Beam Charge Asymmetries for

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering on the proton at CLAS12 PR12-20-009

V. Burkert¹, L. Elouadrhiri¹, F.-X. Girod³, S. Niccolai², E. Voutier² *et al.* and the CLAS Collaboration

¹Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA, USA ²Université Paris Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3 Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie (IJCLab), Orsay, France ³University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA





CLAS Collaboration Meeting

July 24th, 2020



*LOI*12-18-004

J. Grames, E. Voutier et al. Jefferson Lab LOI12-18-004 (2018), arXiv:1906.09419



Letter of Intent submitted to JLab PAC46 (July 2018)

Highlighting **7 mini-LOI's** Supported by **127 Members** from **39 Institutions**

	I (nA)		Beam	Time
	e^-	e^+	Polarization	(d)
Two-photon exchange	2			
TPE @ CLAS12	60	60	No	53
TPE @ SupRos	_	1000	No	18
TPE @ SBS	40000	100	Yes	55
Generalized Parton I	Distributi	ons		
p-DVCS @ CLAS12	75	15	Yes	83
n-DVCS @ CLAS12	60	60	Yes	80
p-DVCS @ Hall C	- :	5000	No	56
Test of the Standard	Model			
A' search	2	10-100	No	180
Total Data Taking Time				

"These measurements all have significant physics interest. The proposers should carefully evaluate feasibility and present the best case possible in a future proposal."

PR12-20-009 PR12-20-012 e+@JLab White Paper



PR12-20-009

V. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F.-X. Girod, S. Niccolai, E. Voutier et al.



« We propose to measure the **unpolarized** and **polarized** Beam Charge Asymmetries (**BCAs**) of the $\vec{e}^{\pm}p \rightarrow e^{\pm}p\gamma$ process on unpolarized Hydrogen with **CLAS12**, using **polarized positron and** electron beams at 10.6 GeV.

The azimuthal and *t*-dependences of the unpolarized and polarized BCAs will be measured over a large (x_B ,Q²) phase space using a **2400 hours** run with a luminosity of **0.6** × **10**³⁵ cm⁻²·s⁻¹. »

Outline



- (ii) Beam charge asymmetries
- (iii) Positron beams at JLab
- (iv) Experimental configuration
- (v) Experimental methodology
- (vi) Summary





Parton Imaging

D. Müller, D. Robaschik, B. Geyer, F.M. Dittes, J. Horejsi, FP 42 (1994) 101 X. Ji, PRD 55 (1997) 7114 A. Radyushkin, PRD 56 (1997) 5524

GPDs parameterize the partonic structure of hadrons and offer the unprecedented possibility to access the spatial distribution of partons.





$\mathcal{N}(e,e'_{\gamma}\mathcal{N})$ Dífferentíal Cross Section

M. Diehl at the CLAS12 European Workshop, Genova, February 25-28, 2009



$$\sigma_{P0}^{e} = \sigma_{BH} + \sigma_{DVCS} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} + e_{l} \left(\sigma_{INT} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{INT}\right)$$



$\mathcal{N}(e,e'_{\gamma}\mathcal{N})$ Dífferentíal Cross Section

M. Diehl at the CLAS12 European Workshop, Genova, February 25-28, 2009



$$\sigma_{P0}^{e} = \sigma_{BH} + \sigma_{DVCS} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} + e_{l} \left(\sigma_{INT} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{INT}\right)$$

Electron observables $\sigma_{00}^{-} = \sigma_{BH} + \sigma_{DVCS} - \sigma_{INT}$ $\sigma_{+0}^{-} - \sigma_{-0}^{-} = 2 \widetilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} - 2 \widetilde{\sigma}_{INT}$



N(e,e'_YN) Dífferentíal Cross Section

M. Diehl at the CLAS12 European Workshop, Genova, February 25-28, 2009



$$\sigma_{P0}^{e} = \sigma_{BH} + \sigma_{DVCS} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} + e_{l} \left(\sigma_{INT} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{INT}\right)$$





N(e,e'_YN) Dífferentíal Cross Section

M. Diehl at the CLAS12 European Workshop, Genova, February 25-28, 2009



$$\sigma_{P0}^{e} = \sigma_{BH} + \sigma_{DVCS} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} + e_{l} \left(\sigma_{INT} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{INT}\right)$$



Polarized electrons and positrons allow to **separate** the unknown amplitudes of the cross section for electro-production of photons.



