

Determination and status of the light baryon and hyperon spectrum

QNP2022 - The 9th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics

September 7, 2022 | Deborah Rönchen | Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich


Supported by DFG, NSFC, MKW NRW

HPC support by Jülich Supercomputing Centre

Motivation: N^* and Δ^* spectrum

- In the past: most information from **elastic or charge exchange π/N scattering**,
e.g. Karlsruhe-Helsinki (KH), Carnegie-Mellon-Berkeley (CMB), George-Washington U (GWU)
- Theoretical predictions, e.g., from quark models (later: lattice calculations)
→ “**Missing resonance problem**”: above 1.8 GeV much more states are predicted than observed

Relativistic quark model:



Löring et al. EPJ A 10, 395 (2001), experimental spectrum: PDG 2000


20 years later the “Missing resonance problem” is still not solved ...

... but there has been progress.

Reviews on baryon spectroscopy:

Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 125, 103949 (2022),
Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1095 (2010)

Experimental studies of photoproduction reactions: major progress in recent years e.g. from JLab, ELSA, MAMI, GRAAL, SPring-8, ...



source: ELSA; data: ELSA, JLab, MAMI


- enlarged data base with high quality for different final states
Reviews: Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 111 (2020) 103752,
Rept. Prog. Phys. 76, 076301 (2013)
- (double) polarization observables
 - alternative source of information besides $\pi N \rightarrow X$
 - detect states that couple only weakly to πN
 - towards a complete experiment

Photoproduction of pseudoscalar mesons:

- 16 polarization observables: asymmetries composed of **beam**, **target** and/or **recoil** polarization measurements
- **Complete Experiment:** unambiguous determination of the amplitude Chiang, Tabakin, PRC 55, 2054 (1997), also PRC 95 (2017)
1, 015206


8 carefully selected observables e.g. $\{\sigma, \Sigma, T, P, E, G, C_x, C_z\}$

From experimental data to the resonance spectrum



Different modern analyses frameworks:

- **unitary isobar models:** unitary amplitudes + Breit-Wigner resonances
MAID, Yerevan/JLab, KSU
 - **(multi-channel) K -matrix:** GWU/SAID, BnGa (phenomenological),
Gießen (microscopic Bgd)
 - **dynamical coupled-channel (DCC):** 3d scattering eq., off-shell intermediate states
ANL-Osaka (EBAC), Dubna-Mainz-Taipeh, Jülich-Bonn
 - **other groups:** JPAC (amplitude analysis with Regge phenomenology), Mainz-Tuzla-Zagreb PWA (MAID +
fixed-t dispersion relations, L+P), Ghent (Regge-plus-resonance), truncated PWA
 - ...





Löring et al., EPL, 10, 395 (2001), experimental spectrum: PDG 2000

Detailed comparison of MAID, GWU/SAID, BnGa and JüBo: EPJ A 52, 284 (2016)

Member of the Helmholtz Association

From experimental data to the resonance spectrum



Löring et al. EPJ A 10, 395 (2001), experimental spectrum: PDG 2000

Different modern analyses frameworks:

- **unitary isobar models:** unitary amplitudes + Breit-Wigner resonances
MAID, Yerevan/JLab, KSU
- **(multi-channel) K -matrix:** **GWU/SAID**, **BnGa** (phenomenological),
Gießen (microscopic Bgd)
- **dynamical coupled-channel (DCC):** 3d scattering eq., off-shell intermediate states
ANL-Osaka (EBAC), Dubna-Mainz-Taipeh, **Jülich-Bonn**
- **other groups:** JPAC (amplitude analysis with Regge phenomenology), Mainz-Tuzla-Zagreb PWA (MAID + fixed-t dispersion relations, L+P), Ghent (Regge-plus-resonance), truncated PWA
- ...

