# **Experimental study of** $\overline{KNN}$ and future $\overline{K}$ -nuclei experiments at J-PARC

### Takumi Yamaga (RIKEN) for the J-PARC E15 collaboration

QNP2022 (2022.9.5 – 9.9)



$$I_{\bar{K}N} = 0 \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -K^{-}p + \bar{K}^{0}n \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Strong} \\ \text{attractive} \end{array}$$

$$I_{\bar{K}N} = 1 \quad \frac{\bar{K}^{0}p}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(K^{-}p + \bar{K}^{0}n\right)} \quad \text{attractive}$$



## **KN** interaction

Possible to make quasi-bound states with  $I_{\bar{K}N} = 0$ 





### The lightest $\bar{K}$ -nucleus

$$(\bar{K}[NN]^{I=0})^{I=1/2}$$

$$J^{\pi} = 1^{-}$$

$$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}[\bar{K}N]^{I=0}N + \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}[\bar{K}N]^{I=1}N$$

$$(\bar{K}[NN]^{I=1})^{I=1/2}$$

$$J^{\pi} = 0^{-}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}[\bar{K}N]^{I=0}N + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}[\bar{K}N]^{I=1}N$$

$$(\bar{K}[NN]^{I=1})^{I=1/2}$$

$$[\bar{K}N]^{I=1}N$$

### Should be the ground state

$$I_z = + 1/2$$





To select  $\Lambda pn$  final state To measure  $\Lambda p$  invariant-mass & momentum transfer

## J-PARC E15

# **Obtained 2D distribution**





## **Model functions**





## Fit result



#### Whole distributions are well reproduced.

40

quasi-free

20



80

## broad hat we observed

The peak position does not depend on q.

### $0.3 < q_x \leq 96$ field be resonance.

data

QF-K absorption total cess is clearly observed.

Intermediate K exist during the reaction.  $\underbrace{KNN \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} p}{KNN \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} p}$ 

The peak position is below the  $M_{\bar{K}NN}$ .  $\rightarrow$  We interpreted it as  $\overline{KNN}$  signal.

 $BE = 42 \pm 3$  (stat.)  $^{+3}_{-4}$  (syst.) MeV

 $\Gamma \cong 100 \pm 7 \text{ (stats)} + 19 \text{ (syst.) MeV}$ > 0.9 GeV/c obtained as peak position & width of simple Breit-Wigner





## **Compare to theoretical calculation**



Theoretical calculation supports that the observed peak is KNN signal.

T. Sekihara, E. Oset, and A. Ramos, JPSCP 26 (2019) 023009  $m_{\bar{K}} + 2m_N$ Theory (A) Theory (B) Exp. (all - BG)**Calculated spectra** E15 data 2.35 2.4 2.45 2.55 2.5 26  $M_{\Lambda p}$  [GeV]

## Remaining questions

Is the observed resonance really what we expected?

Other possibilities such as  $\Sigma^*N$ ?

Does  $\overline{K}$  really keep it particle identity?

We need further systematic measurements to answer the questions & to robustly confirm  $\bar{K}$ -nuclei.

Precise study for  $\bar{K}NN$ 

Search for heavier  $\bar{K}$ -nuclei

# Ongoing analysis for K-nuclei







## Future experiments







# **Conceptual design of new CDS**



>90% solid angle coverage

**Neutron detection capability** 

Sensitivity for proton polarization

Construction has been started (Completed in 2025)





# Programs for *K*-nuclei



### *Ē***NN system**

#### $J^{\pi}$ determination

- To confirm the existence more robustly
- Measuring  $d\sigma/dq$  &  $\alpha_{\Lambda p}$
- Search for  $(\bar{K}NN)^{I_z=-1/2}$
- Isospin partner of observed  $\bar{K}NN$ 
  - $\bar{K}NN \rightarrow \Lambda n$  decay

#### Decay branch

Mesonic  $\pi\Lambda N, \pi\Sigma N$ 

#### Heavier system

 $\bar{K}NNN$  system Door to heavier system  ${}^{4}\text{He}(K^{-}, N)$  reaction  $K^{-}ppn - \bar{K}^{0}pnn$  (I=0)

 $\bar{K}NNNN$  systemExpected large B.E. & high density $^{6}Li(K^{-}, d)$  reaction $K^{-}-\alpha$  $\bar{K}^{0}-\alpha$ 



**Determination of**  $J^{\pi}$  for  $\bar{K}NN$ 

## Internal configuration & $J^{\pi}$



Possible internal configurations have different  $J^{\pi}$ .

# How to determine $J^P$

$$(\bar{K}[NN]^{I=0})^{I=1/2}$$

$$J^{\pi} = 1^{-}$$

$$[L_{\Lambda p} = 1]$$

$$[L_{\Lambda p} = 1] + \frac{1}{3}[S_{\Lambda p} = 0]$$

$$\swarrow$$

$$\alpha_{\Lambda p} = + 1/3$$

$$(\bar{K}[N] = 1)$$

$$(\bar{K}[N] = 1)$$

$$[L_{\Lambda p} = 1] + \frac{1}{3}[S_{\Lambda p} = 0]$$

$$[S_{\Lambda p} = 1] + \frac{1}{3}[S_{\Lambda p} = 0]$$

$$\alpha_{\Lambda p} = + 1/3$$



$$(\Sigma^*N)^{I=1/2}$$
$$J^{\pi} = 2^+$$
$$[L_{\Lambda p} = 2]$$
$$\frac{\otimes}{1} \frac{1}{2} [S_{\Lambda p} = 1] + \frac{1}{2} [S_{\Lambda p} =$$
$$\bigvee_{\alpha_{\Lambda p}} = \pm 0$$

Three different internal configurations can be distinguished by  $\alpha_{\Lambda p}$ .



## Measurement of $\alpha_{\Lambda D}$



by *p*-C scat. asym.

– Spin alignment measurement by  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^- \& p$ -C scattering –

Spin-spin correlation on  $\phi$ -asymmetry  $N(\phi_{\Lambda p}) = N_0 \cdot (1 + r^{(J^P)} \cdot \alpha_{\Lambda p} \cos \phi_{\Lambda p})$  $r^{(J^P)}$  : asymmetry reduction factor defined by;  $\alpha_{-}: \Lambda$  asym. parameter B: Magnetic field  $A_{pC}$ : Analyzing power  $B_{\bar{K}}$ : Binding energy

 $f_{\overrightarrow{S}_{\Lambda}}$ : Spin distribution

q : Momentum transfer

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\phi_{\Lambda p}$  measurement has a sensitivity to distinguish  $J^{\pi}$ .

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

### We would like to robustly confirm the existence of $\overline{K}$ -nuclei X clarify their internal structure

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

Are you interested in? Join us!

# Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### = Collaboration =

T. Hashimoto, K. Tanida

Theorists	
Tokyo Tech D. Jido	
T. Sekihara	