new library requirements unless approved
  - ▶ Central binary installation in counting house and on central systems to reduce number of homebrew compilations (at least on site)

## Answers to Questions (3)

### Ideas for improved software quality assurance

- Define set of **reference data**, replay setup, and **reference results**
  - ▶ Try to reproduce after any significant software change
  - ▶ Allow tolerance for inevitable rounding errors
- Regular (annual?) code reviews using standard tools such as source code standards compliance checker (lint)
- As needed: performance checks with standard tools such as `valgrind` and profiler