Detailed comparison of MAID, GWU/SAID, BnGa and JüBo: EPJ A 52, 284 (2016)
Member of the Helmholtz Association

Recent results from MAID, GWU/SAID, BnGa and JüBo

Selected examples

All 4 groups are constantly including new data sets, primarily from photoproduction

- Mainz-Tuzla-Zagreb: - coupled channels analysis of η , η' photoproduction: "EtaMAID2018" (EPJ A54 (2018) 210)
- SE PWA of pion photoproduction with fixed-t analyticity PRC 104, 034605 (2021)
- GWU/SAID: - XP15 solution: including new $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow \pi^\pm p$ data (EPECUR, PRC 91 (2015) 025205, see also PRC 93 (2016) 062201(R))
- MA19 solution: $\gamma n \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ (PRC 100 (2019) 065205)
→ first determination of photon decay amplitudes $N^* \rightarrow \gamma n$ at the pole for $N(1520)3/2^-$





figure: EPJ A 54, 210. Red: EtaMAID2018.
Black: S_{11}

← Figure from PRC 100 (2019) 065205

Data: A2 at MAMI (PRC 100 (2019) 065205)
Lines: red: MA19,
blue solid: MA27,
black dash-dotted: MAID2007,
magenta dotted: BnGa2014-02


Recent results from MAID, GWU/SAID, BnGa and JüBo

Selected examples

All 4 groups are constantly including new data sets, primarily from photoproduction

- **BnGa:** analyses of recent $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$ data (CBELSA/TAPS):
 - Σ PRL 125, 152002 (2020): further evidence for $N(1895)1/2^-$
 - T, E, P, G, H PLB 803, 135323 (2020): difference in ηN branching ratio of $N(1535)1/2^-$ and $N(1650)1/2^-$ reduced significantly
- **JüBo:** extension to $K\Sigma$ photoproduction, inclusion of other recent photoproduction data 2208.00089 [nucl-th]:
 $N(1900)3/2^+$ important, more information on Δ states

$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$



Data from Müller et al. [CBELSA/TAPS] PLB 803, 135323 (2020).

Red lines: JüBo fit 2208.00089 [nucl-th]

→ reduced difference of ηN residue of S_{11} states confirmed in JüBo




Figure and data (blue points) from Afzal et al. [CBELSA/TAPS] PRL 125 (2020). Black triangles: GRAAL EPJA 33 (2007). Green squares: CLAS PLB 771 (2017)

Red solid lines: BnGa fit

PDG N^* ratings 2009 (left) vs 2020 (right)

- New states, e.g. $N(1900)3/2^+$, $N(1895)1/2^-$, observed especially in kaon and eta photoproduction e.g. PRL 119, 062004 (2017), PRL 125, 152002 (2020)
- new values for Λ decay parameter α_- from kaon photoproduction (Ireland PRL 123 (2019) 182301) (see also Ablikim (BESIII), Nature (2019)) → polarization observables affected by α_- are $\sim 17\%$ too large!

Particle	L_{2I-2J} status	Status as seen in —							Overall	Status as seen in —
		$N\pi$	$N\eta$	AK	ΣK	$\Delta\pi$	$N\rho$	$N\gamma$		
$N(939)$	P_{11}	****								
$N(1440)$	P_{11}	****	*** *		*** *	***				
$N(1520)$	D_{13}	****	**** ***		**** ****	****				
$N(1535)$	S_{11}	****	**** ***		*	**	***			
$N(1650)$	S_{11}	****	**** *	***	**	***	***			
$N(1675)$	D_{15}	****	**** *	*	**** *	****				
$N(1680)$	F_{15}	****	**** *		**** ****	****				
$N(1700)$	D_{13}	***	*** *	*	**	**	**			
$N(1710)$	P_{11}	***	*** *	*	**	**	*	***		
$N(1720)$	P_{13}	****	**** *	**	*	*	**	**		
$N(1900)$	P_{13}	**	**			*				
$N(1990)$	F_{17}	**	*	*	*	*				
$N(2000)$	F_{15}	**	*	*	*	*	**			
$N(2080)$	D_{13}	**	** *	*			*			
$N(2090)$	S_{11}	*								
$N(2100)$	P_{11}	*	*	*						
$N(2190)$	G_{17}	****	**** *	*	*	*	*	*		
$N(2200)$	D_{15}	**	** *	*						
$N(2220)$	H_{19}	****	**** *							
$N(2250)$	G_{19}	****	**** *							
$N(2600)$	I_{111}	***	***							
$N(2700)$	K_{113}	**	**							

