${\rm J}/\psi$ production in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions with ALICE

Corentin Cot, on behalf of the ALICE collaboration



Institut de Physique des 2 infinis Irène Joliot Curie (IJCLab) - Université Paris-Saclay

The 9th international conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics - QNP 2022 Florida State University - 5-10th September 2022

J/ψ as a tool for studying small systems



pp collisions :

- Allow to understand better the quarkonium production mechanisms.
- Give a **reference** for Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions.

The J/ψ meson :

- Ground state of spin 1 charmonia.
- Heavy quarks produced at the initial stage of the collision and described by perturbative QCD.
- Hadronization into a "colourless" bound state through a non-perturbative process.

p-Pb collisions :

• Information on cold nuclear matter effects.

<ロ><回><回><回><三><三><三><三><三><2/25

High multiplicity events :

- Give insight on multiparton interactions (MPI).
- Insights on **collectivity** via for instance elliptic flow measurements.



J/ψ as a probe of the QGP in Pb-Pb collisions

Pb-Pb collisions

- Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) is a deconfined state of nuclear matter produced in heavy ions collisions.
- Quarkonia **suppressed** by color screening due to free color charges in the QGP or by dissociation.

T. Matsui and H. Satz, PLB 178 (1986) 416, A Rothkopf, Phys. Rept. 858 (2020) 1-117

 Recombination happening at the LHC energies due to the large cc production cross section.

P. Braun-Muzinger, J. Stachel, PLB 490 (2000) 196, R. Thews et al, Phys. Rev. C 63 (2001) 054905)

• Non-prompt J/ψ , originating from beauty hadron decays, allow to access beauty quark energy loss inside the QGP.





P Braun-Munzinger and J Stachel, Nature volume 448, pages 302-309 (2007)

C. Cot - QNP 2022

<ロ> < 回> < 回> < 目> < 目> < 目> 目 の < で 3/25

A Large Ion Collider Experiment (ALICE)



- Central barrel : inclusive J/ψ down to zero p_T and prompt/non-prompt J/ψ down to low p_T .
- Muon spectrometer : inclusive quarkonia down to zero p_T .



4 D 5 4 B 5 4 B 5 4 B 5 B



J/ψ production for different energies at forward rapidity

Cross section :

- Increasing values and hardness of the p_T spectra with the energy of the collision.
- Data in agreement with NRQCD + FONLL model.
- Same result for ICEM + FONLL model (Back-up). Cheung et al., Phys. Rev. D 98, 114029 (2018)

Ratio :

- For the ratio, part of the theoretical and experimental uncertainties cancel → more constraints on models.
- Data reproduced by NRQCD + FONLL within uncertainties, 7-to-13 TeV ratio at the lower edge of model predictions.
- Separating prompt and non-prompt production at forward-y could help to constrain further separately the models.



NRQCD, Butenschön et al. : arXiv:1009.5662

FONLL, Cacciari et al. : arXiv:1205.6344

Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ production at midrapidity

ALICE



- For prompt J/ψ , most of the models are in **good agreement** with the data. k_T factorisation model slightly overestimates the production at low p_T .
- Non-prompt J/ψ in agreement with FONLL model.

J/ψ production as a function of multiplicity



- Self-normalized J/ψ yield measured at forward rapidity shows a **linear trend** as a function of midrapidity multiplicity.
- Stronger than linear increase for the J/ψ self-normalised yield at midrapidity.

• Models can reproduce qualitatively the trends observed at both rapidities within uncertainties.

p-Pb collisions

ALICE





• Good agreement between ALICE and LHCb for both double J/ψ production cross section and its ratio to single J/ψ production cross section.

Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ nuclear modification factor



- Inclusive and prompt J/ψ suppressed at low p_T by about 40%; smaller suppression for non-prompt J/ψ with no significant p_T dependence.
- Data compatible with ATLAS in the common p_T range.
- For inclusive and prompt $J/\psi R_{pPb}$, models implementing initial state effects (nPDF modification and/or coherent energy loss) agree with data.
- EPPS16 reweighted nPDFs or FONLL coupled to EPPS16 nPDFs describe well non-prompt J/ψ data.



Non-prompt J/ψ fraction



- TRD triggered data used at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV.
- No visible CNM effect within the large experimental uncertainties.
- Good agreement between ALICE and ATLAS in the common p_T range.

Inclusive J/ψ production in Pb-Pb collisions



- Forward rapidity R_{AA} smaller compared to midrapidity at low p_T .
- R_{AA} is showing the effect of regeneration (low- p_T , mid-y and central collisions).
- R_{AA} shows also suppression/dissociation (high- p_T central events and semi-central collisions).
- Good agreement with models including regeneration at low p_T and in central events.



(Non-)Prompt J/ψ R_{AA} vs centrality



• Similar values of prompt and non-prompt R_{AA} , except for most central collisions \rightarrow hint at regeneration for prompt J/ψ .

(Non-)Prompt $J/\psi R_{AA}$ vs p_T in centrality intervals



- **Prompt** $J/\psi R_{AA}$ increases at low p_T especially in central collisions \rightarrow consistent with the regeneration scenario.
- Strong suppression at large p_T for both prompt and non-prompt J/ψ .

