$\Lambda(1405)$ mediated triangle singularity in the $K^-d \rightarrow p\Sigma^-$: a window to $\overline{K}N$ subthreshold amplitudes.

Albert Feijoo Aliau Institut de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centre Mixt U. de València-CSIC, Spain Nuclear Physics Institute, Rez, Czech Republic

In collaboration with:

Raquel Molina and Eulogio Oset

Institut de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centre Mixt U. de València-CSIC, Spain Lian-Rong Dai

School of Science, Huzhou University, China



Aim:

Study of the $K^-d \rightarrow p\Sigma^-$ ($p\Sigma^- \rightarrow K^-d$) reactions close to threshold for the first time.

- Process driven by a triangle singularity (TS).
- This reaction have access to $\overline{K}N$ subthreshold amplitudes

 $\overline{K}N$ Interaction:

Perturbative QCD is inappropriate to treat low energy hadron interactions.

Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) is an effective theory with hadrons as degrees of freedom which respects the symmetries of QCD.

- limited to a moderate range of energies above threshold
- not applicable close to a resonance (singularity in the amplitude)

But it is not so straight forward ...



 $\overline{K}N$ interaction is dominated by the presence of the $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance, located only 27 MeV below the $\overline{K}N$ threshold.

 In 1995 Kaiser, Siegel and Weise reformulated the problem in terms of a Unitary extension of ChPT (UChPT) in coupled channels.

The pioneering work -- Kaiser, Siegel, Weise, NP A594 (1995) 325

E. Oset, A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A 636, 99 (1998).

J. A. Oller, U. -G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B 500, 263 (2001).
M. F. M. Lutz, E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A 700, 193 (2002).
B. Borasoy, E. Marco, S. Wetzel, Phys. Rev. C 66, 055208 (2002).
C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, E. Ruiz Arriola and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Phys. Rev. D 67, 076009 (2003).
D. Jido, J. A. Oller, E. Oset, A. Ramos and U. G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A 725, 181 (2003).
B. Borasoy, R. Nissler, W. Wiese, Eur. Phys. J. A 25, 79 (2005).
V.K. Magas, E. Oset, A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 052301 (2005).
B. Borasoy, U. -G. Meissner and R. Nissler, Phys. Rev. C 74, 055201 (2006).

All of them obtaining in general similar features:

- $\overline{K}N$ scattering data reproduced very satisfactorily
- Two-pole structure of $\Lambda(1405)$



This topic has experienced a renewed interest after recent experimental advances:

The energy shift and width of the 1s state in kaonic hydrogen measured by SIDDHARTA@DA Φ NE fixes the K^-p scattering length with a 20% precision!!!



Photoproduction $\gamma p \longrightarrow K^+ \pi \Sigma$ data by the CLAS@Jlab provided detailed line shape results of the $\Lambda(1405)$



Y. Ikeda, T. Hyodo, W. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. A 881, 98 (2012).
A. Cieply and J. Smejkal, Nucl. Phys. A 881, 115 (2012).
Zhi-Hui Guo, J. A. Oller, Phys. Rev. C 87, 035202 (2013).
T. Mizutani, C. Fayard, B. Saghai and K. Tsushima, Phys. Rev. C 87, 035201 (2013).
L. Roca and E. Oset: Phys. Rev. C 87, 055201 (2013), Phys. Rev. C 88, 055206 (2013).
M. Mai and U. G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. A 51, 30 (2015).
Feijoo, V. Magas, A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C 92, 015206 (2015); Nucl. Phys. A 954, 58 (2016); Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019) 035211.



 $K^-p \rightarrow MB \ (S = -1)$ total cross sections from different groups:





Threshold observables obtained from recent studies:

