## Probing Nucleon Spin Structure Using Deep Inelastic Scattering

### E12-06-121: Neutron $g_2$ and $d_2$

Spokespersons: B. Sawatzky, W. Korsch, T. Averett, Z.-E. Meziani

Murchhana Roy University of Kentucky

July 16<sup>th</sup>, 2020





- Introduction to DIS
- Existing results and projections
- Hall C layout
- Rate estimates and run plan
- Progress and updates
- Summary

- Introduction to DIS
- Existing results and projections
- Hall C layout
- Rate estimates and run plan
- Progress and updates
- Summary

### **Deep Inelastic Scattering**

### **Unpolarized cross section:**

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\,\Omega\,\mathrm{d}\mathrm{E}'} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4\,\mathrm{E}^2\sin^4\frac{\theta}{2}} \left( \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}}\,\mathrm{F}_1(\mathrm{x}\,,\mathrm{Q}^2)\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}\,\mathrm{F}_2(\mathrm{x}\,,\mathrm{Q}^2)\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} \right)$$

• Unpolarized structure functions  ${\bf F}_1$  and  ${\bf F}_2$  contain information about the momentum structure of the target nucleon.

### **Polarized cross section:**

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{E}'\mathrm{d}\,\Omega}(\downarrow \Uparrow -\uparrow \Uparrow) = \frac{4\,\alpha^{2}\,\mathrm{E}'}{\mathrm{M}\,\mathrm{Q}^{2}\nu\,\mathrm{E}} [(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E}'\cos\theta)\mathrm{g}_{1}(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{Q}^{2}) - \frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\nu}\mathrm{g}_{2}(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{Q}^{2})] = \Delta\sigma_{\parallel} \left| \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \mathrm{Q}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{E}'\mathrm{d}\,\Omega}(\downarrow \Rightarrow -\uparrow \Rightarrow) = \frac{4\,\alpha^{2}\sin\theta\,\mathrm{E'}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}\,\mathrm{Q}^{2}\nu^{2}\,\mathrm{E}} [\nu\,\mathrm{g}_{1}(\,\mathrm{x}\,,\mathrm{Q}^{2}) + 2\,\mathrm{E}\,\mathrm{g}_{2}(\,\mathrm{x}\,,\mathrm{Q}^{2})] = \Delta\sigma_{\perp}$$

• Polarized structure functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  encode information about the spin structure of the target nucleon.



 $Q^2 = 4$ -momentum transfer squared of the virtual photon

$$v_{\parallel} | v = E - E' = energy transfer$$

 $\theta$  = scattering angle

x = Fraction of nucleon momentum carried by the struck quark

### g<sub>2</sub> and Quark-Gluon Correlations

- In naive quark parton model, nucleon is viewed as a collection of non interacting, point like constituents.
- $g_2$  has no interpretation in naive quark parton model, provides information on quark-gluon correlation.



•  $g_2$  is among the cleanest higher twist observables – contributes to leading order (twist-2 is leading twist) at the transverse spin asymmetry.

$$g_2(x,Q^2)=g_2^{WW}(x,Q^2)+\bar{g}_2(x,Q^2)$$

• Twist-2 term (Wandzura & Wilczek).

$$g_2^{WW}(x,Q^2) = -g_1(x,Q^2) + \int_x^1 \frac{g_1(y,Q^2)}{y} dy$$

• Twist-3 term with a suppressed twist-2 piece (Cortes, Pire & Ralston).

$$\overline{g_{2}(x,Q^{2})} = -\int_{x}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{m_{q}}{M} h_{T}(y,Q^{2}) - \xi(y,Q^{2}) \right) \frac{dy}{y}$$
Transversity
Quark-gluon
correlation

### d<sub>2</sub>: Clean Probe of Quark-Gluon Correlations

d<sub>2</sub> is a clean probe of quark-gluon correlations / higher twist effects - third moment of the linear combination of the spin structure function.

$$d_{2}(Q^{2}) = 3\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} [2g_{1}(x,Q^{2}) + 3g_{2}(x,Q^{2})] dx = 3\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \bar{g_{2}}(x,Q^{2}) dx$$

- Related to matrix element in OPE, which represents average color Lorentz force on the struck quark due to the remnant di-quark system and it is cleanly computable using Lattice QCD.
- Connected to "color polarizability".

