New solution in the Non-linear BK equation and description of the experimental data



C. Contreras*

Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María

Chile

In collaboration with G. Levin, R. Meneses and M. Sanhueza

Fondecyt 1191434

QNP2022 - The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 - 9 September 2022, Florida State University, USA

Outline

- Current problem in theoretical description in high energy Scattering.
- Dipole scattering amplitudes: phenomenological input.
- Leading twist approximation: for nonlinear evolution in NLO
- Saturation scale and b dependence.
- Results of the fit: deep inelastic structure function F_2
- Conclusions.

Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 3,034011 Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 11,116020 Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 11,1029

Proton Structure functions and DIS cross section

Proton structure Function: F_2 and the Longitudinal $F_L(Q, x)$ Y = In (1/x) = In s



Total cross section for DIS σ_T and σ_L

$$F_{2}(Q,Y) = \frac{Q^{2}}{4\pi^{2} \alpha_{\text{e.m.}}} \{\sigma_{T} + \sigma_{L}\}$$

$$F_{2}^{cc}(Q,Y) = \frac{Q^{2}}{4\pi^{2} \alpha_{\text{e.m.}}} \{\sigma_{T}^{cc} + \sigma_{L}^{cc}\}$$

$$F_{L}(Q,Y) = \frac{Q^{2}}{4\pi^{2} \alpha_{\text{e.m.}}} \sigma_{L}$$

$$\sigma_{T,L} = 2 \int d^2 b \ N_{T,L} \left(Q, Y, ; b \right)$$

$$N(Q,Y;b) = \int \frac{d^2r}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dz \, |\Psi_{\gamma^*}(Q,r,z)|^2 \, N(r,Y;b)$$

- $\Psi_{L/T}^{\gamma*}$ (Q, r, z, m_f) Light front wave function
- N(Q,Y;b), N(r,Y;b), in the dipole Picture: dipole $\bar{q}q$ -proton scattering Amplitude
- r dipole tranverse-size, b impact parameter
- z fraction of the light-front momenta of the virtual photon carried by the quark
- m_f mass of the quarks

• fit: deep inelastic structure function F_2

E. Iancu, K. Itakura and S. Munier, "Saturation and BFKL dynamics in the HERA data at small x," Phys. Lett. **B590** (2004) 199 [hep-ph/0310338].

H. Kowalski, L. Motyka and G. Watt, "Exclusive diffractive processes at HERA within the dipole picture," Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 074016 [hep-ph/0606272].

H. Kowalski, T. Lappi and R. Venugopalan, "Nuclear enhancement of universal dynamics of high parton densities," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 022303 [arXiv:0705.3047 [hep-ph]].

H. Kowalski, T. Lappi, C. Marquet and R. Venugopalan, "Nuclear enhancement and suppression of diffractive structure functions at high energies," Phys. Rev. C78 (2008) 045201 [arXiv:0805.4071 [hep-ph]].

G. Watt and H. Kowalski, "Impact parameter dependent colour glass condensate dipole model," Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 014016 [arXiv:0712.2670 [hep-ph]].

E. Levin and A. H. Rezaeian, "Gluon saturation and inclusive hadron production at LHC," Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 014022 [arXiv:1005.0631 [hep-ph]].

A. H. Rezaeian, "CGC predictions for p+A collisions at the LHC and signature of QCD saturation," Phys. Lett. **B718** (2013) 1058 [arXiv:1210.2385 [hep-ph]].

P. Tribedy and R. Venugopalan, "Saturation models of HERA DIS data and inclusive hadron distributions in p+p collisions at the LHC," Nucl. Phys. A850 (2011) 136 [Nucl. Phys. A 859 (2011) 185] [arXiv:1011.1895 [hep-ph]].

P. Tribedy and R. Venugopalan, "QCD saturation at the LHC: comparisons of models to p+p and A+A data and predictions for p+Pb collisions," Phys. Lett. **B710** (2012) 125 [Phys. Lett. **B718** (2013) 1154] [arXiv:1112.2445 [hep-ph]].

