Based on arxiv: 2208.13755

AR, Jozef Dudek, Robert Edwards (For the HadSpec Collaboration)

Resonant K^* in $K\gamma \to K\pi$ from Lattice QCD

Archana Radhakrishnan TIFR, Mumbai/William & Mary QNP, 2022











A STUDY OF $K^-\pi^+$ SCATTERING IN THE REACTION $K^-p \rightarrow K^-\pi^+n$ AT 11 GeV/c*

D. ASTON¹, N. AWAJI², T. BIENZ¹, F. BIRD¹, J. D'AMORE³, W. DUNWOODIE¹, R. ENDORF³, K. FUJII^{2a}, H. HAYASHII^{2b}, S. IWATA², W.B. JOHNSON¹, R. KAJIKAWA², P. KUNZ¹, D.W.G.S. LEITH¹, L. LEVINSON^{1c}, T. MATSUI^{2a}, B.T. MEADOWS³, A. MIYAMOTO^{2a}, M. NUSSBAUM³, H. OZAKI², C.O. PAK^{2a},
B. N. RATCLIFF¹, D. SCHULTZ¹, S. SHAPIRO¹, T. SHIMOMURA², P.K. SINERVO^{1d}, A. SUGIYAMA², S. SUZUKI², G. TARNOPOLSKY^{1e}, T. TAUCHI^{2a}, N. TOGE¹, K. UKAI⁴, A. WAITE^{1f} and S. WILLIAMS^{1g}

¹Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, P.O. Box 4349, Stanford, California 94305, USA ²Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464, Japan ³University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA ⁴Institute for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Midori-cho, Tanashi, Tokyo 188, Japan

Received 13 July 1987



LASS, SLAC 11 GeV/c





Partial wave projected amplitude:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(s) = \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos\theta) \mathcal{M}(s,\theta) P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$$



```
\gamma K \to K^* \to \pi K
```



VOLUME 51, NUMBER 3

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

18 July 1983

Measurement of the Radiative Width of the $K^{*+}(890)$

C. Chandlee, D. Berg, S. Cihangir, B. Collick, T. Ferbel, S. Heppelmann, J. Huston, T. Jensen,
 A. Jonckheere, F. Lobkowicz, Y. Makdisi, M. Marshak, M. McLaughlin, C. Nelson,
 T. Ohshima, E. Peterson, K. Ruddick, P. Slattery, P. Thompson, and M. Zielinski
 Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, and University of Minnesota,
 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, and University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627
 (Received 18 March 1983)



Fermilab, E272 200 GeV/c





Archana Radhakrishnan, QNP 2022

Lattice QCD



Numerical approach to solve QCD using QCD path integral

$$\int DA_{\mu} D\psi D\overline{\psi} f(\psi, \overline{\psi}, A_{\mu}) e^{-S_{\text{Euc.}}}$$

Uses Monte-Carlo to sampling over configurations to perform the integral
 calculate correlation functions as average over many configurations

Finite volume used as a tool



Phys.Rev.D82:034508,2010



Phys.Rev.D82:034508,2010



 $Phys. Rev. D82{:}034508{,}2010$



from Lattice QCD





Meson spectrum from experiments



Photo-coupling from Lattice QCD



$K\pi$ radiative transition from QCD



$K\pi$ radiative transition from QCD



Watson's theorem

The transition amplitude contains information about the scattering amplitude

$K\pi$ radiative transition from Lattice QCD

Three-point functions on the

lattice - get the finite volume matrix elements



$|_L \langle \underline{K} | j^{\mu}(0) | \underline{K} \pi \rangle_L |$

 \mathcal{H}

have to map the finite volume matrix elements to the infinite volume transition amplitude



$K\pi$ radiative transition from Lattice QCD

Three-point functions on the

lattice - get the finite volume matrix elements



The mapping to the infinite volume involves accounting for the correct normalization of the discrete $K\pi$ energy levels



have to map the finite volume matrix elements to the infinite volume transition amplitude





the infinite volume
involves accounting
for the correct
normalization of
the discrete
$$K\pi$$

energy levels

The monning to

two body scattering matrix

When multiple partial waves are projected into a specific lattice irrep, the discrete energy levels receive contributions from all non-negligible partial waves Archana Radhakrishnan, QNP 2022

		$A_1 0^+, \ 2, \ 4, \ \ldots$
[0,n,n]	Dic_2	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
[n,n,n]	Dic_3	$A_1 0^+, \ 3, \ \dots$ $E_2 1, \ 2, \ 4, \ \dots$

