The new LHCb state X(3960) seen in  $D_s^+ D_s^$ should be the same as the X(3930) seen in  $D^+ D^-$ 

M. Bayar

Kocaeli University

9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics (QNP2022) 07 September 2022 Collaborators: A. Feijoo, E. Oset

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨトー

э.

- Introduction and Motivation
- Formalism
- Results
- Summary and Conclusion

イロン イ理 とく ヨン・

## Introduction and Motivation

Chen Chen and Elisabetta Spadaro Norella, https://indico.cern.ch/event/1176505/ (5 July 2022)

### New exotic members in Particle Zoo @ LHC



2011-01-01 2012-01-01 2013-01-01 2014-01-01 2015-01-01 2016-01-01 2017-01-01 2018-01-01 2019-01-01 2020-01-01 2021-01-01 2022-0 Date of arXiv submission

patrick.koppenburg@cern.ch 2022-07-04

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

# Introduction and Motivation

#### (a) The $D^+_s D^-_s$ mass distribution of the $B^+ o D^+_s D^-_s K^+$ decay (Chen

Chen and Elisabetta Spadaro Norella, https://indico.cern.ch/event/1176505/)

#### (b) The $D^+D^-$ mass distribution of the $B^+ o D^+D^-K^+$ decay (R. Aaij et

al. [LHCb], Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020), 112003.)



 $\begin{aligned} J^{PC} &= 0^{++}; \ M_0 &= 3955 \pm 6 \pm 11 \ MeV; \ \Gamma_0 &= 48 \pm 17 \pm 10 \ MeV \\ J^{PC} &= 0^{++}; \ M'_0 &= 3924 \pm 2 \ MeV; \ \Gamma'_0 &= 17 \pm 5 \ MeV \\ (D_s^+ D_s^-)_{threshold} &= 3937 \ MeV \\ (D^+ D^-)_{threshold} &= 3739 \ MeV \end{aligned}$ 

## Introduction and Motivation

#### • $D\bar{D}$ and $D_s^+ D_s^-$ with lighter coupled channels:

 $\Rightarrow$  a  $D\bar{D}$  bound state was found

#### $\Rightarrow$ no bound state was found close to the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ threshold

D. Gamermann, E. Oset, D. Strottman and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007), 074016. C.

Hidalgo-Duque, J. Nieves and M. P. Valderrama, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) no.7, 076006.

#### The QCD lattice result:

⇒ a 0<sup>++</sup> bound state coupling strongly to  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  ⇒ weakly to  $D^+ D^-$  is found below the  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  threshold.

S. Prelovsek, S. Collins, D. Mohler, M. Padmanath and S. Piemonte, JHEP 06 (2021), 035.

- If the  $X_0(3930)$  state coupled both to  $D^+D^-$  and  $D_s^+D_s^-$ , that state would necessarily produce an enhancement close to threshold in the  $D_s^+D_s^-$  mass distribution.
- Could explain the experimental observation without the need to introduce an extra resonance?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○

The coupled channels  $D\overline{D}$ , I = 0 and  $D_s^+ D_s^ (D^+, -D^0) (\overline{D}^0, D^-)$ 

$$(D\bar{D}, I=0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(D^+D^- + D^0\bar{D}^0); D_s^+D_s^-$$

Dynamics of  $D(D_s) \rightarrow \overline{D}(\overline{D}_s)$  interaction due to vector exchange:



the VPP (V = vector, P = pseudosclar) vertex

$$\mathcal{L}_{VPP} = -ig\langle [P, \partial_{\nu} P] V^{\mu} \rangle$$

$$g = \frac{M_{V}}{2f} (M_{V} \simeq 800 \text{ MeV}, f = 93 \text{ MeV})$$
(1)

where *P* and *V* are the  $q_i \bar{q}_j$  matrices written in terms of *P* and *V* mesons