7/25

Compton Form Factors

GPDs enter the epγ cross section via Compton Form Factors (CFFs) representing an integral over the intermediate quark longitudinal momentum.





Experímental Observables

A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, A. Kirchner, NPB 629 (2002)

> At twist-2 and leading α_{QCD} -order, the epy reaction accesses the four chiral even and parton helicity conserving GPDs of the proton $\{\mathcal{H}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}$.



 $\mathcal{C}^{DVCS} = 4(1-x_B) \left[\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^* + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^* \right] - x_B^2 \left[\mathcal{H}\mathcal{E}^* + \mathcal{E}\mathcal{H}^* + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^* + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^* \right] - \left(x_B^2 + (2-x_B)^2 \frac{t}{4M^2} \right) \mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}^* - x_B^2 \frac{t}{4M^2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^*$

$$\mathcal{C}^{INT} = F_1 \mathcal{H} - \xi [F_1 + F_2] \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{t}{4M^2} \mathcal{E}$$



Experímental Observables

A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, A. Kirchner, NPB 629 (2002)

> At twist-2 and leading α_{QCD} -order, the epy reaction accesses the four chiral even and parton helicity conserving GPDs of the proton $\{\mathcal{H}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}$.



 $\mathcal{C}^{DVCS} = 4(1-x_B) \left[\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^* + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^* \right] - x_B^2 \left[\mathcal{H}\mathcal{E}^* + \mathcal{E}\mathcal{H}^* + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^* + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^* \right] - \left(x_B^2 + (2-x_B)^2 \frac{t}{4M^2} \right) \mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}^* - x_B^2 \frac{t}{4M^2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^*$

$$\mathcal{C}^{INT} = F_1 \mathcal{H} - \xi [F_1 + F_2] \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{t}{4M^2} \mathcal{E}$$

Importance of the **separation** of the **DVCS** and **INT** reaction amplitudes for the **determination** of **CFFs**.



Experímental Method

A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, A. Kirchner, NPB 629 (2002)

$$\sigma_{P0}^{e} = \sigma_{BH} + \sigma_{DVCS} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} + e_{l} \left(\sigma_{INT} + P_{l} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{INT} \right)$$



$$\sigma_X \equiv \frac{d^5 \sigma_X}{dQ^2 \, dx_B \, dt \, d\phi_e \, d\varphi}$$

The BH differential cross section is exactly calculable from the proton form factors (F_1, F_2) known at small t.

$$\sigma_{BH} = \frac{1}{P_1(\varphi)P_2(\varphi)} \sum_{n=0}^2 c_n^{BH} \cos(\varphi)$$

> At twist-2 and leading α_{QCD} -order, the cross section components exhibit specific azimuthal dependences.

$$\sigma_{DVCS} = c_0^{DVCS} \Re e[\mathcal{C}^{DVCS}]$$

 $\tilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} = 0$

$$\sigma_{INT} = \frac{c_0^{INT} + c_1^{INT} \cos(\varphi)}{P_1(\varphi)P_2(\varphi)} \Re e[\mathcal{C}^{INT}]$$
$$\tilde{\sigma}_{INT} = \frac{s_1^{INT} \sin(\varphi)}{P_1(\varphi)P_2(\varphi)} \Im m[\mathcal{C}^{INT}]$$



Nucleon Internal Pressure

V. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F.-X. Girod, Nature 557 (2018) 396 M.V. Polyakov, P. Schweitzer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A33 (2018) 1830025 K. Kumerički, Nature 570 (2019) E1

$$\int_{-1}^{1} x H(x,\xi,t) \, dx = M_2(t) + \frac{4\xi^2 d_1(t)}{5\xi^2 d_1(t)}$$

The 2nd order Mellin moment of GPDs allows to access the pressure distribution inside hadrons through the skewness dependency of GPDs... (DDVCS).