$$\chi_{\rm E} \!=\! \frac{(4d_2\!+\!2f_2)}{3} \qquad \qquad \chi_{\rm B} \!=\! \frac{(4d_2\!-\!f_2)}{3}$$

•  $f_2$  is a twist-4 contribution can be extracted from the first moment of  $g_1$ .

$$\Gamma_1 = \int_0^1 g_1 dx = \mu_2 + \frac{M^2}{9Q^2} (a_2 + 4d_2 + 4f_2) + O\left(\frac{\mu^6}{Q^4}\right)$$



Response of the color  $\,\vec{B}$  and  $\vec{E}$  field to the nucleon polarization

- Introduction to DIS
- Existing results and projections
- Hall C layout
- Rate estimates and run plan
- Progress and updates
- Summary

### **Existing results:** d<sub>2</sub> for proton and neutron



### E12-06-121: Projected Results

# Projection of $x^2g_2^{n}$ over broad range of x.

Points are vertically offset from zero along lines that reflect different (roughly) constant  $Q^2$  values from 2.5—6 GeV<sup>2</sup>.





• Direct overlap with 6 GeV Hall A measurements.

- Introduction to DIS
- Existing results and projections
- Hall C layout
- Rate estimates and run plan
- Progress and updates
- Summary

### E12-06-121: Hall C Layout



#### **Spectrometers:**

- Super High Momentum Spectrometer (SHMS).
- High Momentum Spectrometer (HMS).

#### **Electron Beam :**

- Beam energies
  - → 10.4 GeV/c (production) [5-pass]
  - → 2.1 GeV/c (calibration) [1-pass]
- Beam current
  - → 30 µA (production)
  - →  $45 \mu A$  (max, calibration)
- Beam polarization  $\sim 80\%$ 
  - → Measured to ~3% using Moller polarimetry.

#### Polarized <sup>3</sup>He target:

- 40 cm long <sup>3</sup>He cell.
- Target polarization
  - → 45 55% in beam
  - → 55 60% without beam.

### E12-06-121: Kinematic coverage

- Reduced kinematic settings vs. proposal to accommodate run-time reduction and lower electron beam energy.
- SHMS collects data at  $\theta = 11^{\circ}$ , 14.5° and 18.0° for 125 hrs each.
- HMS collects data at  $\theta = 13.5^{\circ}$ , 16.4°, 20.0° for 125 hrs each.
- Each arm measures an absolute polarized cross section independent of the other arm to extract  $g_1, g_2$ .

SHMS Production						
Setting	P <sub>o</sub>	Angle	х	${ m Q^2} \ ({ m GeV^2/c^2})$	W (GeV)	
Х	7.5	11.0°	0.527	2.866	1.859	
Y	6.4	$14.5^{\circ}$	0.565	4.240	2.036	
Ζ	5.6	18.0°	0.633	5.701	2.046	



	HMS Production						
Setting	P <sub>o</sub>	Angle	Х	${ m Q^2}$ (GeV <sup>2</sup> /c <sup>2</sup> )	W (GeV)		
А	4.2	$13.5^{\circ}$	0.207	2.414	3.178		
В	4.2	$16.4^{\circ}$	0.305	3.554	2.993		
С	4.0	$20.0^{\circ}$	0.418	5.018	2.806		

### Polarized <sup>3</sup>He target

- Short lifetime of neutron (886 s), no dense neutron target.
- Effective neutron target: 90% of <sup>3</sup>He spin comes from the neutron spin.





- **Polarization method:** Spin exchange optical pumping (SEOP)
  - 1. Optical Pumping
  - 2. Spin exchange
- Polarization measurements:
  - 1. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)
  - 2. Pulse NMR
  - 3. Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR)



- Introduction to DIS
- Existing results and projections
- Hall C layout
- Rate estimates and run plan
- Progress and updates
- Summary