	γ	R_n	R_c	$a_p(K^-p \to K^-p)$	ΔE_{1s}	Γ_{1s}
Ikeda-Hyodo-Weise (NLO) [23]	2.37	0.19	0.66	-0.70 + i 0.89	306	591
Guo-Oller (fit $I + II$) [25]	$2.36^{+0.24}_{-0.23}$	$0.188^{+0.028}_{-0.029}$	$0.661^{+0.012}_{-0.011}$	$(-0.69 \pm 0.16) + i(0.94 \pm 0.11)$	308 ± 56	619 ± 73
Mizutani et al (Model s) $[26]$	2.40	0.189	0.645	-0.69 + i 0.89	304	591
Mai-Meissner (fit 4) [29]	$2.38^{+0.09}_{-0.10}$	$0.191^{+0.013}_{-0.017}$	$0.667^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$		288^{+34}_{-32}	572^{+39}_{-38}
Cieply-Smejkal (NLO) [76]	2.37	0.191	0.660	$-0.73 + \mathrm{i}0.85$	310	607
Shevchenko (two-pole Model) [77]	2.36			$-0.74 + \mathrm{i}0.90$	308	602
WT+Born+NLO	$2.36^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.188^{+0.010}_{-0.011}$	$0.659^{+0.005}_{-0.002}$	$-0.65^{+0.02}_{-0.08} + \mathrm{i} 0.88^{+0.02}_{-0.05}$	288^{+23}_{-8}	588^{+9}_{-40}
WT+NLO+Born+RES	2.36	0.189	0.661	-0.64 + i 0.87	283	587
Exp.	2.36 ± 0.04	0.189 ± 0.015	0.664 ± 0.011	$(-0.66 \pm 0.07) + i(0.81 \pm 0.15)$	283 ± 36	541 ± 92

A. F., V. Magas, A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019) 035211.

$$\gamma = \frac{\Gamma(K^- p \to \pi^+ \Sigma^-)}{\Gamma(K^- p \to \pi^- \Sigma^+)} = 2.36 \pm 0.04$$

$$R_n = \frac{\Gamma(K^- p \to \pi^0 \Lambda)}{\Gamma(K^- p \to \text{neutral states})} = 0.664 \pm 0.011$$

$$R_c = \frac{\Gamma(K^- p \to \pi^+ \Sigma^-, \pi^- \Sigma^+)}{\Gamma(K^- p \to \text{inelastic channels})} = 0.189 \pm 0.015$$



 $K^-p \rightarrow K^-p$ scattering amplitudes generated by recent chirally motivated approaches:



A. Cieply, J. Hrtánková, J. Mareš, E. Friedman, A. Gal and A. Ramos, AIP Conf. Proc. 2249, no.1, 030014 (2020).





Pole positions of the $\Lambda(1405)$ for some state-of-the-art models:



QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

Many efforts have been made in order to extract information about subthreshold amplitudes...





$K^-n \rightarrow \pi^-\Lambda$ amplitude (pure I = 1 process)

K. Piscicchia et al., Phys.Lett. B782 (2018) 339-345. AMADEUS collaboration, KLOE detector at DAFNE

Fit to photoproduction data from CLAS K. Moriya et al. (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 87, 035206 (2013).



Exciting results reported by GlueX Collaboration in the $\pi^0 \Sigma^0$ invariant mass distribution from the $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0 \Sigma^0$ process!!!





- The fitting procedure supports the composite state nature of the $\Lambda(1405)$
- Very valuable information can be extracted from further experimental and theoretical analysis of these data.







QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

11

Formalism I: Mechanisms + Amplitudes

$$-it_{ij}^{(a)} = g_{\Lambda^*,K^-p} g_{\Lambda^*,K^-p} g_d \frac{D-F}{2f} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} V_{ij}(q) F(P^0,P'^0,\vec{q},\omega_K(\vec{q}),\vec{P},\vec{k}) \mathcal{F}^2(\Lambda,m_K)$$
$$-it_{ij}^{(b)} = -g_{\Lambda^*,K^-p} g_{\Lambda^*,\pi^+\Sigma^-} g_d \frac{f_{\pi NN}}{m_{\pi}} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W_{ij}(q) F(P'^0,P^0,\vec{q},\omega_{\pi}(\vec{q}),-\vec{P},\vec{k}) \mathcal{F}^2(\Lambda,m_{\pi})$$