A. H. Rezaeian, M. Siddikov, M. Van de Klundert and R. Venugopalan, "*IP-Sat: Impact-Parameter dependent Saturation model revised*," PoS DIS **2013** (2013) 060 [arXiv:1307.0165 [hep-ph]]; "Analysis of combined HERA data in the Impact-Parameter dependent Saturation model," Phys. Rev. **D87** (2013) 3, 034002 [arXiv:1212.2974].

A. H. Rezaeian and I. Schmidt, "Impact-parameter dependent Color Glass Condensate dipole model and new combined HERA data," Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 074016 [arXiv:1307.0825 [hep-ph]].

C. Contreras, E. Levin and I. Potashnikova, "CGC/saturation approach: a new impact-parameter dependent model," Nucl. Phys. A948 (2016), 1-18 [arXiv:1508.02544 [hep-ph]].

Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 3,034011 Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 11,116020 Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 11,1029

N(r,Y; b) in the dipole Picture: Scattering Amplitude

Solution: JIMWLK (lancu, Jalilian-Marian, Kovner, Leonidov, McLerrran and Weigert) and large Nc limit BK equation (Balinky-Kovchegov)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} N(\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{b}, Y; R) = \bar{\alpha}_S \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}_2}{2 \pi} K(\mathbf{x}_{02}, \mathbf{x}_{12}; \mathbf{x}_{10}) \left(N\left(\mathbf{x}_{12}, \mathbf{b} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_{20}, Y; R\right) + N\left(\mathbf{x}_{20}, \mathbf{b} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}_{12}, Y; R\right) - N\left(\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{b}, Y; R\right) - N\left(\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{b$$

$$K^{\text{LO}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{02}, \boldsymbol{x}_{12}; \boldsymbol{x}_{10}) = \frac{x_{10}^2}{x_{02}^2 x_{12}^2}$$

• *K^{LO}* BFKL Kernel in the LO Balinsky, Fadin, Kuraev and Lipatov

BFKL Kernel in the LO

$$N(r, b, Y; R) = \int_{\epsilon - i\infty}^{\epsilon + i\infty} \frac{d\gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\omega(\bar{\alpha}_S, \gamma) Y} \phi_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{b}) \phi_{\text{in}}(\gamma, R)$$

$$\omega_{\rm LO}\left(\bar{\alpha}_S,\gamma\right) = \bar{\alpha}_S \chi^{LO}\left(\gamma\right) = \bar{\alpha}_S \left(2\psi\left(1\right) - \psi\left(\gamma\right) - \psi\left(1 - \gamma\right)\right)$$

BK equation and **NLO** corrections

• NLO for the eigenvalues W_{NLO}

Fadin-Lipatov arXiv 9802290 Ciafaloni-Camici arXiv 9803389

 $\omega_{\rm NLO}\left(\bar{\alpha}_S,\gamma\right) = \bar{\alpha}_S \,\chi^{LO}\left(\gamma\right) + \bar{\alpha}_S^2 \,\chi^{NLO}\left(\gamma\right) \qquad \gamma \to 1 \, is \, singular$

$$\omega_{\rm NLO}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{S},\gamma\right) = \bar{\alpha}_{S}\left(\chi_{0}\left(\omega_{\rm NLO},\gamma\right) + \omega_{\rm NLO}\frac{\chi_{1}\left(\omega_{\rm NLO},\gamma\right)}{\chi_{0}\left(\omega_{\rm NLO},\gamma\right)}\right)$$

resumation in high order correction

Ciafaloni, Colferai, Salam Stasto arXiv 0307188

$$\chi_0(\omega,\gamma) = \chi^{LO}(\gamma) - \frac{1}{1-\gamma} + \frac{1}{1-\gamma+\omega}$$

$$\chi_{1}(\omega,\gamma) = \chi^{NLO}(\gamma) + F\left(\frac{1}{1-\gamma} - \frac{1}{1-\gamma+\omega}\right) + \frac{A_{T}(\omega) - A_{T}(0)}{\gamma^{2}} + \frac{A_{T}(\omega) - b}{(1-\gamma+\omega)^{2}} - \frac{A_{T}(0) - b}{(1-\gamma)^{2}}$$

Khoze, Martin, Rymskim and Stirling: arXiv 0406135

$$\omega^{\text{KMRS}} = \bar{\alpha}_S \left(1 - \omega^{\text{KMRS}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{1 - \gamma + \omega^{\text{KMRS}}} + \underbrace{(2\psi(1) - \psi(2 - \gamma) - \psi(1 + \gamma))}_{\text{high twist contributions}} \right)$$