new upgraded

C. Amsler et al. (Particle Data Group), PL B667, 1 (2008)

Member of the Helmholtz Association

September 7, 2022

Particle	J^P	overall	$N\gamma$	$N\pi$	$\Delta\pi$	$N\sigma$	$N\eta$	AK	ΣK	$N\rho$	$N\omega$	$N\eta\pi$
N	$1/2^+$	****										
$N(1440)$	$1/2^+$	****		****	****	****	***					
$N(1520)$	$3/2^-$	****		****	****	****	**	****				
$N(1535)$	$1/2^-$	****		****	****	****	*	****				
$N(1650)$	$1/2^-$	****		****	****	****	*	****				
$N(1675)$	$5/2^+$	****		****	****	****	*	*	*			
$N(1680)$	$5/2^+$	****		****	****	****	*	*	*			
$N(1700)$	$3/2^-$	***		***	***	***	*					
$N(1710)$	$1/2^+$	****		****	****	****	*	***	**	*	*	*
$N(1720)$	$3/2^+$	****		****	****	****	*	*	****	*	*	*
$N(1860)$	$5/2^+$	**	*	**	**	*	*	*	*			
$N(1875)$	$3/2^-$	***		**	*	**	*	*	*			
$N(1880)$	$1/2^+$	***	*	**	*	*	*	**	**			
$N(1895)$	$1/2^-$	****		****	****	****	*	****	**	*		****
$N(1900)$	$3/2^+$	****		****	****	****	*	**	**	*		**
$N(1990)$	$7/2^+$	**		**	**	**	*	*	*			
$N(2000)$	$5/2^+$	**		**	**	**	*					*
$N(2040)$	$3/2^+$	*										
$N(2060)$	$5/2^-$	***		**	*	*	*	*	*			
$N(2100)$	$1/2^+$	***		***	***	***	*	*	*			
$N(2120)$	$3/2^-$	***		***	***	***		**	*			
$N(2190)$	$7/2^-$	****		****	****	****	*	**	*			
$N(2220)$	$9/2^+$	****		****	****	****	*	*	*			
$N(2250)$	$9/2^-$	****		****	****	****	*	*	*			
$N(2300)$	$1/2^+$	**										
$N(2570)$	$5/2^-$	**										
$N(2600)$	$11/2^-$	***										
$N(2700)$	$13/2^+$	**										

PDG Δ^* ratings 2009 (left) vs 2020 (right)

- no new states observed
- more data from $I = 3/2$ channels could be helpful, e.g. $\gamma p \rightarrow K^0 \Sigma^+, K^+ \Sigma^0$

Particle	L_{2I-2J} status	Status as seen in —						
		$N\pi$	$N\eta$	ΛK	ΣK	$\Delta\pi$	$N\rho$	$N\gamma$
$\Delta(1232)$	P_{33}	****	****	F				****
$\Delta(1600)$	P_{33}	***	o		***	*	**	
$\Delta(1620)$	S_{31}	****	r		****	****	***	
$\Delta(1700)$	D_{33}	****	b	*	***	**	***	
$\Delta(1750)$	P_{31}	*	*	i				
$\Delta(1900)$	S_{31}	**	d	*	*	**	*	
$\Delta(1905)$	F_{35}	****	d	*	**	**	***	
$\Delta(1910)$	P_{31}	****	e	*	*	*	*	
$\Delta(1920)$	P_{33}	***	n	*	**	*		
$\Delta(1930)$	D_{35}	***		*		**		
$\Delta(1940)$	D_{33}	*	*	F				
$\Delta(1950)$	F_{37}	****	o	*	****	*	****	
$\Delta(2000)$	F_{35}	**		r		**		
$\Delta(2150)$	S_{31}	*	*	b				
$\Delta(2200)$	G_{37}	*	*	i				
$\Delta(2300)$	H_{39}	**	**	d				
$\Delta(2350)$	D_{35}	*	*	d				
$\Delta(2390)$	F_{37}	*	*	e				
$\Delta(2400)$	G_{39}	**	**	n				
$\Delta(2420)$	H_{311}	****	****		*			
$\Delta(2750)$	I_{313}	**	**					
$\Delta(2950)$	K_{315}	**	**					


