AITASK FORCE

Gagik Gavalian, CLAS Collaboration Meeting July 21, 2020







- Gagik Gavalian (PI) **D.** Lawrence (PI) V. Ziegler (core) T. Britton (core) N. Baltzell (core) **O.** Hansen (core) **C.** Fanelli (core) M. Ungaro (external) **D. Heddle (external)**
- L. Elouadrhiri (external)

- 1. Identify areas in CLAS12 software where Al-supported solution can be implemented
- 3. Quantify the expected improvement in the area of applications
- Hall-B staff
- 6. Estimate costs and identify resources needed for the different projects
- 7. Evaluate synergies with other projects at the lab providing a list of shared resources and common goals



2. Assess existing AI technologies finding the most suited for the different projects 4. Define a work plan to test the proposed solutions with a time chart and milestones 5. Define the requirement of an education plan to provide basic concepts to the whole



Introduction

The Areas Where CLAS12 can benefit from AI?

- finding efficiency improvements.

- **RICH:** Using AI for ring reconstruction

- generation.
- and resolutions already applied.

ONLINE

OFFLINE

SIMULATION



Tracking Applications: AI can be used to improve track candidate finding. High luminosity track

Clustering: Electromagnetic Calorimeter clustering. Cluster splitting for two photon separation. **Particle Identification:** Improve PID with AI using detector responses.

Data Reduction: Reduce data online by choosing events containing electron tracks (Level-3 trigger) **Detector Monitoring:** Monitor detector for problems and problems, identify run time problems **Calibration:** Data calibration in real time. Reduce time spent on pass-0 cooking

Simulation: Replace slow parts of GEANT simulation with AI (GANs), speed up calorimeter shower

Fast Monte-Carlo: AI can be used to process input event and produce an output event with acceptance









Offline Projects

Track Classification:

- classification significantly improves processing speed (~x6) and efficiency is 99.5%.
- benefit from AI pattern recognition techniques.
- **Track Segment Predictions:** \bullet
 - segments from regions 2&3. This will help to do clustering in high luminosity runs.
- **Track Parameters Predictions:**
 - Based on segments in the DC our Neural Network was able to give track momentum with accuracy of $\sim 3\%$.
 - \bullet factor of 3.
- **Calorimetry in the Offline:**
 - Clustering for calorimeters, splitting clusters (hall-D)
- **Particle Identification:**
 - Particle classification based on responses from detectors. Both Hall-B and Hall-D need it.

Jefferson Lab celerator Facility

In CLAS12 many combinations of tracks are considered based on the segments in the drift chamber. Combination

Hall A's SBS program relies on GEM trackers that will see high occupancies (~20-30%). The tracking regions are essentially field free. Identifying clusters of GEM strips as well as finding (straight) tracks in the tracker systems would undoubtedly

Hall A's SoLID program also plans to use GEM trackers. These will generally see somewhat lower occupancies than SBS, but tracks will not be straight, but rather helical in the field of the solenoidal magnet. AI techniques will be beneficial here, too.

Using LSTM (Long-Short Term Memory) Networks it is possible to predict where segments in region 1 should be based on

This will impact number of iterations needed for the Kalman-Filter to converge. Improvements to speed are estimated to

Further improvements to the network can be done to infer also angles of the track and other initial parameters.



Online Projects

Level 3 Trigger:

- patterns in DC and RAW hits pattern in Electromagnetic Calorimeter.
- Initial tests provide inference rate of 85 KHz (on CPU)
- This method can be extended to be used in Streaming Readout, with unsupervised learning.
- noise.

Detector Monitoring:

- processed from each file.
- to implement it in Hall-B also.
- \bullet events.

Online Data Calibration:

- running.
- Significantly will reduce time spend on cooking and calibrating detector component in the offline.



Some tests were performed to identify events where electron was reconstructed in the calorimeter, based on RAW hits

Some of the SoLID configurations will probably require a 3rd level trigger where AI might help classify signal vs.

Neural Networks can be used to monitor detector health during running, and to classify runs based on histograms

Successful program was developed for Hall-D (Hydra) for online detector monitoring, we can collaborate with GlueX

This will also be important for streaming readout, where it will be useful to find faults before reconstructing the

Neural Networks can be used also to calibrate (maybe event gain match) detector components while experiment is



Simulation Projects

Simulation Speed up through Detector Response modeling:

- in GEANT4. They take about ~70% of simulation time.
- ~1000x.
- These methods can be used to in Hall-B, GlueX, Hall-A/C to provide much faster detector simulations.
- \bullet full simulation, would almost certainly be an improvement here.

• Fast Monte-Carlo:

- Fast Monte Carlo is used to assess detector acceptances and rates for upcoming experiments.
- \bullet



• Some detector responses generation (such as Electromagnetic Calorimeter shower generation) take a very long time

There are already successful projects that use GANs to simulate calorimetry response that promise speed up up to

Both SBS and SoLID run fairly compute-intensive simulations and digitization. Both experiments rely heavily on calorimeters (both for electrons and for hadrons), but neither experiment currently runs full calorimeter simulations due to their prohibitive CPU requirements. AI-assisted calorimeter response simulations, even if less accurate than a

Parametrization of detector sensitive volume is used, along with parametrization of detector resolutions.

AI can be used to process input event and produce an output event with acceptance and resolutions already applied.







