# Compton scattering on liquid <sup>2</sup>H target at HI<sub>γ</sub>S: Measuring nucleon polarizabilities

### Danula Godagama

University of Kentucky

On behalf of the COMPTON@HIyS collaboration

The 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics



This work was supported by the Department of Energy's Office of Science through grant number DE-SC0016656

# Outline

- Introduction
  - Nucleon polarizabilities, Measuring polarizabilities
- Motivation
- Experiment Setup
  - γ-ray production, Photon detectors and cryogenic target
- Data Analysis
  - Data reduction, Flux calibration, MC simulations and Cross section calculation
- Preliminary Results & Future Work

# Introduction

### Polarizabilities ( $\alpha$ , $\beta$ )

 $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  characterize nucleon response to external electromagnetic fields

They are fundamental structure properties of nucleon: vital to our understanding of the nucleon internal structure

In Compton scattering, the photon provide the external electromagnetic stimulus to the nucleon

Polarizabilities can be accessed via Compton scattering cross sections



# Introduction

### Measuring nucleon polarizabilities

- Proton polarizabilities
  - Compton scattering from liquid hydrogen targets
  - Has a relatively large data set
- Neutron polarizabilities
  - No free and dense neutron targets (lifetime ~15 mins)
  - Charge-neutral (No Thomson scattering)
  - Deuterium elastic scattering  $d(\gamma,\gamma)d$ 
    - Provide a stable and dense neutron target
    - Proton's Thomson term constructively interfere with neutron polarizability term

•  $\sigma_{d}(\omega) \approx (\alpha_{n} + \alpha_{p})\omega^{2}$ 

#### Chiral effective field theory (xEFT)

Most comprehensive theoretical interpretation of nucleon Compton scattering

 $\chi$ EFT uses nucleons and pions as active degrees of freedom

Include the delta resonance  $\Delta(1232)$  as an explicit degree of freedom



Leading order contributions to the nucleon polarizabilities. (a) Photon coupling to pion cloud around the nucleon. (b) photon coupling to pion cloud around the  $\Delta(1232)$  and (c)  $\Delta(1232)$  excitation

# Motivation

In 2016, HI<sub>Y</sub>S measured the 8-point angular distribution of  $d(\gamma, \gamma)d$  cross section at 65 and 85 MeV

Measured cross sections rose above the  $\chi$ FET prediction at both energies. Discrepancy is greater at backward-scattering angles

χFET is not yet extended to include inelastic scattering

Discrepancy is qualitatively explained by the inelastic contribution to the cross section



#### Angular distribution of of $d(\gamma, \gamma)d$ cross section at 65 MeV

M. Sikora et al. (2017)

# Motivation

- This experiment aimed to separately measure both elastic and inelastic deuteron scattering cross sections at two backward-scattering angles, 115<sup>o</sup> and 150<sup>o</sup>
- This requires the separation of an inelastic contribution just 2.2 MeV below the prominent elastic peak
- Used two large-volume high-resolution Nal detectors (DIANA and BUNI), each has better than 2% FWHM at 80 MeV

# **Experiment Setup**

### **HIγS FEL facility**

HlγS utilizes an ultra-violet FEL and Compton backscattering to produce quasi-monoenergetic γ-rays

Produce γ-rays up to 120 MeV with linear or circular polarization

Able to generate  $\sim 1 \times 10^7$ γ/s making it the most intense γ source in operation



Layout of the HIγS facility showing the location of the linear accelerator (LINAC), booster synchrotron (BS), and the electron storage ring (ESR)

### **Cryogenic target**

Small scattering cross sections requires the use of a cryogenic target

HI<sub>γ</sub>S cryogenic target is capable liquifying  $^1\text{H},\,^2\text{H},\,^3\text{He}$  and  $^4\text{He}$ 

Target cell is a conical frustum made of 0.125 mm thick Kapton

The liquid is kept above the  $T_{tp}$  (18.69 K for deuterium) and below the  $T_c$  (38.34 K for deuterium)

Target cell operate above 1 atm to prevent air from leaking into the system

Target temperature and condenser pressures are recorded throughout the experiment to ensure the target's density is known to  $\sim 1.0\%$ 



