Baryon spectroscopy results from the Bonn-Gatchina-PWA

A. Sarantsev



Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute

PNPI – NRC Kurchatov Institute (Gatchina, Russia) HISKP, Uni-Bonn (Bonn, Germany)

QNP2022, FSU, September 2022

Bonn-Gatchina partial wave analysis group:

A. Anisovich, E. Klempt, K. Nikonov, A. Sarantsev, U. Thoma. http://pwa.hiskp.uni-bonn.de/



Responsible: Dr. V. Nikonov, E-mail: <u>nikonov@hiskp.uni-bonn.de</u> Last changes: January 26th, 2010.

Search for light baryon states

- 1. Single and double meson production in pion-induced reactions: The old data on $\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma, \pi \pi N$, and ωn
- 2. Single and double meson photoproduction reactions. $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma, \pi \pi N, \pi \eta N, \omega p, K^*\Lambda,$ CB-ELSA, CLAS, MAMI GRAAL, LEPS.
- 3. The decay of heavy mesons $\Psi'
 ightarrow \pi^0 p \bar{p}, \eta p \bar{p}$ (BES III).
- 4. The hyperon production in the kaon-nucleon collision reactions $K^-p \to K^-p, K_0n, \pi\Lambda, \pi\Sigma, \pi\pi\Lambda, \pi\pi\Sigma, K\pi N$, $\gamma p \to \Sigma K\pi$

Energy dependent fully covariant approach

In many cases an unambiguous partial wave decomposition at fixed energies is impossible. Then the energy and angular parts should be analyzed together:

$$A(s,t) = \sum_{\beta\beta'n} A_n^{\beta\beta'}(s) Q_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{(\beta)+} F_{\nu_1...\nu_n}^{\mu_1...\mu_n} Q_{\nu_1...\nu_n}^{(\beta')}$$

 πN interaction:

$$Q_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{(+n)} = X_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{(n)} \qquad Q_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{(-n)} = i\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_5 X_{\nu\mu_1...\mu_n}^{(n+1)}$$

$$X^{0} = 1; \quad X^{1}_{\mu} = k^{\perp}_{\mu}; \quad X^{2}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{3}{2} \left(k^{\perp}_{\mu} k^{\perp}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3} k^{2}_{\perp} g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} \right);$$
$$X^{3}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \frac{5}{2} \left[k^{\perp}_{\mu} k^{\perp}_{\nu} k^{\perp}_{\alpha} - \frac{k^{2}_{\perp}}{5} \left(g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} k^{\perp}_{\alpha} + g^{\perp}_{\mu\alpha} k^{\perp}_{\nu} + g_{\nu\alpha} k^{\perp}_{\mu} \right) \right],$$

1. C. Zemach, Phys. Rev. 140, B97 (1965); 140, B109 (1965).

- 2. S.U.Chung, Phys. Rev. D 57, 431 (1998).
- A. V. Anisovich, V. V. Anisovich, V. N. Markov, M. A. Matveev and A. V. Sarantsev, J. Phys. G 28, 15 (2002)
- 3. B. S. Zou and D. V. Bugg, Eur. Phys. J. A 16, 537 (2003)

N/D based (D-matrix) analysis of the data

Channels included in D-matrix: $\pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma, \Delta \pi, N\sigma, N\rho(770), N(1520)\pi$, $N(1535)\pi, N\omega$, Black Box

Minimization methods

1. The two body final states $\pi N, \gamma N \to \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma, \omega N, K^*\Lambda$: χ^2 method. For *n* measured bins we minimize

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{j}^{n} \frac{\left(\sigma_j(PWA) - \sigma_j(exp)\right)^2}{(\Delta\sigma_j(exp))^2}$$

Present solution for γp reaction $\chi^2 = 69435$ for 46644 points. $\chi^2/N_F = 1.49$

2. Reactions with three or more final states are analyzed with logarithm likelihood method. $\pi N, \gamma N \rightarrow \pi \pi N, \pi \eta N$. The minimization function:

$$f = -\sum_{j}^{N(data)} ln \frac{\sigma_j(PWA)}{\sum_{m}^{N(rec MC)} \sigma_m(PWA)}$$

This method allows us to take into account all correlations in many dimensional phase space. Above 1 000 000 data events are taken in the fit.