CFF
$$\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \int_{-1}^{1} \left[\frac{1}{\xi - x - i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\xi + x - i\epsilon} \right] H(x,\xi,t) \, dx$$

$$\mathfrak{Re}[\mathcal{H}(\xi,t)] \stackrel{\mathsf{LO}}{=} D(t) + \mathcal{P}\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} \left[\frac{1}{\xi-x} - \frac{1}{\xi+x}\right] \mathfrak{Im}[\mathcal{H}(x,t)] \, dx\right\}$$
$$D(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{D(z,t)}{1-z} \, dz$$

$$D(z,t) = (1-z^2) \left[d_1(t) C_1^{3/2}(z) + \dots \right]$$





Nucleon Internal Pressure

V. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F.-X. Girod, Nature 557 (2018) 396 M.V. Polyakov, P. Schweitzer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A33 (2018) 1830025 K. Kumerički, Nature 570 (2019) E1

$$\int_{-1}^{1} x H(x,\xi,t) \, dx = M_2(t) + \frac{4\xi^2 d_1(t)}{5\xi^2 d_1(t)}$$

The 2nd order **Mellin moment** of GPDs allows to access the pressure distribution inside hadrons through the **skewness dependency** of GPDs... (DDVCS).

CFF
$$\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \int_{-1}^{1} \left[\frac{1}{\xi - x - i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\xi + x - i\epsilon} \right] H(x,\xi,t) \, dx$$

$$\mathfrak{Me}[\mathcal{H}(\xi,t)] \stackrel{\mathsf{LO}}{=} D(t) + \mathcal{P}\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} \left[\frac{1}{\xi-x} - \frac{1}{\xi+x}\right] \mathfrak{Im}[\mathcal{H}(x,t)] \, dx\right\}$$

 $D(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{D(z,t)}{1-z} dz$ $D(z,t) = (1-z^2) \left[d_1(t) C_1^{3/2}(z) + \dots \right]$

> Real part of Compton form factors (σ_{INT})



Also accessible in TCS testing GPDs universality.



Proposed Measurements

Using polarized electron and positron beams, we are proposing to measure

- The unpolarized beam charge asymmetry A_{UU}^{C} , which is sensitive to the CFF real part
- The polarized beam charge asymmetry A_{LU}^{C} , which is sensitive to the CFF imaginary part
- The neutral beam spin asymmetry A_{LU}^0 , which is sensitive to higher twist effects



Newport News, July 24th, 2020



Experimental Signal

A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, PRD 82 (2010) 074010 K. Kumerički, D. Müller, NPB 841 (2010)



• The magnitude of unpolarized and polarized BCAs is sizeable and kinematics dependent.



Partonic Content Sensitivity

A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, PRD 82 (2010) 074010

K. Kumerički, D. Müller, NPB 841 (2010) 1 B. Berthou et al. EPJC 78 (2018) 478 M. Vanderhaeghen, P.A.M. Guichon, M. Guidal, PRD 60 (1999) 094017



 Both the shape and magnitude of unpolarized and polarized BCAs are sensitive to the specific GPD model.



Impact of Posítron Measurements

K. Kumerički, D. Müller, NPB 841 (2010) 1 E.C. Aschenauer, S. Fazio, K. Kumerički, D. Müller, JHEP 09 (2013) 093

The importance of positron beams for the determination of CFFs can be quantified in a model-dependent way depending on : the cross section model, the GPDs model, and the hypotheses of the fitting approach.

<u>т</u>0.

KM15 Re H vs t

Observable	σ_{UU}	A_{LU}	A_{UL}	A_{LL}	A_{UU}^C	A_{LU}^C
Time (d)	80	80	100	100	80	80
$\mathcal{L} (\times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$	0.6	0.6	2	2	0.6	0.6
Packing Fraction	1	1	0.17	0.17	1	1
Sytematics (%)	5	3	3	$3 \oplus 3$	3	3

Fitting of $\{\mathcal{H}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\}$ CFFs assuming model values for $\{\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\}$ CFFs.