### E12-06-121: Rate estimates

HMS Kin.	theta (deg)	E' (GeV)	Q <sup>2</sup> (GeV <sup>2</sup> )	х	W (GeV)	e <sup>.</sup> rate (Hz)	π rate (Hz)	t <sub> </sub> (Hz)	t⊥ (Hz)	ΔA <sub>raw</sub> (par) (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	ΔΑ <sub>raw</sub> (perp) (10 <sup>-4</sup> )
А	13.5	4.2	2.474	0.216	3.14	3083	2973	8.06	116.9	2.71	0.7
В	16.4	4.2	3.643	0.318	2.948	179	100.3	9.6	115.4	4.48	1.297
С	20	4	5.018	0.418	2.806	39	13.9	11.2	113.8	8.91	2.8
SHMS Kin.	theta (deg)	E' (GeV)	Q <sup>2</sup> (GeV <sup>2</sup> )	x	W (GeV)	e <sup>.</sup> rate (Hz)	π <sup>-</sup> rate (Hz)	t <sub>  </sub> (Hz)	t <sub>⊥</sub> (Hz)	ΔΑ <sub>raw</sub> (par) (10 <sup>-4</sup> )	ΔΑ <sub>raw</sub> (perp) (10 <sup>-4</sup> )
Х	11	7.5	2.866	0.527	1.859	3153	5.29	9.6	115.4	2.51	0.723
Y	14.5	6.4	4.24	0.565	2.036	528.2	5.62	11.38	113.6	5.63	1.78
Z	18	5.6	5.701	0.633	2.046	80.82	1.06	12.85	112.1	13.5	4.58

 $-\Delta A_{charge} = 0$ 

• The tables have a first estimate of the expected rates and error in raw asymmetries for the different kinematics (B. Sawatzky, W. Korsch).

Input parameters and assumptions

E [GeV]	$l_{tgt}$ [cm]	$\rho_{tgt}$ (fill) [amg]	$\rho_{tgt}$ (T-corr.) [amg]	$\mathbf{P}_{tgt}$	$\mathbf{P}_{beam}$	I [ $\mu A$	Be [mil]	GE180 $[\mu m]$	$A_{charge}$ [ppm]
10.4	40	8.26	10.56	0.50	0.80	30	10	280	200
	Assur • S	nptions: SHMS - SHMS accep - N <sub>2</sub> density of - $\Delta P_{tgt} = 0$ - $\Delta P_{beam} = 0$ - $\Delta A_{charge} = 0$ HMS - HMS accepta - N <sub>2</sub> density of - $\Delta P_{tgt} = 0$ - $\Delta P_{tgt} = 0$ - $\Delta P_{beam} = 0$	tance: 50 cm, $-15\%$ , f $1.4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) ance: 10 cm, $-10\%$ , + f $1.4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	+25%	(relativ relative	e to $p_0$ ) to $p_0$ )			

### E12-06-121: Run Plan

#### **Beam time allocation:**

A total of **6 calendar weeks** of electron beam time is expected.

SHMS Production			HMS Production		
Setting	P <sub>o</sub>	Angle	Setting	P <sub>o</sub>	Angle
X	7.5	11.0°	А	4.2	$13.5^{\circ}$
Y	6.4	$14.5^{\circ}$	В	4.2	$16.4^{\circ}$
Z	5.6	$18^{\circ}$	С	4.0	20.0°

Start with 5-pass production: SHMS- Kin X, HMS- Kin C (~ 1-2 calendar weeks)



Return to 5-pass production: SHMS- Kin Z, HMS- Kin A SHMS- Kin Y, HMS- Kin B (rest of the beam time, ~3-4 calendar weeks)

### E12-06-121: Run Plan

### **5-pass running (Production)**

#### For each kinematic pair

- Reference cell runs: <sup>3</sup>He,  $N_2$
- Empty cell run
- -8 hrs Optics (C-foil + Sieve)-
- Positive polarity runs: 4 hrs optics, 4 hrs production
- Target NMR (1–2 / shift), PNMR, EPR measurements
- Production runs

#### **Instrumentation / Calibration runs**

- BPM calibration (2 hour)
- BCM calibration (2 hour)
- Beam energy (2 hour)

1-pass running (Calibration)

#### Nominal to do list :

- 8 hr Moller run
- 4 hr Optics run at  $p_0 = 2.1 \text{ GeV/c}$
- Pressure curves for current cell
- Hydrogen elastics
- Delta QE measurements
- E12-06-121A <sup>3</sup>He Elastic Form Factor Measurements

- Introduction to DIS
- Existing results and projections
- Hall C layout
- Rate estimates and run plan
- Progress and updates
- Summary

### E12-06-121: Progress and Updates

# Field mapping and field direction measurements:

- Both the magnetic field mapping and magnetic field direction measurements in target region were completed in March, 2020.
- The vertical correction coil current settings were optimized to eliminate any vertical magnetic field component and to get reduced gradient along the target cell.
- The magnetic field direction was scanned along the target length using an air floated compass. The uncertainty in the direction measurement was limited to ±0.1°.