#### pseudoscalar (P) and vector (V) mesons:





$$\mathcal{L}_{VPP} = -ig\langle [P, \partial_{
u}P]V^{\mu} 
angle$$

#### The interaction potential:

$$V_{ij} = -B_{ij}g^2(p_1 + p_3)(p_2 + p_4)$$
(4)

with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{M_{\rho}^{2}} + \frac{1}{M_{\omega}^{2}} + \frac{2}{M_{J/\Psi}^{2}} \right) & \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{M_{K^{*}}^{2}} \\ \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{M_{K^{*}}^{2}} & \left( \frac{1}{M_{\phi}^{2}} + \frac{1}{M_{J/\Psi}^{2}} \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5)

 $(p_1 + p_3)(p_2 + p_4)$ , projected over *S*-wave

$$(p_1 + p_3)(p_2 + p_4) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left[ 3s - (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2) - \frac{1}{s} (m_1^2 - m_2^2)(m_3^2 - m_4^2) \right]$$
(6)

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

∃ \$\mathcal{O}\$

#### **Bethe-Salpeter equation**

$$T = [1 - VG]^{-1} V$$

#### Two meson loop function

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G}_{i}(\sqrt{s}) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \left( a_{i} + Log \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + s}{2s} Log \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2}} + \frac{q_{i}}{\sqrt{s}} \left( Log \frac{s - m_{2}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} + 2q_{i}\sqrt{s}}{-s + m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + 2q_{i}\sqrt{s}} + Log \frac{s + m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + 2q_{i}\sqrt{s}}{-s - m_{2}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} + 2q_{i}\sqrt{s}} \right) \end{split}$$

ヘロト 人間 とくほとう ほとう

5 DQC

The  $D^+D^-$  and  $D^+_s D^-_s$  production in  $B^- \to D^+D^-K^-$  and  $B^- \to D^+_s D^-_s K^-$ 

 $B^-$  decay via internal emission at the quark level and hadronization



The  $c\bar{c}$  pair is hadronized and we have

$$c\bar{c} \rightarrow \sum_{i} c\bar{q}_{i}q_{i}\bar{c} \rightarrow \sum_{i} P_{4i}P_{i4} = D^{0}\bar{D}^{0} + D^{+}D^{-} + D^{+}_{s}D^{-}_{s}$$
  
=  $\sqrt{2}D\bar{D} + D^{+}_{s}D^{-}_{s}$  (7)

where we have eliminated  $\eta_c \eta_c$  which plays no role here.

M. Bayar

The new LHCb state X(3960) seen in  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  should be the same

# Formalism: The $D^+D^-$ and $D^+_s D^-_s$ production

Production and propagation of the  $D^+D^-$  and  $D_s^+D_s^-$  components through final state interaction



$$\begin{split} \tilde{t}_{D^+D^-} &= C\left(1+G_{D\bar{D}}(M_{inv})T_{D\bar{D},D\bar{D}}(M_{inv})\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}G_{D_s\bar{D}_s}(M_{inv})T_{D_s\bar{D}_s,D\bar{D}}(M_{inv})\right) \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{t}_{D_{s}^{+}D_{s}^{-}} = C\left(1 + \sqrt{2}G_{Dar{D}}(M_{inv})\mathcal{T}_{Dar{D},D_{s}^{+}D_{s}^{-}}(M_{inv}) \ &+ G_{D_{s}ar{D}_{s}}(M_{inv})\mathcal{T}_{D_{s}^{+}D_{s}^{-},D_{s}^{+}D_{s}^{-}}(M_{inv})
ight) \end{aligned}$$

ヨトメヨトー

### **Results:**

$$T = [1 - VG]^{-1} V, \qquad V_{ij} = -B_{ij}g^2(p_1 + p_3)(p_2 + p_4)$$
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3}{M_{\rho}^2} + \frac{1}{M_{\omega}^2} + \frac{2}{M_{J/\Psi}^2} \right) & \sqrt{2}\frac{1}{M_{K^*}^2} \\ \sqrt{2}\frac{1}{M_{K^*}^2} & \left( \frac{1}{M_{\phi}^2} + \frac{1}{M_{J/\Psi}^2} \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we remove non diagonal term ⇒ two states appear

- If we keep that term  $\rightarrow$  the state coupling to  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  disappears
- Reducing by 0.7 the  $\frac{1}{M_{K^*}^2}$  term two states appear

The reduction of the  $D\bar{D} 
ightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$  transition is natural