Effects of the NLO in BFKL



Resummed anomalous dimensions in NLO

$$N\left(\xi,Y;R\right) = \int_{\epsilon-i\infty}^{\epsilon+i\infty} \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi i} e^{\omega(\bar{\alpha}_S,\gamma)Y + \gamma\xi} \phi_{\rm in}\left(\gamma,R\right) \qquad \xi = \ln\left(r^2 Q_s^2\left(Y=0;b,R\right)\right)$$



$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{S} \frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}-1+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_{S}(1+\sqrt{2})}$$

 $\bar{\gamma} = \sqrt{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\alpha}_S \left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right)$

Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 3,034011

Scattering amplitude N(r,Y; b)

$$\sigma_{T,L} = 2 \int d^2 b \ N_{T,L} \left(Q, Y, ; b \right)$$

$$N(Q,Y;b) = \int \frac{d^2r}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dz \, |\Psi_{\gamma^*}(Q,r,z)|^2 \, N(r,Y;b)$$

- $\Psi_{L/T}^{\gamma*}$ (Q, r, z, m) Light front wave function
- N(r,Y; b) --> saturation condition
- Three differents Kinematics region



Scattering Amplitud

• $Q_s^2 r^2 < 1$ (Perturbative region)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y \partial \xi'} N(\xi', Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} N(\xi', Y) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha}_S N(\xi', Y) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\alpha}_S \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi'} N(\xi', Y)$$

• $Q_s^2 r^2 \sim 1$ (Vicinity of saturation scale)

$$N(r,\eta;b) = N_0 (Q_s^2(Y,b) r^2)^{\bar{\gamma}}$$

• $Q_s^2 r^2 \gg 1$ (Saturation region)

$$N(z) = a \left(1 - \exp(-\Omega(z)) \right) + (1 - a) \frac{\Omega(z)}{1 + \Omega(z)}$$

Scattering Amplitud

• $Q_s^2 r^2 \gg 1$ (Saturation region)

$$N(z) = a \left(1 - \exp(-\Omega(z)) \right) + (1 - a) \frac{\Omega(z)}{1 + \Omega(z)}$$

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 \left\{ \cosh\left(\sqrt{\sigma}\left(\xi_s + \xi\right)\right) + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \sinh\left(\sqrt{\sigma}\left(\xi_s + \xi\right)\right) \right\}$$

$$\xi_s = \ln \left(Q_s^2(Y) / Q_s^2(Y = 0; \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{R}) \right) = \lambda Y \qquad \xi = \ln \left(r^2 Q_0^2 \right)$$

For z >>1 Levin-Tuchin Solution

$$N(Y,\xi) = 1 - \exp(-\Omega(Y,\xi))$$

$$\Omega(z) = \frac{\sigma}{2}z^2 + \text{Const}$$

 $N(z) = 1 - \operatorname{Const} e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}z^2}$

Geometric Scaling and Saturation

$Q_s^2 r^2 \sim 1$ (Vicinity of saturation scale)

$$N(r,\eta;b) = N_0 \left(Q_s^2(Y,b) r^2\right)^{\bar{\gamma}}$$

N→ No parameter free

$$N(\xi, Y; R) = \int_{\epsilon - i\infty}^{\epsilon + i\infty} \frac{d\gamma}{2 \pi i} e^{\omega(\bar{\alpha}_S, \gamma) Y + \gamma \xi} \phi_{\rm in}(\gamma, R)$$

$$\xi = \ln \left(r^2 Q_s^2 \left(Y = 0; b, R \right) \right).$$

Saturation condition \rightarrow linear BFKL equation

$$v_{\text{group}} = \frac{d\omega(\gamma)}{d\gamma} = v_{\text{phase}} = \frac{\omega(\gamma)}{\gamma}$$

