### MICHAEL PAOLONE TEMPLE UNIVERSITY

FOR THE E05-110 COLLABORATION.

# THE COULOMB SUM RULE IN NUCLEI









Inclusive electron scattering cross-section:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} = \sigma_{\text{Mott}} \left[ \frac{q^4}{|\boldsymbol{q}|^4} R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|) + \left( \frac{q^2}{2|\boldsymbol{q}|^2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) R_T(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|) \right]$$

 $(\omega, oldsymbol{q})$  $k_f$ q = $k_i$ 

Inclusive electron scattering cross-section:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} = \sigma_{\rm Mott} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q^4}{|\boldsymbol{q}|^4} R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}) \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & \mathsf{Scattering resolute to charge} \end{bmatrix}$$





Inclusive electron scattering cross-section:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} = \sigma_{\text{Mott}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q^4}{|\boldsymbol{q}|^4} R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|) \\ & \downarrow \\ \text{Scattering responses} \end{bmatrix}$$
Coulomb Sum Rule definition:  

$$S_L(|\boldsymbol{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+}^{|\boldsymbol{q}|} d\omega \frac{R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|)}{Z\tilde{G}_{Ep}^2(Q^2) + N\tilde{G}_{En}^2(Q^2)}$$

Coulom



sponse ge properties

Scattering response due to **magnetic** properties

If one integrates the charge response divided by the total charge form factor over all available virtual photon energies, naively one might expect the integral to go to unity.

Inclusive electron scattering cross-section:

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} = \sigma_{\text{Mott}} \begin{bmatrix} q^{4} & R_{L}(\omega, | q) \\ | q |^{4} & R_{L}(\omega, | q) \end{bmatrix}$$
Scattering reduce to **Charge**

$$\int_{-\infty}^{|q|} d\omega \frac{R_{L}(\omega, |q|)}{\pi \tilde{\sigma}^{2} + M \tilde{\sigma}^{2}}$$

Coulomb

$$S_L(|\boldsymbol{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+}^{|\boldsymbol{q}|} d\omega \frac{R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|)}{Z\tilde{G}_{Ep}^2(Q^2) + N\tilde{G}_{En}^2(Q^2)}$$

At small |**q**|, S<sub>L</sub> will deviate from unity due to long range nuclear effects, Pauli blocking. (directly calculable, well understood).



sponse ge properties Scattering response due to **magnetic** properties

2

If one integrates the charge response divided by the total charge form factor over all available virtual photon energies, naively one might expect the integral to go to unity.

Inclusive electron scattering cross-section:

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega d\omega} = \sigma_{\text{Mott}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q^{4}}{|\boldsymbol{q}|^{4}} R_{L}(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|) \end{bmatrix}$$
Scattering resolution:  
Scattering resolution:  
Scattering Rule definition:  
Scattering Rule (|\boldsymbol{q}|)

$$S_L(|\boldsymbol{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+} d\omega \frac{IC_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|)}{Z\tilde{G}_{Ep}^2(Q^2) + N\tilde{G}_{En}^2(Q^2)}$$

At small |**q**|, S<sub>L</sub> will deviate from unity due to long range nuclear effects, Pauli blocking. (directly calculable, well understood).

### At large $|q| >> 2k_f$ , S<sub>L</sub> should go to 1. Any significant\* deviation from this would be an indication of relativistic or medium effects distorting the nucleon form factor!



sponse **e** properties

Scattering response due to **magnetic** properties

2)

If one integrates the charge response divided by the total charge form factor over all available virtual photon energies, naively one might expect the integral to go to unity.

\*Short range correlations will also quench  $S_L$ , but only by < 10%



- Long standing issue with many years of theoretical interest.
- Even most state-of the-art models cannot predict existing data.
- New precise data at larger |q| would provide crucial insight and constraints to modern calculations.

$$S_L(|\boldsymbol{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+}^{|\boldsymbol{q}|} d\omega \frac{R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|)}{Z\tilde{G}_{Ep}^2(Q^2) + N\tilde{G}_{En}^2(Q^2)}$$

At large  $|q| >> 2k_f$ , S<sub>L</sub> should go to 1. Any significant\* deviation from this



## would be an indication of relativistic or medium effects distorting the nucleon form factor!

\*Short range correlations will also quench S<sub>L</sub>, but only by < 10%







## **QUASI-ELASTIC SCATTERING**

- Quasi-elastic scattering at intermediate Q<sup>2</sup> is the region of interest for our experiment:
  - Nuclei investigated:
    - <sup>4</sup>He
    - 12**C**
    - <sup>56</sup>Fe
    - 208Pb





- We want to integrate above the coherent elastic peak:
- Quasi-elastic is "elastic" scattering on constituent nucleons inside nucleus.