$$\begin{split} F(P^{0},P'^{0},\vec{q},\omega,\vec{P},\vec{k}) &= \frac{1}{2\omega(\vec{q})} \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})} \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N}(-\vec{P}+\vec{q})} \frac{M_{\Lambda^{*}}}{E_{\Lambda^{*}}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})} \\ &\times \frac{\theta(q_{\max}-|\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\frac{\vec{k}}{2}|)}{\sqrt{s}-k^{0}-E_{N}(-\vec{P}+\vec{q})-E_{N}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})+i\epsilon} \\ &\times \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P^{0}-\omega(\vec{q})-E_{\Lambda^{*}}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})+i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^{*}}}{2}} \frac{1}{P^{0}-\omega(\vec{q})-k^{0}-E_{N}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})+i\epsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{P^{0}-E_{\Lambda^{*}}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})-\omega(\vec{q})+i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^{*}}}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}-E_{\Lambda^{*}}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})-E_{N}(-\vec{P}+\vec{q})+i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^{*}}}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{P'^{0}-E_{N}(-\vec{P}+\vec{q})-\omega(\vec{q})+i\epsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}-E_{\Lambda^{*}}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})-E_{N}(-\vec{P}+\vec{q})+i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^{*}}}{2}} \end{split}$$



QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

Formalism I: Triangle singularity





QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

13

Formalism I:

Differential cross section for the
$$K^-d \to p\Sigma^-$$
 reaction.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_p} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{s} M_p M_{\Sigma^-} M_d \frac{p}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{-} \sum_{j=1}^{-} |t|^2 \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{-} \sum_{j=1}^{-} |t|^2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j} |t_{ij}^{(a)} + t_{ij}^{(b)}|^2$$

$$p\Sigma^- \text{spin configurations}$$

$$i = \uparrow\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\uparrow, \downarrow\downarrow$$

Pole couplings and coordinates needed to compute the cross section:

State	$g_{\Lambda^*,ar{K}N}$	$g_{\Lambda^*,\pi\Sigma}$	(Mass, $\frac{\Gamma}{2}$)
$\Lambda(1390)$	1.2 + i1.7	-2.5 - i1.5	(1390, 66)
$\Lambda(1426)$	-2.5 + i0.94	0.42-i1.4	(1426, 16)

$$g_{\Lambda^*,K^-p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g_{\Lambda^*,\bar{K}N}$$
$$g_{\Lambda^*,\pi^+\Sigma^-} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} g_{\Lambda^*,\pi\Sigma}$$

E. Oset, A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A 636, 99 (1998).



Results I: spin transitions

Contribution of several spin transitions to the $K^-d \rightarrow p\Sigma^-$ cross section.





QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

Results I: role of the mechanisms and poles in the total cross section

Contribution of the high and low mass poles and the mechanisms (a) and (b) to $K/p \cdot \sigma(K^-d \rightarrow p\Sigma^-)$.





QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

16

Formalism II: imcorporation of the explicit $\overline{K}N$ amplitudes and ψ Bonn deuteron wave function

Deuteron wave function replacement:

$$g_{d} \frac{M_{N}}{E(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})} \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N}(-\vec{P}+\vec{q})} \frac{\theta(q_{max}-|\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\frac{\vec{k}}{2}|)}{\sqrt{s}-k^{0}-E_{N}(-\vec{P}+\vec{q})-E_{N}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})+i\epsilon} \longrightarrow -(2\pi)^{3/2}\psi(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\frac{\vec{k}}{2})$$
R. Machleidt, Phys. Rev. C 63, 024001 (2001)

Formal equivalence between Breit-Wigner amplitudes and theoretical amplitudes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{M_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}}{E_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})} \frac{g_{\Lambda^{*},K^{-}p}^{(i)}g_{\Lambda^{*},K^{-}p}^{(i)}}{\sqrt{s} - E_{N}(-\vec{p}+\vec{q}) - E_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}}{2}} \equiv t_{K^{-}p,K^{-}p}(M_{inv})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{M_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}}{E_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})} \frac{g_{\Lambda^{*},K^{-}p}^{(i)}g_{\Lambda^{*},\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-}}^{(i)}}{\sqrt{s} - E_{N}(\vec{p}+\vec{q}) - E_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}(-\vec{P}-\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^{*}}^{(i)}}{2}} \equiv t_{K^{-}p,\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-}}(M_{inv}')$$

$$M_{\rm inv}^2 = s + M_N^2 - 2\sqrt{s}E_N(-\vec{P} + \vec{q}) \qquad M_{\rm inv}'^2 = s + M_N^2 - 2\sqrt{s}E_N(\vec{P} + \vec{q})$$



Results II: using explicit $\overline{K}N$ amplitudes + ψ Bonn deuteron wave function

Energy dependence of the real and the imaginary parts of the $K^-d \rightarrow p\Sigma^-$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\Sigma^-$ amplitudes.