C. Amsler et al. (Particle Data Group), PL B667, 1 (2008)



Particle	J^P	overall	Status as seen in					
			$N\gamma$	$N\pi$	$\Delta\pi$	ΣK	$N\rho$	$\Delta\eta$
$\Delta(1232)$	$3/2^+$	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
$\Delta(1600)$	$3/2^+$	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
$\Delta(1620)$	$1/2^-$	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
$\Delta(1700)$	$3/2^-$	****	****	****	****	****	*	*
$\Delta(1750)$	$1/2^+$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$\Delta(1900)$	$1/2^-$	***	***	*	**	*	*	*
$\Delta(1905)$	$5/2^+$	****	****	****	**	*	*	**
$\Delta(1910)$	$1/2^+$	****	***	****	**	**	*	*
$\Delta(1920)$	$3/2^+$	***	***	***	***	**	**	**
$\Delta(1930)$	$5/2^-$	***	***	***	*	*	*	*
$\Delta(1940)$	$3/2^-$	**	*	**	*	*	*	*
$\Delta(1950)$	$7/2^+$	****	****	****	**	***	***	***
$\Delta(2000)$	$5/2^+$	**	*	**	*	*	*	*
$\Delta(2150)$	$1/2^-$	*	*					
$\Delta(2200)$	$7/2^-$	***	***	***	***	**	**	**
$\Delta(2300)$	$9/2^+$	**						
$\Delta(2350)$	$5/2^-$	*						
$\Delta(2390)$	$7/2^+$	*						
$\Delta(2400)$	$9/2^-$	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
$\Delta(2420)$	$11/2^+$	****	*	****	****	****	****	****
$\Delta(2750)$	$13/2^-$	**						
$\Delta(2950)$	$15/2^+$	**						

P. A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020, 083C01 (2020)

Electroproduction of pseudoscalar mesons



Experimental studies of electroproduction:

major progress in recent years, e.g., from JLab, MAMI, ...

- 10^5 data points for πN , ηN , KY , $\pi\pi N$ electroproduction
- access the Q^2 dependence of the amplitude
 - expected to provide a link between perturbative QCD and the region where quark confinement sets in
 - information on the internal structure of resonances

Electroproduction of pseudoscalar mesons:

⇒ 36 (polarization) observables,
complete experiment = 12 observables

V. Dmitrasinovic, T.W. Donnelly, and F. Gross, in Research Program at CEBAF (III),
RPACIII (CEBAF, Newport News, 1988). Tiator et al. Phys.Rev.C 96 (2017) 2, 025210





Figure and data from Markov et al. (CLAS) PRC 101 (2020),
resonance contribution: JLab/YerPhI

- so far, no new N^* or Δ^* established from electroproduction: data have not yet been analyzed on the same level as photoproduction data

Review theory and experiment: Aznauryan and Burkert, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 67 (2012); Mokek and Carman 2202.04180 [nucl-ex]

Phenomenological analyses of electroproduction


Single-channels analyses, e.g.:

- **MAID**: π, η electroproduction (EPJA 34, 69 (2007), NPA 700, 429 (2002),)
- **JLab**: π electroproduction covering the resonance region (PRC 80 (2009) 055203)
Study of $\pi^+ \pi^- \rho$ photo- and electroproduction: evidence for a new $N'(1720)3/2^+$ (PLB 805, 135457 (2020) (needs confirmation!))


Coupled-channels analyses:

- so far, no coupled-channel analysis of photo & electroproduction with simultaneous study of πN , ηN , KY final states
- **Jülich-Bonn-Washington approach** M. Mai et al. PRC 103 (2021): $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \pi^0 p, \pi^+ n$ and ηp (photoproduction as boundary condition at $Q^2 = 0$) PRC 106, 015201 (2022)

Selected fit results: $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \eta p$ at $W = 1.5$ GeV,
 $Q^2 = 1.2$ GeV 2 . Data: Denizli et al. (CLAS) PRC 76 (2007)