## **PUBLISHED EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

First group of experiments from Saclay, Bates, and SLAC show a quenching of S<sub>L</sub> consistent with medium modified form-factors.

$$S_L(|\boldsymbol{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+}^{|\boldsymbol{q}|} d\omega \frac{R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|)}{Z\tilde{G}_{Ep}^2(Q^2) + N\tilde{G}_{En}^2(Q^2)}$$



|**q**<sub>eff</sub>| is |**q**| corrected for a nuclei dependent mean coulomb potential. Methodology agreed on by Andreas Aste, Steve Wallace and John Tjon.



## **PUBLISHED EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

- First group of experiments from Saclay, Bates, and SLAC show a quenching of S<sub>L</sub> consistent with medium modified form-factors.
- Very little data above |q| of 600 MeV/c, where the cleanest signal of medium effects should exist!
  - Saclay, Bates limited in beam energy reach up to 800 MeV.
  - SLAC limited in kinematic coverage of scattered electron at |q| below 1150 MeV/c.

 $S_L(|{m q}_{
m eff}|)$ 



|**q**<sub>eff</sub>| is |**q**| corrected for a nuclei dependent mean coulomb potential. Methodology agreed on by Andreas Aste, Steve Wallace and John Tjon.



# **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

- Need  $R_L \longrightarrow$  Use Rosenbluth separation!  $S_L(|\boldsymbol{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+}^{|\boldsymbol{q}|} d\omega \frac{R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|)}{Z\tilde{G}_{F_m}^2(Q^2) + N\tilde{G}_{F_m}^2(Q^2)}$ 
  - Experiment run at 4 angles per target: 15, 60, 90, 120 degs. Very large lever arm for precise calculation of R<sub>L</sub>!
- Need data for each angle at a constant |q| over an  $\omega$  range starting above the elastic peak up to |q|.
  - constant over your momentum acceptance.
    - $\triangleright$  Need to take data at varying beam energies, and "map-out" |q| and  $\omega$  space.



When running a single arm experiment with fixed beam energy and scattering angle, |q| is NOT



## **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

If one wants to measure from 100 to  $600 \text{ MeV} \omega$  at constant  $|\mathbf{q}| = 650$ MeV/c

CSR calculated at constant |**q**| !!

d<sub>eff</sub> (GeV/c)

0.8

0.6

0.4

0

$$S_L(|\boldsymbol{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+}^{|\boldsymbol{q}|} d\omega \frac{R_L(\omega, |\boldsymbol{q}|)}{Z\tilde{G}_{Ep}^2(Q^2) + N\tilde{G}_{En}^2(Q^2)}$$



![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

- If one wants to measure from 100 to
   600 MeV ω at constant |q| = 650
   MeV/c
  - Take data at different beam energies, and interpolate to determine cross-section at constant |q|.

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

q<sub>eff</sub> (GeV/c)

0.8

0.6

0.4

0

## **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

- If one wants to measure from 100 to
   600 MeV ω at constant |q| = 650
   MeV/c
  - Take data at different beam energies, and interpolate to determine cross-section at constant |q|.
  - |q| can be selected between 550 and 1000 MeV/c

Repeat this "mapping" for 60, 90, and 120 degree spectrometer central angles.

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

Repeat this "mapping" for 60, 90, and 120 degree spectrometer central angles.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SPECIFICS**

- ► E05-110:
  - Data taken from October 23rd 2007 to January 16th 2008
  - 4 central angle settings: 15, 60, 90, 120 degs.
  - Many beam energy settings: 0.4 to 4.0 GeV
  - Many central momentum settings: 0.1 to 4.0 GeV
  - LHRS and RHRS independent (redundant) measurements for most settings

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_9.jpeg)

▶ 4 targets: <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>56</sup>Fe, <sup>208</sup>Pb.