18

Results II: using explicit $\overline{K}N$ amplitudes + ψ Bonn deuteron wave function





QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

19

CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the $K^-d \rightarrow p\Sigma^-$ ($p\Sigma^- \rightarrow K^-d$) reaction via a triangular topology (with two possible mechanisms) that embeds a TS.

- The peak associated to the TS shows up few MeV above K^-d threshold, being clearly visible in the case of the narrow (high mass) $\Lambda(1405)$ state.
- The mechanism involving the pion exchange has shown to be the dominant one.
- We have seen that the particular dependence of the $K^-d \rightarrow p\Sigma^-$ transition on the $\overline{K}N$ amplitudes below threshold weighted by the structures tied to TS makes this process very sensitive to the different models.

The measurement of this reaction will provide valuable information for \overline{K} bound states in nuclei as well as it will help to narrow the uncertainty around the location of the lower mass pole of the $\Lambda(1405)$.



Backup slides

$$\begin{split} &-it_{ij}^{(a)} = g_d \frac{D-F}{2f} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} V_{ij}(q) F'(P^0, P'^0, \vec{q}, \omega_K(\vec{q}), \vec{P}, \vec{k}) \mathcal{F}^2(\Lambda, m_K) \\ &-it_{ij}^{(b)} = -g_d \frac{D+F}{2f} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W_{ij}(q) G'(P^0, P'^0, \vec{q}, \omega_\pi(\vec{q}), \vec{P}, \vec{k}) \mathcal{F}^2(\Lambda, m_\pi) \\ F'(P^0, P'^0, \vec{q}, \omega_K, \vec{P}, \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2\omega(\vec{q})} \frac{M_N}{E_N(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})} \frac{M_N}{E_N(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s-k^0-E_N(-\vec{P}-\vec{q})-E_N(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})+i\epsilon}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{M_{\Lambda^*}^{(i)}}{E_{\Lambda^*}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})} \frac{(g_{\Lambda^*,K-p}^{(i)})^2}{P^0 - \omega_K(\vec{q}) - E_{\Lambda^*}^{(i)}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^*}^{(i)}}{2}} \frac{1}{P^0 - \omega_K(\vec{q}) - k^0 - E_N(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})+i\epsilon} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{P^0 - E_{\Lambda^*}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}) - \omega_K(\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^*}}{2}} + \frac{1}{P'^0 - E_N(-\vec{P}+\vec{q}) - \omega_K(\vec{q}) + i\epsilon} \right) t_{K-p,K-p}(M_{inv}) \right\} \\ G'(P^0, P'^0, \vec{q}, \omega_K, \vec{P}, \vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2\omega(\vec{q})} \frac{M_N}{E_N(-\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k})} \frac{M_N}{E_N(\vec{P}+\vec{q})} \frac{M_N}{\sqrt{s-k^0} - E_N(\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k}) + i\epsilon}} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{M_{\Lambda^*}^{(i)}}{E_{\Lambda^*}(-\vec{P}-\vec{q})} \frac{g_{\Lambda^*,K-p}^{(i)}g_{\Lambda^*,\pi+\Sigma^-}}{P^0 - \omega_\pi(\vec{q}) - E_{\Lambda^*}^{(i)}(-\vec{P}-\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^*}}{2}} + \frac{1}{P^0 - E_N(\vec{P}-\vec{q}) - E_N(-\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k}) + i\epsilon}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{P'^0 - E_{\Lambda^*}(-\vec{P}-\vec{q})} \frac{g_{\Lambda^*,K-p}^{(i)}g_{\Lambda^*,\pi+\Sigma^-}}{P^0 - \omega_\pi(\vec{q}) - E_{\Lambda^*}^{(i)}(-\vec{P}-\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^*}}{2}} + \frac{1}{P^0 - E_N(\vec{P}+\vec{q}) - \omega_\pi(\vec{q}) - k^0 - E_N(-\vec{P}-\vec{q}-\vec{k}) + i\epsilon}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{P'^0 - E_{\Lambda^*}(-\vec{P}-\vec{q})} - \omega_\pi(\vec{q}) + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Lambda^*}}{2}} + \frac{1}{P^0 - E_N(\vec{P}+\vec{q}) - \omega_\pi(\vec{q}) + i\epsilon} \right) t_{K-p,\pi+\Sigma^-}(M'_{inv})} \right\}$$