 $|\lambda|^{\tilde{\eta}} = 0^+$ contains S,P,... Assuming higher partial waves do not contribute - there is S&P wave mixing in A_1 irreps in boosted frames

for equal particles $\pi\pi$ instead of πK Bose symmetry prevents this mixing

boosted frames are important to constrain on amplitude

 $\tilde{\eta} = P(-1)^J$

Kinematic Coverage



Archana Radhakrishnan, QNP 2022

The S&P wave contribution to each $K\pi$ energy level

 $a_t E^\star$ $\mathbf{w}_0^{\ell=}$ 0.41 0.91 0.56 $\frac{-2E_n^{\star}}{\mu_0^{\star\prime}}$ 0.61 0.56 0.18 1.38 0.99 -0.13 0.36 -0.94 0.96 1.02 6.87 **0.89** 0.17 0.96 -0.27 5.63 1.52 0.88 0.48 0.60 -0.80 0.16 5.84 2.82 4.27 3.41 0.88 0.47 8.13 3.83 0.80 0.60 5.68 5.67 5.67 K^* 5.68 7.62 4.57 5.49 0.15 5.62 0.78 0.63 **0.61** -0.79 0.82 -0.57 7.05 4.01 6.71 4.23 6.13 4.85 0.93 -0.33 8.43 2.81 0.14 0.99 -0.13 12.1 1.59 1.00 -0.02 32.3 0.65 $[100] A_1$ $[110] A_1$ $[111] A_1$ $[200] A_1$ $[000] T_1^ [100] E_2$ $[110] B_1$ $[110] B_2$ $[111] E_2$ $[200] E_2$

arxiv: 2208.13755

Lellouch-Lüscher factors

arxiv: 2208.13755

$$\left| {}_{L} \left\langle K \left| j \right| \left(0 \right) \left| K \pi \right\rangle_{L} \right| = \frac{1}{L^{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{K}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{n}}} \left(\mathcal{H} \cdot \widetilde{R}_{n} \cdot \mathcal{H} \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/2}$$

Lellouch-Lüscher formalism

$$\widetilde{R}_n(\mathbf{p}_{K\pi}, L) \equiv 2E_n \cdot \lim_{E \to E_n} \left(E - E_n \right) \left(F^{-1}(E^*, \mathbf{p}_{K\pi}; L) + \mathcal{M}(E^*) \right)^{-1}$$

two body scattering matrix

	$\begin{bmatrix} 5.8 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 110 \end{bmatrix} B_1 \# 0 \\ F \downarrow \downarrow$	$\begin{bmatrix} 110 \end{bmatrix} B_2 \# 0 \\ F = F = F = F = F = F = F = F = F = F$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1.8 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.2 \\ \hline \Phi \ \hline \hline \hline \Phi \ \hline \hline \hline \hline$	$\begin{bmatrix} 5.8 \\ 5.6 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 111 \end{bmatrix} E_2 \# 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.6 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{bmatrix} 1111 \\ I \\ I \\ I \\ 3.6 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} I \\ I $
$\begin{array}{c}3.2\\2.8\\2.4\end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 110 \end{bmatrix} A_1 \# 0 \\ \downarrow \downarrow$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.5 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.5 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.5 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 3.8\\ 3.6\\ 3.4\\ 3.2\\ \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ $
$\begin{array}{c}{}_{4.2}\\{}_{4.0}\\{}_{3.8}\\{}_{3.6}\end{array} \xrightarrow{[111]}A_1 \# 0 \qquad \qquad$	$\begin{bmatrix} 5.8 \\ 5.6 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 000 \end{bmatrix} T_1^- \# 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$	$\begin{array}{c}3.2\\3.0\\2.8\\2.6\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200\end{array} + \begin{array}{c}1\\200} + \begin{array}{c}1\\$
5.1 [200]A ₁ #1 4.8 4.5	$\begin{bmatrix} 100 \end{bmatrix} E_2 \# 0 \\ F_{5.6} \\ F_{5.4} \\ F_{5.4} \\ F_{1} \\ F_{1$	$\begin{array}{c} \overset{1.8}{\overset{1.6}{\overset{1.6}{\overset{1.6}{\overset{1.4}{\overset{1.6}{1.6}{\overset{1.6}{{\overset{1.6}{\overset{1.6}{{\overset{1.6}{{\overset{1.6}{{\overset{1.6}{{{{{{{{{{1.$
$ \begin{array}{c} 4.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.0 \\ 3.8 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 4.0 \\ 3.8 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ 4.0 \\ 5.8 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ 5.8 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \\ \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 4.0 \end{array} $	$\begin{bmatrix} 200 \end{bmatrix} E_2 \# 0 \\ \downarrow \downarrow$	^{1.4} 1.2 1.0 0.8 [111]A ₁ #2 [111]A ₁ #2

Lellouch-Lüscher factors for different parametrization of $\mathcal{M}(E^{\star})$

arxiv: 2208.13755



 $rac{1}{ ilde{r}_n(L)}F_L(Q^2)$

 $a_t^2 Q^2$

Lellouch-Lüscher corrected matrix elements

arxiv: 2208.13755



K^{*}(892) photo-coupling and $K\gamma \rightarrow K\pi$ amplitude



Crude extrapolation

- Lellouch-Lüscher formalism was implemented for the first time for $\gamma K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 K^+$ transition where there is **substantial** S & P-wave mixing in finite volume
- The resonance form factor and decay width were obtained by analytically continuing to the K^* pole
- Can be extended to many other interesting calculations!

Can be extended to the study of other resonance form factors

- Lellouch-Lüscher formalism was implemented for the first time for $\gamma K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 K^+$ transition where there is **substantial** S & P-wave mixing in finite volume
- The resonance form factor and decay width were obtained by analytically continuing to the K^* pole
- Can be extended to many other interesting calculations!

Can be extended to the study of other resonance form factors like the exotic π_1