The included meson photoproduction data

DATA	2011-2019	added in 2019-2022
$\pi N ightarrow \pi N$ ampl.	SAID	Hoehler (energy fixed)
$\pi^- p \to \pi \pi N$	$d\sigma/d\Omega$ ($\pi^0\pi^0n$, $\pi^+\pi^-n$, $\pi^-\pi^0p$)	
$\pi^- p \to \eta n$	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	
$\pi p \to K\Lambda, K\Sigma$	$d\sigma/\!d\Omega$, P, eta	
$\pi p \to \omega n$		$d\sigma\!/\!d\Omega$
$\gamma p \to \pi N$	$d\sigma/\!d\Omega, \Sigma, T, P, E, G, H$ ($\pi^0 p, \pi^+ n$)	
$\gamma p \to \eta p$	$d\sigma/\!d\Omega, \Sigma, F, T, P, H, G, E$	
$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta' p$	$d\sigma\!/\!d\Omega$, Σ	
$\gamma p \to K \Lambda, K \Sigma$	$d\sigma/d\Omega, \Sigma, P, T, C_x, C_z, O_{x'}, O_{z'}$	
$\gamma p \to \pi^0 \pi^0 p$	$d\sigma/d\Omega, \Sigma, E, I_c, I_s$	$\Sigma, E, T, P, H, F, P_x, P_y$ (CB-ELSA
$\gamma p \to \pi^+ \pi^- p$	$d\sigma/d\Omega$	I_c, I_s (CLAS)
$\gamma p \to \omega p$	$d\sigma/d\Omega, \Sigma, ho_{ij}^k, E, G$ (CB-ELSA), Σ , P,T,F,H (CLAS)	K-matrix channel
$\gamma n \to \Lambda K, \Sigma^- K$	$d\sigma\!/\!d\Omega$ (CLAS), E (CLAS)	Σ , G (CLAS)
$\gamma n \to \pi^- p$	$d\sigma\!/\!d\Omega,\!\Sigma,P$, E,Σ (CLAS)	
$\gamma n \to \eta n$	$d\sigma/d\Omega$ (CB-ELSA, MAMI), Σ , $d\sigma/d\Omega$ $(h=rac{1}{2})$ (CB-ELSA)	

$\gamma p \to \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ Polarization observables

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\Theta,\varphi) = \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega}(\Theta) \left[1 - \Sigma(\Theta)\cos(2\varphi) - \Lambda_x H(\Theta)\sin(2\phi) - \Lambda_y P(\Theta)\cos(2\varphi) + \Lambda_y T(\Theta)\right]$$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega}(\Theta) \Big[1 + \Lambda_x P_x + \Lambda_y P_y + \sin(2\varphi) \big(I^s + \Lambda_x P_x^s + \Lambda_y P_y^s \big) \\ + \cos(2\varphi) \big(I^c + \Lambda_x P_x^c + \Lambda_y P_y^c \big) \Big]$$

 $\gamma p
ightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ polarization observables from CB-ELSA (T.Seifen)



 $\gamma p
ightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ polarization observables from CB-ELSA (T.Seifen)



 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ polarization observables from CB-ELSA (T.Seifen)



$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- p$: I^c and I^c polarization observables from CLAS (V.Crede)



	N π	$\Delta \pi$	$\Delta\pi$	$N(1440)\pi$	$N(1520)\pi$	$N(1535)\pi$	N σ
		(L < J)	(L > J)				
$N(1535) 1/2^{-}$	46±5 ₅2±5	x	5±3 2.5±1.5	6±5 12±8	:	:	4 ±2 6±4
$N(1520) 3/2^-$	61±3 _{61±2}	10 ± 4 19±4	10±3 9±2	≤1	:	:	$\leq \frac{2}{2}$
$N(1650) 1/2^{-}$	48 ±4 ₅1±4	X	6±3 12±6	5 ±3 16±10	:	:	3±2 10±8
$N(1700) 3/2^{-}$	20±8 15±6	66±17 _{65±15}	7±4 9±5	9±5 7±4	<2 4	<1 <1	6±4 8±6
$N(1675)5/2^{-1}$	40±1 41±2	19±3 _{30±7}	:	:	:	:	1±1 5±2
$\Delta(1620) 1/2^{-1}$	30±5 28±3	X	28±15 _{62±10}	15±8 6±3	:		X
$\Delta(1700) 3/2^{-}$	22±6 22±4	16±15 20±15	8±6 10±6	3±2 	<1 3±2	<1 <1	X
$\Delta(1600) 3/2^+$	17±4 14±4	70±6 77±5	<2 2	<1 22±5	-	-	X
$N(1720) 3/2^+$	13±5 11±4	15 ±7 62±15	6±6 6±6	6±5 <2	7 ±3 3±2	4 <u></u> ±2 <2	20±10 2 8±6
$N(1680) 5/2^+$	68±8 62±4	8±4 7±3	8±4 10±3	-	≤1	:	8±4 2 ^{14±5}
$\Delta(1910) 1/2^+$	16±6 ₁2±3	x	17士9 50士16	50±18 6±3	:	4±2 5±3	x
$\Delta(1920) 3/2^+$	12±6 8±4	5 ±4 18±10	40±20 58±14	9±6 < 4	10±8 < 5	5±5 < 2	X
$\Delta(1905)5/2^+$	13±4 ₁3±2	20±12 33±10	:	-	:	$\left \begin{array}{c} \leq 1 \\ \leq 1 \end{array} \right $	X
$\Delta(1950)7/2^+$	46±4 46±2	5±4 ₅±4	:	-	:		X