UNIVERSITAT DO VALÈNCIA







QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

22

Backup slides

S+D-wave contribution in the deuteron WF





QNP2022 – The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics 5 – 9 September 2022

Effective Chiral Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(2)}(B,U)$$

 \rightarrow derive an interaction kernel V_{ij}

• Leading order (LO)

$$\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)} = \langle \bar{B}(i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu} - M_0)B\rangle + \frac{1}{2}D\langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5\{u^{\mu}, B\}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}F\langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5[u^{\mu}, B]\rangle$$



Effective Chiral Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(2)}(B,U) \rightarrow \text{derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}$$

• Leading order (LO)

$$\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)} = \left\langle \bar{B}(i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu} - M_{0})B \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2}D\langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\{u^{\mu}, B\}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}F\langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}[u^{\mu}, B]\rangle$$
Tomozawa-Weinberg term (WT)





1. Dominant contribution.

2. Interaction mediated, basically, by the constant *f* of the leptonic decay of the pseudoscalar meson

$$V_{ij}^{WT} = -\frac{N_i N_j}{4f^2} C_{ij} \left\{ (2\sqrt{s} - M_i - M_j) \chi_f^{\dagger s'} \chi_0^s + \frac{2\sqrt{s} + M_i + M_j}{(E_i + M_i)(E_j + M_j)} \chi_f^{\dagger s'} \left[\vec{q_j} \cdot \vec{q_i} + i(\vec{q_j} \times \vec{q_i}) \cdot \vec{\sigma} \right] \chi_0^s \right\}$$



Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{MB}(B,U) \quad \Rightarrow \text{ derive an interaction kernel } \mathbf{V}_{ij}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{MB}$$

• Leading order (LO)

$$\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)} = \langle \bar{B}(i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu} - M_0)B\rangle + \frac{1}{2}D\langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5\{u^{\mu}, B\}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}F\langle \bar{B}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5[u^{\mu}, B]\rangle$$

- 1. Direct diagram (s-channel Born term) $V_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle D} = V_{ij}^{\scriptscriptstyle D}(D,F)$
- 2. Cross diagram (u-channel Born term) $V^{\scriptscriptstyle C}_{ij} = V^{\scriptscriptstyle C}_{ij}(D,F)$

Born terms





1. Direct diagram (s-channel Born term)

$$V_{ij}^{D} = \frac{N_{i}N_{j}}{12f^{2}} \sum_{k} \frac{C_{\bar{i}i,k}^{(\text{Born})} C_{\bar{j}j,k}^{(\text{Born})}}{s - M_{k}^{2}} \left\{ (\sqrt{s} - M_{k})(s + M_{i}M_{j} - \sqrt{s}(M_{i} + M_{j}))\chi_{j}^{\dagger s'}\chi_{i}^{s} + \frac{(s + \sqrt{s}(M_{i} + M_{j}) + M_{i}M_{j})(\sqrt{s} + M_{k})}{(E_{i} + M_{i})(E_{j} + M_{j})} \chi_{j}^{\dagger s'} [\vec{q}_{j} \cdot \vec{q}_{i} + i(\vec{q}_{j} \times \vec{q}_{i}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}]\chi_{i}^{s} \right\}$$