### Each data line represents a constant beam-energy

### ONGOING STUDIES: ELASTIC CROSS-SECTIONS AND LOW MOMENTUM NORMALIZATIO

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

### <sup>12</sup>C elastic XS at 1260 MeV, 15 degrees

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

- 34102 -5.64e-05 0.02221
- Blue histograms are reconstructed data.
- Red histograms are monte-carlo:
  - Event sample generated from expected
     XS calculations (Fourier-Bessel fit to world data)
  - Radiative effects (internal, external, vertex) are handled, including exact bremsstrahlung distributions.
  - Resolution effects are applied by calculating the expected material effects of tracks passing through the VDC chamber materials.

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

## COMPARISON TO WORLD DATA

 By using our available ω / |q| space over 4 an Rosenbluth fits to calculate at a given angle.

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

By using our available  $\omega$  / |q| space over 4 angles, we can interpolate to any  $\omega$  / |q| , and use

## **COMPARISON TO WORLD DATA**

 By using our available ω / |q| space over 4 an Rosenbluth fits to calculate at a given angle.

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

By using our available  $\omega$  / |q| space over 4 angles, we can interpolate to any  $\omega$  / |q| , and use

### **More Iron Comparisons**

## COMPARISON TO WORLD DATA

 By using our available ω / |q| space over 4 an Rosenbluth fits to calculate at a given angle.

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

By using our available  $\omega$  / |q| space over 4 angles, we can interpolate to any  $\omega$  / |q| , and use

### **CSR CARBON**

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

### <sup>12</sup>C at |q| = 650 MeV

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **CSR CARBON**

<sup>12</sup>C at |q| = 650 MeV

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **SUMMARY / LOOKING AHEAD**

- Recent efforts:
  - Our understanding of the behavior of the response of the HRS at very low central momentum settings has improved.
  - Good understanding of Elastic XS's
  - Good agreement with world data provides confidence in XS extraction, radiative corrections, efficiencies, and interpolation methodology.
- Looking ahead:
  - > LHRS/ RHRS (redundant measurements) comparisons need to be revisited with latest updates to low momentum HRS response.
  - The Iron and Carbon CSR is very close to completion (expected this year).
    - Following those, we need to focus on extended target extraction (including Helium, se Kai Jin's talk next).

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

Kalyan Allada, Korand Aniol, Jon Arrington, Hamza Atac, Todd Averett, Herat Bandara, Werner Boeglin, Alexandre Camsonne, Mustafa Canan, Jian-Ping Chen, Wei Chen, Khem Chirapatpimol, Seonho Choi, Eugene Chudakov, Evaristo Cisbani, Francesco Cusanno, Rafelle De Leo, Chiranjib Dutta, Cesar Fernandez-Ramirez, David Flay, Salvatore Frullani, Haiyan Gao, Franco Garibaldi, Ronald Gilman, Oleksandr Glamazdin, Brian Hahn, Ole Hansen, Douglas Higinbotham, Tim Holmstrom, Bitao Hu, Jin Huang, Yan Huang, Florian Itard, Liyang Jiang, Xiaodong Jiang, Kai Jin, Hoyoung Kang, Joe Katich, Mina Katramatou, Aidan Kelleher, Elena Khrosinkova, Gerfried Kumbartzki, John LeRose, Xiaomei Li, Richard Lindgren, Nilanga Liyanage, Joaquin Lopez Herraiz, Lagamba Luigi, Alexandre Lukhanin, Michael Paolone, Maria Martinez Perez, Dustin McNulty, **Zein-Eddine Meziani**, Robert Michaels, Miha Mihovilovic, Joseph Morgenstern, Blaine Norum, **Yoomin Oh**, Michael Olson, Makis Petratos, Milan Potokar, Xin Qian, Yi Qiang, Arun Saha, Brad Sawatzky, Elaine Schulte, Mitra Shabestari, Simon Sirca, Patricia Solvignon, Jeongseog Song, Nikolaos Sparveris, Ramesh Subedi, Vincent Sulkosky, Jose Udias, Javier Vignote, Eric Voutier, Youcai Wang, John Watson, Yunxiu Ye, Xinhu Yan, Huan Yao, Zhihong Ye, Xiaohui Zhan, Yi Zhang, Xiaochao Zheng, Lingyan Zhu and Hall-A collaboration

### **THANK YOU!!!**

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)