Selected multipoles at $W = 1535$ MeV



- **ANL-Osaka**: extension of DCC analysis of pion electroproduction (PRC 80, 025207 (2009)) in progress (Few

Body Syst. 59 (2018) 3, 24)

Member of the Helmholtz Association


September 7, 2022

Slide 9 | 16

The Hyperon Spectrum: Λ^* and Σ^* resonances

The Hyperon Spectrum (Λ^* 's and Σ^* 's)

Relativistic quark model: Λ^* 's



Löring et al. EPJ A 10, 447 (2001), Model A, exp. spectrum: PDG 2000

4 groups re-analyzed old $K^- p$ data over the complete resonance region:

- Kent: multi-channel PWA of $\bar{K}N$ scattering, $W = 1480$ to 2100 MeV PRD 88, 035204 & PRD 88, 035205 (2013)
- JPAC: unitary multichannel model for $\bar{K}N$ scattering, fit to Kent SE PWA PRD 93, 034029 (2016)
- ANL/Osaka: dynamical coupled-channel model for $\bar{K}N$ reactions PRD 90, 065204 (2014) & PRD 92, 025205 (2015)
- BnGa: multi-channel PWA based on a modified K -matrix approach EPJA 55, 179 & 180 (2019)
- JüBo: in progress


- Testing ground for theories of the strong force: what happens if we replace a light quark with an s quark?
- even more missing resonances than for N^* 's and Δ^* 's
- high interest in low-energy region and $\Lambda(1405)$ Review: Mai, Eur.Phys.J.ST 230 (2021)
- very little new experimental data in the last decades for the complete resonance region

Review on Hyperon spectroscopy:

E. Klempt et al. Eur.Phys.J.A 56 (2020)

The Hyperon Spectrum (Λ^* 's and Σ^* 's)

Relativistic quark model: Σ^* 's



Löring et al. EPJ A 10, 447 (2001), Model A, exp. spectrum: PDG 2000

4 groups re-analyzed old $K^- p$ data over the complete resonance region:

- Kent: multi-channel PWA of $\bar{K}N$ scattering, $W = 1480$ to 2100 MeV PRC 88, 035204 & PRC 88, 035205 (2013)
- JPAC: unitary multichannel model for $\bar{K}N$ scattering, fit to Kent SE PWA PRD 93, 034029 (2016)
- ANL/Osaka: dynamical coupled-channel model for $\bar{K}N$ reactions PRC 90, 065204 (2014) & PRC 92, 025205 (2015)
- BnGa: multi-channel PWA based on a modified K -matrix approach EPJA 55,179 & 180 (2019)
- JüBo: in progress

- Testing ground for theories of the strong force: what happens if we replace a light quark with an s quark?
- even more missing resonances than for N^* 's and Δ^* 's
- high interest in low-energy region and $\Lambda(1405)$ Review: Mai, Eur.Phys.J.ST 230 (2021)
- very little new experimental data in the last decades for the complete resonance region

Review on Hyperon spectroscopy:

E. Klempt et al. Eur.Phys.J.A 56 (2020)

PDG Λ ratings 1984 (left) vs 2022 (right)

Particle	L _{I-2J}	Overall status	Status as seen in --			
			N \bar{K}	$\Lambda\pi$	$\Sigma\pi$	Other channels
$\Lambda(1116)$	P ₀₁	****				$N\pi$ (weakly)
$\Lambda(1405)$	S ₀₁	****	****	F	****	
$\Lambda(1520)$	D ₀₃	****	****	o	****	$\Lambda\pi\pi, \Lambda\gamma$
$\Lambda(1600)$	P ₀₁	***	***	r	**	
$\Lambda(1670)$	S ₀₁	****	****	b	****	$\Lambda\eta$
$\Lambda(1690)$	D ₀₃	****	****	i	****	$\Lambda\pi\pi, \Sigma\pi\pi$
$\Lambda(1800)$	S ₀₁	***	***	d	**	N \bar{K}^* , $\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1800)$	P ₀₁	***	***	d	**	N K^*
$\Lambda(1820)$	F ₀₅	****	****	e	****	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1830)$	D ₀₅	****	***	n	****	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1890)$	P ₀₃	****	****	F	**	N K^* , $\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(2000)$	*	*		o	*	$\Lambda\omega, N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2020)$	F ₀₇	*	*	r	*	
$\Lambda(2100)$	G ₀₇	****	****	b	***	$\Lambda\omega, N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2110)$	F ₀₅	***	**	i	*	$\Lambda\omega, N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2325)$	D ₀₃	*	*	d		$\Lambda\omega$
$\Lambda(2350)$		***	***	d	*	
$\Lambda(2585)$		**	**	e		
				n		