2. Cross diagram (u-channel Born term)

$$V_{ij}^{C} = -\frac{N_{i}N_{j}}{12f^{2}} \sum_{k} \frac{C_{jk,i}^{(\text{Born})}C_{ik,j}^{(\text{Born})}}{u - M_{k}^{2}} \left\{ \left[u(\sqrt{s} + M_{k}) + \sqrt{s}(M_{j}(M_{i} + M_{k}) + M_{i}M_{k}) - M_{j}(M_{i} + M_{k})(M_{i} + M_{j}) - M_{i}^{2}M_{k} \right] \chi_{j}^{\dagger s'}\chi_{i}^{s} + \left[u(\sqrt{s} - M_{k}) + \sqrt{s}(M_{j}(M_{i} + M_{k}) + M_{i}M_{k}) + M_{j}(M_{i} + M_{k})(M_{i} + M_{j}) - M_{i}^{2}M_{k} \right] \chi_{j}^{\dagger s'} \frac{\vec{q}_{j} \cdot \vec{q}_{i} + i(\vec{q}_{j} \times \vec{q}_{i}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{(E_{i} + M_{i})(E_{j} + M_{j})} \chi_{i}^{s} \right\}$$





• Next to leading order (NLO), just considering the contact term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi B}^{(2)} = b_D \langle \bar{B}\{\chi_+, B\} \rangle + b_F \langle \bar{B}[\chi_+, B] \rangle + b_0 \langle \bar{B}B \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle + d_1 \langle \bar{B}\{u_\mu, [u^\mu, B]\} \rangle$$

$$+ d_2 \langle \bar{B}[u_\mu, [u^\mu, B]] \rangle + d_3 \langle \bar{B}u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\mu B \rangle + d_4 \langle \bar{B}B \rangle \langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle$$

$$+ d_2 \langle \bar{B}[u_\mu, [u^\mu, B]] \rangle + d_3 \langle \bar{B}u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\mu B \rangle + d_4 \langle \bar{B}B \rangle \langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle$$

$$- \frac{g_1}{8M_N^2} \langle \bar{B}\{u_\mu, [u_\nu, \{D^\mu, D^\nu\}B]\} \rangle - \frac{g_2}{8M_N^2} \langle \bar{B}[u_\mu, [u_\nu, \{D^\mu, D^\nu\}B]] \rangle$$

$$- \frac{g_3}{8M_N^2} \langle \bar{B}u_\mu \rangle \langle [u_\nu, \{D^\mu, D^\nu\}B] \rangle - \frac{g_4}{8M_N^2} \langle \bar{B}\{D^\mu, D^\nu\}B \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\nu \rangle$$

$$- \frac{h_1}{4} \langle \bar{B}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] Bu_\mu u_\nu \rangle - \frac{h_2}{4} \langle \bar{B}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] u_\mu [u_\nu, B] \rangle - \frac{h_3}{4} \langle \bar{B}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] u_\mu \{u_\nu, B\} \rangle$$

$$- \frac{h_4}{4} \langle \bar{B}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] u_\mu \rangle \langle u_\nu, B \rangle + h.c.$$

- Contributions with g_3 get cancelled
- $b_0, b_D, b_F, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, g_1, g_2, g_4, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$ are not well established, so they should be treated as parameters of the model!



• Next to leading order (NLO), just considering the contact term

$$\begin{split} V_{ij}^{NLO} &= \frac{N_i N_j}{f^2} \left[D_{ij} - 2L_{ij} q_j^{\mu} q_{i\mu} + \frac{1}{2M_N^2} g_{ij} (p_i^{\mu} q_{j\mu} p_i^{\nu} q_{i\nu} + p_j^{\mu} q_{j\mu} p_j^{\nu} q_{i\nu}) \right] \left(\chi_j^{\dagger s'} \chi_i^s \\ &- \chi_j^{\dagger s'} \frac{\vec{q}_j \cdot \vec{q}_i + i(\vec{q}_j \times \vec{q}_i) \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{(E_i + M_i)(E_j + M_j)} \chi_i^s \right) + \frac{N_i N_j}{f^2} h_{ij} \left[- \left(\frac{q_{j0} q_i^2}{E_i + M_i} + \frac{q_{i0} q_j^2}{E_j + M_j} \right) \\ &+ \frac{q_j^2 q_i^2}{(E_i + M_i)(E_j + M_j)} + \frac{(\vec{q}_j \cdot \vec{q}_i)^2}{(E_i + M_i)(E_j + M_j)} \right) \chi_j^{\dagger s'} \chi_i^s \\ &+ \left(\frac{q_{i0}}{E_i + M_i} + \frac{q_{j0}}{E_j + M_j} \right) \chi_j^{\dagger s'} \vec{q}_j \cdot \vec{q}_i \chi_i^s + \left(\frac{q_{i0}}{E_i + M_i} + \frac{q_{j0}}{E_j + M_j} + \frac{\vec{q}_j \cdot \vec{q}_i}{(E_i + M_i)(E_j + M_j)} - 1 \right) i \chi_j^{\dagger s'} (\vec{q}_j \times \vec{q}_i) \cdot \vec{\sigma} \chi_i^s \bigg] \end{split}$$