Results from Field mapping



Air compass used for field direction measurements



Results from compass measurements

### E12-06-121: Progress and Updates

#### **Target preparation and polarimetry:**

- Polarized <sup>3</sup>He cell "Austin" and the reference cell "Christen" were installed in March.
- Target optics were cleaned up.
- Laser software was upgraded.
- Laser alignment in both transverse and longitudinal direction was done.
- Laser polarization optimized, laser spectrum analyzer calibrated.
- New holding field Kepco power supplies were installed and calibrated.
- Quick magnetic field measurement check was done. Results agreed with the field mapping done in March







Laser box status 06/11





Clean up oven mirrors and windows 06/11



- Longitudinal left line laser spot on oven window (after realignment)
- right line laser spot on oven wind (after realignment)

### E12-06-121: Progress and Updates

#### Target preparation and polarimetry:

- Target polarimetry is working perfectly NMR, PNMR and EPR.
- Target ladder alignment has been completed.





Target ladder alignment

- Cell characterization has been completed for <sup>3</sup>He cell "Tommy" (the cell that will be used after "Austin").
- Target spin up and other polarization studies on "Austin" are in progress.



PNMR signal obtained on 07/02/2020





Transverse NMR signal obtained on 07/03/2020

EPR sweep on 07/14/2020

- Introduction to DIS
- Existing results and projections
- Hall C layout
- Rate estimates and run plan
- Progress and updates
- Summary

### Summary

- The experiment E12-06-121 (neutron  $g_2$  and  $d_2$ ) is expected to start production on  $27^{th}$  July, 2020.
- <sup>3</sup>He target "Austin" is installed and polarimetry is working.
- Large precision data for  $g_2$  and  $d_2$  over high x and  $Q^2$  will be obtained,  $d_2^{n}$  will be evaluated at truly constant  $Q^2$  values for the first time.
- This experiment will provide insight into quark-gluon correlations.
- Several theoretical predictions (especially Lattice QCD) will be verified.

### **Supporting Documentations**

- Polarized Helium-3 Experiments wiki (2019/2020) https://hallcweb.jlab.org/wiki/index.php/Polarized\_Helium-3\_Experiments
- Proposals
  - https://hallcweb.jlab.org/wiki/images/c/cb/PR12-06-121.pdf
  - https://hallcweb.jlab.org/wiki/images/1/1a/D2n\_HallC\_PAC36-update\_v2.pdf

- Polarized <sup>3</sup>He Target
  - https://hallcweb.jlab.org/wiki/index.php/Pol\_He-3\_Target\_Information
  - https://www.jlab.org/indico/event/351/session/1/contribution/9/material/slides/0.pdf
- E06-014 (2009  $d_2^{n}$  experiment) wiki

# **Back-up Slides**

### **Twist Expansion**

\* Quark electromagnetic current in forward Compton amplitude,

$$T_{\mu\nu} = i \int d^4 z \; e^{iqz} < N \left| T \left( j_{\mu}(z) j_{\mu}(0) \right) \right| N >$$

- Operator product expansion (OPE) :  $j_{\mu}(z)j_{\mu}(0) = \sum C_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n} \mathcal{O}_{d,n}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}$ 



• Dimension Analysis : 
$$C_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n} \mathcal{O}_{d,n}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n} \longrightarrow \frac{q_{\mu_1}}{Q} \dots \frac{q_{\mu_n}}{Q} Q^{2-d} M^{d-n-2} p^{\mu_1} \dots p^{\mu_n}$$
  
 $\rightarrow \frac{P.q}{Q^n} Q^{2-d} M^{d-n-2}$   
 $\rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^n \left(\frac{Q}{M}\right)^{2+n-d}$   
 $\rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^n \left(\frac{Q}{M}\right)^{2-t}$ 