Density matrix elements for $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{\omega} \, d\Omega_{dec}} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{\omega}} W(\cos\Theta_{dec}, \Phi_{dec})$$
$$\gamma p \to p \omega (\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$$
$$W(\cos\Theta, \Phi) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 - \rho_{00}) + \frac{1}{2} (3\rho_{00} - 1) \cos^2\Theta - \sqrt{2Re\rho_{10}} \sin 2\Theta \cos\Phi - \rho_{1-1} \sin^2\Theta \cos 2\Phi \right).$$

 $\cos\Theta, \Phi$ direction of the vector $n = \varepsilon_{ijkm} p_j^{\pi^+} p_k^{\pi^-} p_m^{\pi^0}$ in the ω rest frame.

$$\gamma p \to p \omega (\gamma \pi^0)$$
$$W(\cos \Theta, \Phi) = \frac{3}{8\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^2 \Theta) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 3\cos^2 \Theta) \rho_{00} + \sqrt{2Re\rho_{10}} \sin(2\Theta) \cos \Phi + \rho_{1-1} \sin^2 \Theta \cos 2\Phi \right).$$

 $\cos\Theta, \Phi$ angles of photon from ω decay in the ω rest frame



Fit of the density matrix elements $\gamma p
ightarrow p \omega$ (CB-ELSA)

Omega photoproduction

and polarization observables from CB-ELSA



There is an indication for the S_{11} state with mass 2160 ± 40 MeV and width 280 ± 60 MeV

Observable	Solution with $S_{11}(2160)$	Basic Solution	Ν
$d\sigma/d\Omega$	0.92	1.02	648
r_{00}	2.37	2.42	297
r_{10}	1.29	1.38	297
r_{10}	1.61	1.81	297
\sum	1.99	2.12	491
P	1.73	1.94	50
F	1.74	1.85	152
H	1.30	1.43	50
E	2.43	2.50	104
T	1.06	1.36	144

SUMMARY

- The new polarization data on the double pion photoproduction provide an important constrain for the data analysis.
- The branching ratios of the baryon states into $\Delta \pi$, $N\sigma$, $N(1440)\pi$, $N(1520)\pi$, $N(1535)\pi$ and $N(1680)\pi$ decay channels are determined with a good precision.
- $\bullet\,$ The ωN channel is included dynamically in the analysis.
- In many partial waves the unitarity limit was reached in the energy region below 2 GeV.
- The present solution has a strong prediction power, but some properties can be better defined with the double polarization experiments.
- The analysis of the observables from $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$ suggests an existence of the S_{11} state in the mass region 2160 MeV. However the present data can not define it unambiguously.

Resonance	Rating	$N_{ m pp}$	Resonance	Rating	$N_{ m pp}$	Resonance	Rating	$N_{ m pp}$
$ m N(1440)1/2^+$	****	13	$ m N(1520)3/2^-$	****	17	$ m N(1535)1/2^-$	****	15
$ m N(1650)1/2^-$	****	18	$ m N(1675)5/2^-$	****	14	$ m N(1680)5/2^+$	****	17
N(1685)	*		$ m N(1700)3/2^-$	***	15	$N(1710)1/2^+$	***	14
$ m N(1720)3/2^+$	****	17	$N(1860)5/2^+$	**	9	$N(1875)3/2^-$	***	16
$N(1880)1/2^+$	***	20	$N(1895)1/2^-$	****	17	$N(1900)3/2^+$	****	18
$ m N(1990)7/2^+$	**	9	$ m N(2000)5/2^+$	**	11	$N(2040)3/2^+$	*	
$N(2060)5/2^-$	**	13	$ m N(2100)1/2^+$	*		$N(2150)3/2^-$	**	11
$ m N(2190)7/2^-$	****	11	$ m N(2220)7/2^-$	****	7	$ m N(2250)9/2^-$	****	
$N(2600)11/2^-$	***		$ m N(2700) 13/2^+$	**				
$\Delta(1232)$	****	8	$\Delta(1600)3/2^+$	***	12	$\Delta(1620)1/2^-$	****	10
$\Delta(1700)3/2^-$	****	11	$\Delta(1750)1/2^+$	*		$\Delta(1900)1/2^-$	**	13
$\Delta(1905)5/2^+$	****	11	$\Delta(1910)1/2^+$	****	13	$\Delta(1920)3/2^+$	***	21
$\Delta(1930)5/2^-$	***		$\Delta(1940)3/2^-$	*	5	$\Delta(1950)7/2^+$	****	13
$\Delta(2000)5/2^+$	**		$\Delta(2150)1/2^-$	*		$\Delta(2200)7/2^-$	*	
$\Delta(2300)9/2^+$	**		$\Delta(2350)3/2^-$	*		$\Delta(2390)7/2^+$	*	
$\Delta(2420)11/2^+$	****		$\Delta(2400)9/2^-$	****		$\Delta(2750)13/2^-$	**	
$\Delta(2950)15/2^+$	**							