Unitarization via the Bethe-Salpeter equation which it is solved by factorizing V and T matrices on-shell out the internal integrals

$$V_{ij} = V_{ij}^{WT} + V_{ij}^{D} + V_{ij}^{C} + V_{ij}^{NLO} \Longrightarrow T = (1 - VG)^{-1}V \Longrightarrow T_{ij}$$



Fitting parameters:

- Decay constant f either partially constrained $1.12f_{\pi}^{exp} \le f \le 1.26 f_{\pi}^{exp}$, f_{π}^{exp} =93 MeV or taking fixed values depending on the process f_{π} , f_{K} , f_{η}
- Axial vector couplings D, F varying around the experimental values yet imposing $g_A = D + F = 1.26$ \rightarrow Most of the models fix them at the exp. values D = 0.80, F = 0.46
- 7 (14) coefficients of the NLO lagrangian terms $b_0, b_D, b_F, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 (+ g_1, g_2, g_4, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4)$
- Parameters from the regularization method
- \rightarrow vast majority employs dim. reg. with 6 subtracting constants (isospin symmetry):

$$a_{K^{-}P} = a_{\overline{K}} \circ_{n} = a_{\overline{K}N}$$

$$a_{\pi\Lambda}$$

$$a_{\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-}} = a_{\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}} = a_{\pi^{0}\Sigma^{0}} = a_{\pi\Sigma}$$

$$a_{\eta\Lambda}$$

$$a_{\eta\Sigma}$$

$$a_{\kappa^{+}\Xi^{-}} = a_{\kappa^{0}\Xi^{0}} = a_{\kappa\Xi}$$



Available experimental data used (or not) to constrain the parameters present in the chirally motivated models:



Formalism I: Triangle singularity



Integrating over q⁰ ... and taking only the part of the integral containing the singularity structure

$$\begin{split} I(m_{23}) &= \int \frac{d^3q}{(P^0 - \omega_1(\vec{q}\,) - \omega_2(\vec{q}\,) + i\,\epsilon) \left(E_{23} - \omega_2(\vec{q}\,) - \omega_3(\vec{k} + \vec{q}\,) + i\,\epsilon\right)} \\ &= 2\,\pi \int_0^\infty dq \; \frac{q^2}{P^0 - \omega_1(q) - \omega_2(q) + i\,\epsilon} f(q)\,, \ f(q) = \int_{-1}^1 dz \; \frac{1}{E_{23} - \omega_2(q) - \sqrt{m_3^2 + q^2 + k^2 + 2\,q\,k\,z} + i\,\epsilon} \end{split}$$

$$\omega_{1,2}(q) = \sqrt{m_{1,2}^2 + q^2}, \ \omega_3(\vec{q} + \vec{k}) = \sqrt{m_3^2 + (\vec{q} + \vec{k})^2}, \ E_{23} = P^0 - k^0$$
$$q = \vec{q}, \ k = |\vec{k}| = \sqrt{\lambda(M^2, m_{13}^2, m_{23}^2)}, \ M = \sqrt{P^2}, \ m_{13,23} = \sqrt{p_{13,23}^2}$$



Formalism I: Triangle singularity





Formalism I: Triangle singularity

CSIC

5 – 9 September 2022



35

Formalism I: Triangle singularity

 q_{b+} and q_{a-} are mutually exclusive as solutions that are simultaneously in the q (positive) integration range. The interesting casuistry for TS is given by q_{a-}, q_{a+}, q_{on+} :



This is only fulfilled when all three intermediate particles are placed on shell and when:

z = -1 Momentum of part. 2 is anti-parallel to that of (2,3) system from the decaying particle rest system

$$\omega_1(q_{on}) - p_{13}^0 - \sqrt{m_3^2 + (q_{on} - k)^2} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \text{ For this study,} \qquad \sqrt{s} \approx 2380 MeV$$