	Quark	Gluon
d	3/2	2
n	1/2	1
t	1	1

+1/2

1/2

Twist, t = d-n

### **Systematic Error Table**

Item description	Subitem description	Relative uncertainty
Target polarization		1.5 %
Beam polarization		3 %
Asymmetry (raw)		
	<ul> <li>Target spin direction (0.1°)</li> </ul>	$< 5  imes 10^{-4}$
	<ul> <li>Beam charge asymmetry</li> </ul>	< 50  ppm
Cross section (raw)		
	• DID afficiancy	< 1.%
	<ul> <li>Background Rejection efficiency</li> </ul>	< 1 % ~ 1 %
	Beam charge	< 1 %
	Beam position	< 1 %
	Acceptance cut	2-3 %
	Target density	< 2%
	<ul> <li>Nitrogen dilution</li> </ul>	< 1 %
	Dead time	<1%
	• Finite Acceptance cut	<1%
Radiative corrections		$\leq$ 5 %
From <sup>3</sup> He to Neutron correction		5 %
Total systematic uncertainty (for both $g_2^n(x, Q^2)$	and $d_2(Q^2))$	$\leq 10~\%$
Estimate of contributions to <i>d</i> <sub>2</sub> from unmeasured region	$\int_{0.003}^{0.23} \tilde{d}_2^{p_1}  dx$	$4.8 \times 10^{-4}$
Projected absolute statistical uncertainty on $d_2$		$\Delta d_2 \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}$
<b>Projected absolute systematic uncertainty on</b> $d_2$ ( <i>assuming</i> $d_2 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ )		$\Delta d_2 \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}$

### **Neutron Asymmetries from <sup>3</sup>He**

• 
$$A_1^n = \frac{1}{P_n} \frac{F_2^{3He}}{F_2^n \left(1 + \frac{0.056}{P_n}\right)} \left( A_1^{3He} - 2P_p \left(1 - \frac{0.014}{2P_p}\right) \frac{F_2^p}{F_2^{3He}} A_1^p \right)$$

• 
$$A_2^n = \frac{1}{P_n} \frac{F_2^{^3He}}{F_2^n \left(1 + \frac{0.056}{P_n}\right)} \left( A_2^{^3He} - 2P_p \left(1 - \frac{0.014}{2P_p}\right) \frac{F_2^p}{F_2^{^3He}} A_2^p \right)$$

$$P_p, P_n$$
: Effective  
proton and neutron  
polarizations in  $\mathbf{3}_{He}$ 

• 
$$\frac{g_1^n}{F_1^n} = \frac{1}{P_n} \frac{F_2^{3He}}{F_2^n \left(1 + \frac{0.056}{P_n}\right)} \left(\frac{g_1^{3He}}{F_1^{3He}} - 2P_p \left(1 - \frac{0.014}{2P_p}\right) \frac{F_2^p}{F_2^{3He}} \frac{g_1^p}{F_1^p}\right)$$

$$\cdot \frac{g_2^n}{F_1^n} = \frac{1}{P_n} \frac{F_2^{^3He}}{F_2^n \left(1 + \frac{0.056}{P_n}\right)} \left(\frac{g_2^{^3He}}{F_1^{^3He}} - 2P_p \left(1 - \frac{0.014}{2P_p}\right) \frac{F_2^p}{F_2^{^3He}} \frac{g_2^p}{F_1^p}\right)$$

### E12-06-121A: Measurement of <sup>3</sup>He Elastic Electromagnetic Form Factors

- Significant discrepancies between theoretical and experimental <sup>3</sup>He FFs (particularly  $G_M$ ).
- All higher Q<sup>2</sup> data are from unpolarized electron scattering results.

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{exp} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{Mott} \frac{1}{1+\tau} \left[G_{E}^{2}(Q^{2}) + \frac{\tau}{\epsilon}G_{M}^{2}(Q^{2})\right]$$

with 
$$\epsilon = (1+2(1+\tau)\tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2}))^{-1}$$
 and  $\tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M^2}$ 

• Double polarization asymmetry:

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{phys}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{\tau(1+\tau)}\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{E}}^{2} + \frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}} \left[\sin\left(\theta'\right)\cos\left(\varphi'\right)\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{E}}\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{M}} + \sqrt{\tau\left[1 + (1+\tau)\tan^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right]}\cos\left(\theta'\right)\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}\right]$$

New independent tool to map FFs without the issues of unpolarized Rosenbluth measurements!



### E12-06-121A: Proposed Procedure

#### Take data during d<sub>2</sub><sup>n</sup> 1-pass (~24 PAC hours)

- Polarized <sup>3</sup>He target ( polarization > 50 % )
- HMS:
  - Positioned at single angle centered on the anticipated FF diffractive minima for the entirety of the run.
- SHMS:
  - → Start at small angles and step up in  $Q^2$  passing through the  $G_E$  minimum and approaching just below  $G_M$ 's.
  - Constrains the minima locations while mapping the asymmetry.



### <sup>3</sup>He Charge Form Factor



### <sup>3</sup>He Magnetic Form Factor