C. G. Wohl et al. (Particle Data Group) Rev.Mod. Phys. 56 (1984)

Status updated

Quantum numbers updated

New

Particle	J^P	Overall status	Status as seen in --		
			N \bar{K}	$\Sigma\pi$	Other channels
$\Lambda(1116)$	1/2 ⁺	****			$N\pi$ (weak decay)
$\Lambda(1380)$	1/2 ⁻	**		**	
$\Lambda(1405)$	1/2 ⁻	****	****	****	
$\Lambda(1520)$	3/2 ⁻	****	****	****	$\Lambda\pi\pi, \Lambda\gamma, \Sigma\pi\pi$
$\Lambda(1600)$	1/2 ⁺	****	***	****	$\Lambda\pi\pi, \Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1670)$	1/2 ⁻	****	****	****	$\Lambda\eta$
$\Lambda(1690)$	3/2 ⁻	****	****	***	$\Lambda\pi\pi, \Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1710)$	1/2 ⁺	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(1800)$	1/2 ⁻	***	***	**	$\Lambda\pi\pi, N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(1810)$	1/2 ⁺	***	**	**	$N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(1820)$	5/2 ⁺	****	****	****	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1830)$	5/2 ⁻	****	****	****	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Lambda(1890)$	3/2 ⁺	****	****	**	$\Sigma(1385)\pi, N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2000)$	1/2 ⁻	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2050)$	3/2 ⁻	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2070)$	3/2 ⁺	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2080)$	5/2 ⁻	*	*	*	
$\Lambda(2085)$	7/2 ⁺	**	**	*	
$\Lambda(2100)$	7/2 ⁻	****	****	**	$N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2110)$	5/2 ⁺	***	**	**	$N\bar{K}^*$
$\Lambda(2325)$	3/2 ⁻	*	*		
$\Lambda(2350)$	9/2 ⁺	***	***	*	
$\Lambda(2585)$		*	*		

R. L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.

2022, 083C01 (2022)

PDG Σ ratings 1984 (left) vs 2022 (right)

Particle	L_{1-2J}	Overall status	Status as seen in --			
			$N\bar{K}$	$\Lambda\pi$	$\Sigma\pi$	Other channels
Nπ (weakly)						
$\Sigma(1193)$	P_{11}	****				
$\Sigma(1385)$	P_{13}	****		****	****	
$\Sigma(1480)$	*	*	*	*	*	
$\Sigma(1560)$	**		**	**		
$\Sigma(1580)$	D_{13}	**	*	*		
$\Sigma(1620)$	S_{11}	**	**	*	*	
$\Sigma(1660)$	P_{11}	***	***	*	**	
$\Sigma(1670)$	D_{13}	****	****	****	****	several others
$\Sigma(1690)$	**	*	**	*	*	$\Lambda\pi\pi$
$\Sigma(1750)$	S_{11}	***	***	**	*	$\Sigma\eta$
$\Sigma(1770)$	P_{11}	*				
$\Sigma(1775)$	D_{15}	****	****	****	***	several others
$\Sigma(1840)$	P_{13}	*	*	**	*	
$\Sigma(1880)$	P_{11}	**	**	**		$N\bar{K}^*$
$\Sigma(1915)$	F_{15}	****	***	****	***	$\Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Sigma(1940)$	D_{13}	***	*	***	**	quasi-2-body
$\Sigma(2000)$	S_{11}	*		*		$N\bar{K}^*, \Lambda(1520)\pi$
$\Sigma(2030)$	F_{17}	****	****	****	**	several others
$\Sigma(2070)$	F_{15}	*	*		*	
$\Sigma(2080)$	P_{13}	**		**		
$\Sigma(2100)$	G_{17}	*		*	*	
$\Sigma(2250)$	***	***	*	*	*	
$\Sigma(2455)$	**	*				
$\Sigma(2620)$	**	*				
$\Sigma(3000)$	*	*	*			
$\Sigma(3170)$	*					multi-body