Existing AI technologies

- AI technologies:
 - Industry standard solutions to AI problems are Tenser-Flow, Keras, Sci-kit Learn
 - These packages are used in Python, and can be installed on any machine, including CUE.
 - Work on CPU/GPU and can potentially be ported to FPGA.



- **JLAB AI Environment:**
 - JLAB recently installed JUPYTER LAB, that can be launched on farm machines and run jobs interactively.
 - Several Machines with Nvidia-2080 RTX GPUs are available for Machine Learning Projects.







Existing AI technologies

- **Reconstruction Software:**
 - CLAS12 Reconstruction software is primarily in JAVA. lacksquare
 - There are several Java Libraries that provide Machine Learning, including:
 - Deep Learning 4j
 - Apache-Spark MLib
 - Neuroph
 - Weka
 - Some Java libraries are based on Native C++ libraries compiled for local lacksquareplatform and have same performance as Python counterpart.
 - DeepLearning4J also supports GPU.
 - I tried all of them and found the DL4J to me best suited for our needs. lacksquareInterface is more friendly and has most of networks useful for us.
- **Online Application:**
 - Java based AI can be easily integrated with existing CLAS12 tools for calibration and detector monitoring.









JAVA AI/ML/DL

- **PRO**:
 - The final product comes as one JAR file, runnable anywhere. (seriously, just one file), python installation installs thousands of files.
 - Most important network types are implemented, such as:
 - Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)
 - Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)
 - Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN, LSTM, GRU)
 - Can run on GPU
 - Can run on Jupyter Lab with JAVA kernels, which are available on JLAB Jupyter.
 - Easily plugged in into CLAS12 workflow
 - Work on native C++ libraries, as fast as python libraries
 - Can run networks that were trained in python and saved as HDF5 file (some restrictions apply), but in most part works.



CONS:

- Documentation and examples are not as abundant as for Python libraries.
- Have to switch Languages. \bullet
- New Developments in AI are not ported very quickly into the library.
- Not all network Types are available in the library, like GANs, but they will probably be ported as well.
- Some data manipulation tools have to be \bullet implemented to match panda from python.
- Have to program in Java.







Work Plan

- Work plan and its success depends on the available resources and gender neutral power.

Project Description

Track candidate finding from DC clusters (continue the

Extend track finding to LSTM for cluster finding in high

Collaborate with Hall A/C to test our networks with the

Start development of Level-3 trigger AI & test it online

Detector online monitoring using AI

Investigate GANs for electromagnetic calorimeter show

Particle identification improvements using AI (post reco



Everyone from collaboration is welcome to participate (I think this can qualify as service work)

	Timeline (Approximate)
e development)	Dec 2020
n luminosity runs	Aug 2021
eir tracking applications	July 2021
	July 2021
	July 2021
wer generation	Dec 2021
onstruction)	Dec 2021



Estimate Cost and Identify Resources

• Track Reconstruction Applications:

- During past year we have formed a collaboration with ODU CRTC department to assist us with Neural Networks training and software implementations.
- CRTC has big resources for running training on latest NVIDIA cards on their farm. No Harare investments are needed. Past year we paid on Grad student salary to ODU to work on Hall-B project, we can extend it to have 2 Grad Students to assist as with above mentioned projects with total cost of ~\$50K.
- From JLAB staff some supervision will be required (based on my previous experience about 0.5 FTE)
- **Online Monitoring and Triggering:**
 - At ODU they used our data to construct unsupervised train-less networks, mainly done for track path predictions, but \bullet can be used in Level 3, Monitoring and Calibration applications.
 - With collaboration with computer scientists JLAB supervision will be required too (0.5 FTE) \bullet

Simulation AI:

• We were not able to identify resources and cost for this work yet.







Summary

- Areas of application:
 - **Offline**: \bullet
 - Already positive results from track candidate identification (code speedup x6), will be integrated into workflow soon. \bullet
 - Continue this effort to improve track reconstruction efficiency (predicting track trajectory with LSTMs) \bullet
 - Collaborate with with other Halls to exchange experience and implementations. \bullet
 - **Online**: \bullet
 - raw EC hits, and matching them for electron trigger.
 - fault feedback.
 - Simulation:
 - Look into the projects that already implemented shower generation in calorimeter (<u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.10321</u>)
- Synergies:
 - Collaborate with Hall A/C to test our AI tracking approach in their tracking applications
 - Collaborate with GlueX to implement their online monitoring AI software (Hydra) in Hall-B
 - Calorimeter clustering developments (needed for Level-3 trigger) can be shared with GlueX
 - Electromagnetic Calorimeter shower generation with GANs will be useful development for Hall A/C and GlueX
- How to get comfortable with AI:
 - Read more articles online about machine learning and deep learning, take some classes online,
 - attend AI Lunch seminars (Wednesdays 12:00PM).
 - There are many talks and tutorials on YouTube.

Jefferson Lab rator Facility

Implement and test Neural Networks for Level-3 trigger, assess efficiency and speed, includes track identification from raw DC hits and clusters from Implement online detector monitoring, collaborate with GlueX, they have established framework that can be trained on any data and can provide Investigate how to do online data calibration, no work has been done in this direction at the LAB, we have to find out what HEP community is doing.



Thanks

Thanks to the team for contributions and advice !

Gagik Gavalian (PI) D. Lawrence (PI) V. Ziegler (core) T. Britton (core) N. Baltzell (core)





O. Hansen (core) C. Fanelli (core) M. Ungaro (external) **D. Heddle (external)** L. Elouadrhiri (external)



Backup