Improvement of the statistical and systematical error on Re[H].







Polarízed Electrons for Polarízed Posítrons

(PEPPo Collaboration) D. Abbott et al. , PRL 116 (2016) 214801

PEPPo demonstrated efficient polarization transfer from 8.2 MeV/c electrons to positrons, expanding polarized positron capabilities from GeV to MeV accelerators.



The **PEPPo** technique can achieve up to **100% transfer** of the electron polarization.







Beam Propertíes

Y. Roblin at the International Workshop on Physics with Positrons at Jefferson Lab, Newport News, September 12-15, 2017

> Despite much larger momentum dispersion and emittance at the source, e^+ and e^- beams at target have the same $\delta p/p$ with a spot size 2-3 times larger for e^+ .



This motivates a change of the target cell with a 50% larger diameter (15mm).



CLAS12

V. Burkert et al. NIMA 959 (2020) 163419

The $e^{\pm}p \rightarrow e^{\pm}p$ reaction will be measured with *CLAS12*, using the regular detector arrangement and reversing magnet polarities between e^{\pm} and e^{\pm} data. $\mathcal{L} = 0.6 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ New 5 cm long target cell featuring entrance and exit windows with larger diameter.

- There is **no difference** bewteen e⁻ and e⁺ beam transport in Hall B beam line, nor in beam related detector background.
- Beam diagnostics are expected to operate similarly with e⁻ and e⁺ beam.
- The Møller polarimeter needs adaptations to operate in Bhabha mode: change of the detector configuration to allow for single and coincidence detection operation.



Detector Acceptance

From a subset of out-bending RGA data

The CLAS12 torus will operate in OUT-Bending mode for both e⁻ and e⁺.



- Scattered electrons (positrons) are detected in the Forward Detector (FD).
- Recoil protons are detected essentially in the Central Detector (CD), but also in the FD at large -t.
- Produced photons are measured in the Electromagnetic Calorimeter (Ecal) of the FD and in the Forward Tagger Calorimeter (FTCal).



Kínematíc Coverage

From a subset of out-bending RGA data





Beam Related Systematics

The measurement of BCAs is comparable to the measurement of relative cross sections where some of systematical effects cancel out while others ask for careful control and monitoring.

Secondary Positron Beam properties **# Primary** CEBAF Electron Beam properties

- **Different transverse profile** \rightarrow different target cells
- \circ **Different emittance** \rightarrow different background conditions
- \circ Different target cells \rightarrow no compensation of target related systematics
- \circ Different background conditions \rightarrow additional systematic effects

- Take DVCS data with the secondary electron beam simultaneously produced at the positron production target.



Detector Related Systematics

> Potential false asymmetries may occur due to e^- and e^+ from same vertex and kinematics passing through different parts of the detector shifted in ϕ in a sector.



- Switch the solenoid field to reveal false asymmetries in FD, which may create false asymmetries in proton tracking.

- Measure, simultaneously to DVCS, elastic scattering cross sections for e^- and e^+ at low-Q² where 2γ -effects are small.



Evaluation of Systematics

> Solid angle and detector efficiency differences between e^- and e^+ result in corrections (η_c) to raw normalized yield asymmetries $(\mathcal{Y}^c_{UU}, \mathcal{Y}^c_{LU})$, and related systematic uncertainties.



Assuming 5% systematic uncertainty on $\epsilon \Delta \Omega$ and raw asymmetries within -50%-50% :

- Unpolarized BCA systematics are contained < 0.09;
- Nul polarized BCA can be measured within a systematic uncertainty about 0.03.