C. G. Wohl et al. (Particle Data Group) Rev.Mod. Phys. 56 (1984)

Status updated

Quantum numbers updated

New

Removed

Particle	J^P	Overall status	Status as seen in --			Other channels
			$N\bar{K}$	$\Lambda\pi$	$\Sigma\pi$	
$\Sigma(1193)$	$1/2^+$	****				$N\pi$ (weak decay)
$\Sigma(1385)$	$3/2^+$	****		****	****	$A\gamma$
$A\gamma$						
$\Sigma(1580)$	$3/2^-$	*		*	*	
$\Sigma(1620)$	$1/2^-$	*		*	*	
$\Sigma(1660)$	$1/2^+$	***	***	***	***	
$\Sigma(1670)$	$3/2^-$	****	****	****	****	
$\Sigma(1750)$	$1/2^-$	***	***	*	***	
$\Sigma(1775)$	$5/2^-$	****	****	****	**	
$\Sigma(1780)$	$3/2^+$	*	*	*	*	
$\Sigma(1880)$	$1/2^+$	**	**	*	*	
$\Sigma(1900)$	$1/2^-$	**	**	*	**	
$\Sigma(1910)$	$3/2^-$	***	*	*	**	
$\Sigma(1915)$	$5/2^+$	****	***	***	***	
$\Sigma(1940)$	$3/2^+$	*		*		
$\Sigma(2010)$	$3/2^-$	*	*	*	*	
$\Sigma(2030)$	$7/2^+$	****	****	****	**	$\Delta(1232)\bar{K}, N\bar{K}^*, \Sigma(1385)\pi$
$\Sigma(2070)$	$5/2^+$	*		*		
$\Sigma(2080)$	$3/2^+$	*			*	
$\Sigma(2100)$	$7/2^-$	*		*	*	
$\Sigma(2110)$	$1/2^-$	*		*	*	
$\Sigma(2230)$	$3/2^+$	*		*	*	
$\Sigma(2250)$	***	**	*	*	*	
$\Sigma(2455)$	*	*				
$\Sigma(2620)$	*	*				
$\Sigma(3000)$	*	*	*			
$\Sigma(3170)$	*					

R. L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01

(2022)

Hyperon spectrum: Prospects for new data

Current experiments:

- Photoproduction (CLAS): Hyperon resonances abundantly produced as intermediate states in $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+(\Sigma\pi)$ and $K^+(K^-p)$ [Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 082004 \(2014\)](#), [Phys. Rev. C 88, 045201 \(2013\)](#)
Exploratory coupled-channel analysis: EPJA 57, 236 (2021): difficult to extract Y^* spectrum
- LHCb: $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda^* \rightarrow J/\psi K^- p$ decay [Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 \(2015\) 072001](#)

Future experiments:

- K_L facility at JLab: Strange Hadron Spectroscopy with a Secondary K_L Beam at GlueX (approved)
[2008.08215 \[nucl-ex\]](#)
↪ Talk by Michael Döring later today
- J-PARC: extract $\bar{K}N$ amplitude from kaonic atom experiments [JPS Conf. Proc. 26, 023013 \(2019\)](#)
↪ Talks on Friday
- PANDA at FAIR: $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{Y}Y^*$: besides Ξ^* and Ω^* also Λ^* and Σ^* spectrum accessible [0903.3905 \[hep-ex\]](#)

Summary and Outlook

Extraction of the N^* and Δ spectrum from experimental data: major progress in last decade

- new information from photoproduction data → new and upgraded states in PDG table
- wealth of high-quality electroproduction data, more at high Q^2 in the future (CLAS12)
→ to be included in modern coupled-channel analyses (in progress)

Extraction of the Λ^* and Σ^* spectrum from experimental data:

- very little new experimental data in the last decades
- established states the same as in 1984
- prospects for new data from different facilities

Thank you for your attention!