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## Parallel Running with $\mathrm{d}_{2}^{n}$

- $\mathrm{d}_{2}^{n}$ : Measure neutron $g_{2}$ and $d_{2}$ at high $Q^{2}$.
- 53 calendar days $5^{\text {th }}$-pass production.
- 3 calendar days at $1^{\text {st }}$-pass for systematics.
- ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ double-polarization asymmetry will run in parallel with these $1^{\text {st }}$-pass systematics measurements.
- No modifications required to any equipment.
- Only requirement is to reposition the spectrometers.


## Modern ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ Form Factors



## Modern ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ Form Factors

${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ Magnetic Form Factor

${ }^{3} \mathrm{He} F_{m}$ modern sum of Gaussians fits.

## Form Factors from Cross Sections

- ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ cross section at 1 GeV and 3 GeV .


- Shallow cross section minima are used to extract sharp form factor minima.


## Double-Polarization Asymmetry

Polarized ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ Physical Asymmetry at 2.216 GeV


Double-polarization asymmetry at 2.216 GeV . The points show the statistical uncertainty of the mean of each kinematic setting.

- Uncertainties are statistics limited. Systematics are small.
- Offline discussions are ongoing about optimizing these points.
- Highest kinematic may be removed and split into two points to better measure first zero crossing.


## Conclusions

- In collaboration with $d_{2}^{n}$ we propose to measure the double-polarization asymmetry of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ over a range of $Q^{2}$.
- Run in parallel with $1^{\text {st }}$-pass systematics measurements.
- This will be the first high $Q^{2}$ measurement of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ form factors using polarization observables.
- Constrain the locations of the diffractive minima.
- Provide new method to hypothesis test theory predictions.
- Determine if polarization observables agree with unpolarized Rosenbluth results.
- Help explain the discrepancies between theoretical predictions and experimental measurements of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ form factors.
- History has shown that polarization measurements can reveal problems with cross section extracted form factors (Jones et al. 2000).


## Backup Slides

|  | $\theta$ <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | $Q^{2}$ <br> $[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | QE <br> Rate <br> $[\mathrm{Hz}]$ | Elastic <br> Rate <br> $[\mathrm{Hz}]$ | Total <br> Rate <br> $[\mathrm{Hz}]$ | Prescale | Final <br> Elastic <br> Rate <br> $[\mathrm{Hz}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHMS | 11 | 0.157 | 76708 | 3655 | 233779 | 52 | 70.30 |
|  | 13 | 0.22 | 31469 | 469 | 94877 | 22 | 21.31 |
|  | 15 | 0.286 | 13820 | 45.01 | 41505 | 10 | 4.50 |
|  | 17 | 0.363 | 6120 | 3.03 | 18363 | 5 | 0.61 |
| 19 | 0.517 | 2691 | 0.52 | 8073 | 2 | 0.26 |  |
| HMS | 21 | 0.612 | 1200 | 0.40 | 3599 | 1 | 0.40 |

Spectrometer Central Kinematics