Beam Time Request

We are asking for a total of 100 days of beam, operating CLAS12 with a modified 5 cm long LH₂ target under 45 nA e^- and e^+ beam exposure polarized at 60%, distributed in :

	Beam parameters						Sol	Tor				
Purpose Label	Label	q (e)	Nat.	E	Ι	λ	Target	Pol.	Pol.	Time		
				(GeV)	(nA)	(%)				(h)		
$ep \to ep$ Ca	Cal.		P		- 45	0		_	- +	24		
			1	2.2				+		24		
			S	2.2				+		24		
							$5~{\rm cm}$	-		24		
$ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$	Phy.			10.6		60	LH ₂	_		480		
Background	Cal.							_		48		
$ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$	Phy.	-						+		480		
Background	Cal.							+		48		
Commissioning								+		72		
$\begin{array}{c c} ep \to ep & \text{Cal.} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Commissioning} \end{array}$	Cal			2.2		0		+		24		
				45		5 cm	-		24			
		G					_		72			
$ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$	Phy.	-	5	10.6	45	60	LH ₂	_	-	480		
Background	Cal.							_		48		
$ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$	Phy.							+		480		
Background	Cal.										+	
									Total	2400		

- 80 days for physics data taking;
- 20 days for commissioning and calibration;

using lepton beams of different nature

- 2 days with the CEBAF e⁻ beam;
- 46 days with the secondary e⁻ beam;
- 52 days with the secondary e⁺ beam;

and different energies

- o 9 days at 2.2 GeV;
- 91 days at 10.6 GeV.



p-DVCS BCAs @ CLAS12



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under agreement No 824093.



p-DVCS BCAs @ CLAS12



We are proposing to measure **Beam Charge Asymmetries for the DVCS reaction off protons** at 10.6 GeV with **CLAS12** and using the **secondary polarized electron and positron beams** produced at a PEPPo positron source.

The separation of the DVCS and INT reaction amplitudes will provide unambiguous experimental signals and will benefit CFFs and GPDs determination, particularly $\Re[\mathcal{H}]$.

The direct access to the real part of the INT amplitude via positron beams will constitute a real qualitative shift for DVCS studies.

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under agreement No 824093. *Newport News, July 24th, 2020*

Additional Slides ...



e⁺ @ JLab White Paper

A. Accardi et al. arXiv:2007.##### (2020)

e⁺@JLab White Paper ∼. ∼. ∼	<list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item>
ADESpectramental Drogram vith Dositron Beams Boom and State States and States	 H.M. Gipan Pant, K. and K. S. K. A. K. S. K.

An experimental program with positron beams at Jefferson Lab

discussing **15** possible **experiments** covering:

- o Generalized Parton Distributions physics,
- Two-Photon Exchange physics,
- Tests of the Standard Model,
- o and other specific measurements.

Supported by 196 Members from 59 Institutions



N(e,e'γN) Dífferentíal Cross Section

M. Diehl at the CLAS12 European Workshop, Genova, February 25-28, 2009



$$\sigma_{PS}^{e} = \sigma_{P0}^{e} + S \left[P_{1} \Delta \sigma_{BH} + \left(\Delta \widetilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} + P_{1} \Delta \sigma_{DVCS} \right) + e_{1} \left(\Delta \widetilde{\sigma}_{INT} + P_{1} \Delta \sigma_{INT} \right) \right]$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Additional observables} \\ \hline \mbox{cbservables} \\ \mbox{cbservables} \\ \hline \mbox{$

Polarized electrons and positrons allow to **separate** the unknown amplitudes of the cross section for electro-production of photons.

Newport News, July 24th, 2020



Existing Data

(H1 Collaboration) F.D. Aaron et al. PLB 681 (2009) 391 (HERMES Collaboration) A. Airapetian et al. JHEP 06 (2008) 066 - 11 (2009) 083 - 07 (2012) 032

Pioneering measurements of DVCS with electron and positron beams at HERA demonstrated the existence of a BCA-signal.



• The COMPASS experiment operating high energy μ^{\pm} beams should release in a near future BCA data in the sea-quark region.



Bethe-Heitler Dominance

A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, PRD 82 (2010) 074010

K. Kumerički, D. Müller, NPB 841 (2010) 1 B. Berthou et al. EPJC 78 (2018) 478 M. Vanderhaeghen, P.A.M. Guichon, M. Guidal, PRD 60 (1999) 094017



BH-dominance is a kinematics- and GPD model-dependent hypothesis eventually testable at CLAS12.



Experimental Projections

> A sample of expected experimental data...