D. Kendellen et al. (2016) Schematic diagram and photograph of the cryogenic target

### **Photon detectors**



### 

#### Schematic of the BUNI detector structure

#### Schematic of the DIANA detector structure



Photograph of the DIANA detector

Both have an overall energy resolution of 1.6 % (FWHM) at 80 MeV



Photograph of the BUNI detector

#### Gamma vault



The plan view of the experiment setup

# Data Analysis



#### **Shield Cut**

Cosmic rays was the dominant source of background

Cuts on the energy spectra of annular segments veto the cosmic rays

Shield cut reduce the cosmic background by 85.0 %



(Left) Energy spectrum from one of the DIANA's annulus segments.

(Right) 2-D spectrum of energy deposition in an annulus segment vs energy deposition in the in the core. The shield cut is placed at the red line

#### **ToF Cut**

ToF of the gamma rays are measured w.r.t the pulsed beam

Provide a clean timing structure with two prompt peaks on a constant pedestal

Prompt ToF cut rejects 97.0 % of the beam-uncorrelated background

Combined shield + Prompt ToF cut reduce ~99.6% the beam-uncorrelated background



1-D and 2-D ToF spectra with shield cut applied

#### **Random event subtraction**

Random events subtraction reduce the residual beamuncorrelated background from energy spectrum

Energy spectrum in the random ToF window is normalized to the relative widths of the windows

Scaled random energy spectrum is subtracted from the prompt energy spectrum bin-by-bin



#### **Empty target subtraction**

Empty target subtraction reduce any background induced by the cryogenic target apparatus

Shield cut, ToF cut, and the random subtraction are applied target-filled and target-empty data separately

Target-empty spectrum is scaled to the relative flux incident of target-filled and target-empty runs

Scaled target-empty spectrum is subtracted from the target-filled spectrum bin-by-bin



Line shape fitting



Line shape fit to the DIANA and BUNI spectrum. (Blue) Elastic line shape, (Green) inelastic line shape and (Red) the total fit. Vertical dashed lines indicate the ROI.

Separation energy of the elastic and inelastic line shapes were fixed to 2.2 MeV

### Results



Laboratory elastic Compton scattering cross sections determined in this work compared with the results of previous experiments.

### Results



Laboratory inelastic Compton scattering cross sections determined in this work compared with only other inelastic measurement in SAL1994 experiment by Hornidge et al.

# Summery

- Both the elastic and inelastic  $d(\gamma, \gamma)d$  cross sections measured at 61 MeV and 81 MeV at scattering angles  $115^{\circ}$  and  $150^{\circ}$
- Cross section results will be used to extract new neutron polarizability values
- Inelastic cross sections results will provide the ability to correct 2016 HI $\gamma$ S results for the unresolved inelastic contribution

# What's Next ...

 $\geq$ d( $\gamma,\gamma$ )d at 81 MeV data analysis in progress

><sup>3</sup>He( $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ )<sup>3</sup>He experiment (in 2023)

➤will be carried out at 85 MeV and above

➤ Will produce the world's first-ever <sup>3</sup>He Compton scattering data

- Higher cross sections >> better statistics
- > Easier to resolve the inelastic contribution (~5.6 MeV )
- Provides a different linear combination (2xp + n) to benchmark χEFT model

# Acknowledgments

#### **Duke University**

**Calvin Howell** 

Ying Wu

Haiyan Gao

Mark Sikora

D.P. Kendellen

Jingyi Zhou

Ethan Mancil

Forrest Friesen

Collin Malone

Xiaqing Li

Mark Emamian

#### University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Hugon Karwowski

J.A. Silano

**George Washington University** Gerald Feldman Harald Grießhammer **University of Saskatchewan Robert Pywell James Madison University** A. Banu C.S. Whisnant North Carolina Central University Mohammad Ahmed Diane Markoff **Benjamin Crowe University of Kentucky** Michael Kovash Danula Godagama **Montclair State University** Kent Leung

Mount Allison University David Hornidge Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz Philippe Martel

Thank you ! 🙂