Saddle Point condition with saturation $Q_s^2 r^2 \sim 1$

$$\frac{\omega(\overline{\gamma})}{\overline{\gamma}} Y - \xi_s = 0 \rightarrow \xi_s = \ln\left(Q_s^2/Q_0^2\right) = \frac{\omega(\overline{\gamma})}{\overline{\gamma}} Y \rightarrow Q_s^2(Y,b) = Q_s^2(0,b) \operatorname{Exp}\left(\frac{\omega(\overline{\gamma})}{\overline{\gamma}} Y\right)$$

 $Q_S^2(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{b}) = Q_S^2(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{b}) Exp(\lambda(\alpha) \mathbf{Y})$ Qo, $\lambda(\alpha)$ free parameters

Two approaches: saturation scale and impact parameter impact

A. Kovner and U.A. Wiedemann, *Phys. Rev.* D 66 (2002) 051502; *Phys. Rev.* D 66 (2002) 034031; *Phys. Lett.* B 551 (2003) 311.

$$Q_S^2(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{b}) = Q_S^2(\mathbf{0},b) Exp(\lambda Y)$$

 We introduced exponential suppression which follows from Froissart theorem.

$$Q_s^{(1)2}(Y,b) = Q_s^{(1)2}(Y=0,b=0) e^{-mb} e^{\lambda Y} = Q_0^2 e^{-mb} e^{\lambda Y}$$

m, $\lambda(\alpha)$ free parameters

Different approach summing pion loops in Qs. (Gotsman-Levin 2020)

2.
$$Q_s^{(2)2}(Y,b) = Q_s^{(2)2}(Y=0,b=0) e^{-\frac{3}{4}\mathcal{Z}} e^{\lambda Y} = Q_0^2 e^{\lambda Y} e^{-\frac{3}{4}\mathcal{Z}}$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left(\frac{b^4}{4{\alpha'}_{\rm eff}^2 Y}\right)^{1/3}$$

 α'_{eff} free parameters

Restriction of kinematics region and parameters of our fit.

$$0.85\,GeV^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 27\,GeV^2$$



	Dipole amplitude				Wave function				$\chi^2/d.o.f.$	
Set	\bar{lpha}_S	N_0	$Q_0^2(GeV^2)$	m(GeV)	$\alpha_{eff}(GeV^{-2})$	$m_u(\text{MeV})$	$m_d(MeV)$	$m_s(\text{MeV})$	$m_c(\text{GeV})$	$0.85 \leq Q^2 \leq 27~GeV^2$
1	0.091	0.236	0.998	0.612	n/a	140	140	140	1.4	124.9/133 = 0.93
2	0.20	0.25	1.00	0.551	n/a	140	140	140	1.4	61.99/66 = 0.93
3	0.096	0.448	0.921	0.840	n/a	2.3	4.8	95	1.4	117.2/133 = 0.88
4	0.20	0.343	0.999	1.300	n/a	2.3	4.8	95	1.4	91.74/66 = 1.39

Quark mass:

Set I and 2 is with ligh quark masses I40 MeV

Set 3 and for is for currents masses

Mass of the c quark 1.4 GeV and we need to modify $x \rightarrow x_c = \left(1 + 4 \frac{m_c^2}{\sigma^2}\right) x$

U.	1			1	· · ·				1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	0.038	0.599	1.284	n/a	0.216	140	140	140	1.4	175/133 = 1.31
6	0.043	0.565	1.429	n/a	0.149	2.3	4.8	95	1.4	143.7/133 = 1.08

Confronting the model with experimental data: F_2 vs x_{B_j}



[arXiv:0911.0884 [hep-ex]]

Confronting the model with experimental data: F_2 vs x_{B_j} , (at low Q^2)



Combined Measurement and QCD Analysis of the Inclusive e ± p Scattering Cross Sections at HERA

[arXiv:0911.0884 [hep-ex]]

Confronting the model with experimental data: $F_{\rm L}$ vs x_{B_i} - $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$ vs x_{B_i}

arXiv:1211.1182 [hep-ex]]

Combination and QCD Analysis of Charm Production Cross Section Measurements in Deep-Inelastic ep Scattering at HERA





arXiv:0911.0884 [hep-ex]]

Summary

- We treated the non-linear evolution equation including NLO corrections.
- We have found numerical solution and analytical approximation to BK equation.
- Two approaches in Saturation momentum, in accordance with Froissart theorem.
- Our fits describe quite well the experimental data of DIS.

THANK YOU