C. Cot - QNP 2022

・ロト <
同 ト <
言 ト <
言 ト ミ う へ で 13/25
</p>

(Non-)Prompt J/ψ R_{AA} vs p_T : comparison with models



- Agreement between ALICE, ATLAS and CMS results in the overlapping p_T range; ALICE extends measurements down to $p_T = 1.5$ GeV/c.
- SHMc model which includes regeneration agrees with prompt J/ψ R_{AA} measurement at low p_T , while model by Vitev et al. which includes quarkonium dissociation can reproduce data at high p_T .
- Both Djordjevic and CUJET models, including collisional and radiative energy loss processes, agree with measured non-prompt $J/\psi R_{AA}$.

C. Cot - QNP 2022

<ロト < 母 ト < 主 ト < 主 ト ミ の < で 14/25

p_ (GeV/c)

Elliptic flow measurements in Pb-Pb collisions



- Non-zero v₂, vanishing towards zero p_T.
- Increasing trend at low p_T ; decrease at high p_T approaching a non-zero asymptotic value.
- Transport model with *c*-quark SMCs explains better the trend observed for the data at intermediate p_T .

C. Cot - QNP 2022





Elliptic flow measurements: comparison among collisions systems



- No collective behavior observed for the J/ψ in pp collisions with ALICE.
- Hierarchy of flow amplitude with system size : v₂(pp) < v₂(pPb) < v₂(PbPb)

C. Cot - QNP 2022

<□ > < @ > < ≥ > < ≥ > ≥ < ○ < 16/25</p>

pp collisions :

- Prompt J/ψ production at midrapidity described by NRQCD and ICEM models, non-prompt J/ψ by FONLL. Inclusive J/ψ described by NRQCD+FONLL and ICEM+FONLL at forward y.
- First di- J/ψ and $J/\psi v_2$ measurements in pp collisions. No evidence for J/ψ flow in pp.
- Production vs multiplicity qualitatively described by models for both midrapidity and forward rapidity J/ψ .

p-Pb collisions :

Good agreement with theoretical models including initial state effects.

Pb-Pb collisions:

- Theoretical models including regeneration agree with prompt and inclusive $J/\psi R_{AA}$ measurements.
- v_2 results in Pb-Pb agree with transport models calculations.

Coming soon with Run 3:

- Smaller statistical uncertainties thanks to the increased statistics $(J/\psi \text{ vs mult, double } J/\psi...)$.
- Separation of prompt/non-prompt J/ψ at forward rapidity thanks to the new MFT detector.
- Improved spatial resolution at midrapidity thanks to the ITS upgrade. aue. イロト (周) (ヨト (ヨト ヨー りへぐ 17/25

Physics motivation	pp collisions	p-Pb collisions	Pb-Pb collisions	Back-up
Thanks				ALICE

Thank you for your attention !



Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ separation

• The pseudo proper decay length x is better designed for central rapidity :

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{\vec{L}.\vec{p_T}M_{J/\psi}}{|\vec{p_T}|}$$

where \vec{L} is the vector from the PV to the SV.

• Prompt : Dirac function / Non-prompt : Exponential. Both are convoluted with a triple-Gaussian function.





J/ψ production at forward rapidity in pp collisions (ICEM model)

- In agreement with the ICEM + FONLL model.
- Ratio theoretical values qualitatively reproduce the data, slightly overestimating it.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Scale-dependent nuclear modification factor for gluons



• Shadowing explains suppress in prompt $J/\psi R_{pPb}$ at low p_T .

Self-Normalized J/ψ yield vs multiplicity



• Agreement between SPD and V0 excludes self-correlation bias.



ALICE

Comparison of nuclear modification factor vs p_T with models



• First data using TRD trigger at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV.



Non-prompt ratio in Pb-Pb collisions



- No strong centrality dependence. Small reduction of f_B in central events due to the regeneration of prompt J/ψ .
- Increase of non-prompt production with p_T , consistent with CMS measurement in the common p_T interval.

p-Pb collisions



Elliptic flow definition

$$\mathbf{v}_{n}SP = \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{u}_{n}\mathbf{Q}_{n}^{A*}}{\sqrt{\frac{\langle Q_{n}^{A}Q_{n}^{B*} \rangle \langle Q_{n}^{A}Q_{n}^{C*} \rangle}{\langle Q_{n}^{B}Q_{n}^{C*} \rangle}}} \right\rangle_{II}$$

- $u_n = e^{in\phi}$ is the unit flow vector for a given harmonic *n*, of the particle of interest and Q_n^{A*} the complex conjugate of the event flow vector in a subdetector A.
- Q_n^B and Q_n^C are the *n*-th harmonic event flow vectors measured in two additional subdetectors, B and C.
- The star (*) represents the complex conjugate and the bracket $\langle ... \rangle_{\parallel}$ indicates the average over dileptons from all events in a given p_T range, dilepton invariant mass (m_{\parallel}) , and centrality interval.
- No path-length dependence with this method as compared to the geometrical methode.

JHEP10(2020)141