 $\sqrt{\vec{q}^2 + m_D^2} = m_{D_s}^2$  at threshold  $\Rightarrow q^2 = m_{D_s}^2 - m_D^2$ Reduction:  $m_{K^*}^2 / (m_{D_s}^2 + m_{K^*}^2 - m_D^2) \simeq 0.68$ 

The new LHCb state X(3960) seen in  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  should be the same

•  $a_{D_s \overline{D}_s} = -1.58$ ;  $\alpha = 0.7$  to get approximately  $X_0(3930)$  $M_0' = 3924 \pm 2 \ MeV$ ;  $\Gamma_0' = 17 \pm 5 \ MeV$  (LHCb, PRD102(2020)112003.)

•  $a_{D\bar{D}} = -1$  to get a  $D\bar{D}$  bound state around 3700 MeV

Table: Masses, widths and the couplings  $|g_i|$ .

	<i>M</i> [MeV]	Γ [MeV]	$ g_{ar{D}D} $ [MeV]	$ g_{ar{D}_S D_S} $ [MeV]
Pole I	3699	-	14516	5897
Pole II (X <sub>0</sub> (3930))	3936	11	2858	9076

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ ○ ○○○

## Results: The Invarinant mass distributions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \Gamma}{dM_{\text{inv}}(D^+D^-)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4M_B^2} p_{K^-} \tilde{p}_{D^+} |\tilde{t}_{D^+D^-}|^2 \\ p_{K^-} &= \frac{\lambda^{1/2} (M_{B^-}^2, m_{K^-}^2, M_{inv}^2(D^+D^-))}{2M_{B^-}} \\ \tilde{p}_{D^+} &= \frac{\lambda^{1/2} (M_{inv}^2(D^+D^-), m_{D^+}^2, m_{D^-}^2)}{2M_{inv}(D^+D^-)} \\ \frac{d \Gamma}{dM_{\text{inv}}(D_s^+D_s^-)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4M_B^2} p_{K^-} \tilde{p}_{D_s^+} |\tilde{t}_{D_s^+D_s^-}|^2 \\ \tilde{p}_{D_s^+} &= \frac{\lambda^{1/2} (M_{inv}^2(D_s^+D_s^-), m_{D_s^+}^2, m_{D_s^-}^2)}{2M_{inv}(D_s^+D_s^-)} \\ p_{K^-} &\Rightarrow \text{ the } K^- \text{ momentum in the } B^- \text{ rest frame} \\ \tilde{p}_{D^+}(\tilde{p}_{D_s^+}) &\Rightarrow \text{ the } D^+ \text{ or } D_s^+ \text{ momenta in the } D^+D^- (D_s^+D_s^-) \text{ rest frame}. \end{aligned}$$

・ロン・西方・ ・ ヨン・

5 DQC

**Results:**  $d \Gamma/dM_{inv}(D^+D^-)$  and  $d \Gamma/dM_{inv}(D_s^+D_s^-)$  of  $B^- \to D^+D^-K^-$  and



The experimental points are taken from (C. Chen and E. S. Norella, ttps://indico.cern.ch/event/1176505/)

 $\Rightarrow$  The second pole couples both to  $D\bar{D}$  and  $D_s^+ D_s^-$ 

 $B^- 
ightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^-$  decays

 $\Rightarrow$  produces the peak at 3930 MeV and an enhacement at the  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  threshold compatible with the LHCb

 $\Rightarrow$  THERE IS NO NEED TO INVOKE A NEW X<sub>0</sub>(3960) STATE !!

## Summary and Conclusion

- The  $D^+D^-$  and  $D_s^+D_s^-$  mass distributions in the  $B^- \to D^+D^-K^$ and  $B^- \to D_s^+D_s^-K^-$  decays
- A  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  bound state appears  $\Rightarrow$  can be associated to the  $X_0(3930)$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  coupling strongly to  $D_s \bar{D}_s$  and more weakly to  $D\bar{D}$
  - $\Rightarrow$  produces an enhancement in the  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  mass distribution close to threshold with a shape in agreement with experiment
- There is no need to invoke a new  $X_0(3960)$  state
- The experimental observation is due to the presence of the  $X_0(3930)$ .

### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ○ ○ ○