Search for a ϕ -N Bound State from ϕ Production in a Nuclear Medium (PR12-19-004)

2019/07/29 JLab PAC 47

Haiyan Gao, Duke (spokesperson, contact) *Zhiwen Zhao*, Duke (spokesperson) Tianbo Liu, Duke/JLab (spokesperson) Nathan Baltzell, JLab (spokesperson) CLAS Collaboration





Introduction

- While most observed hadrons can be interpreted as three-quark (baryon) and quark-antiquark (meson) states, QCD does not prevent the existence of multiquark states.
- In 2015, LHCb reported hidden charm pentaquark candidates: $P_c(4450)^+$, $P_c(4380)^+$.
- New results from LHCb in 2019:
 - $P_c(4450)^+ \Longrightarrow P_c(4440)^+ \text{ and } P_c(4457)^+.$





Introduction

- What are pentaquarks?
 - Tightly bound five quarks
 - Diquark-diquark-antiquark
 - Molecular state
 - Colored "baryon" and "meson"



The study of multiquark states is a new approach to understand the dynamics of the strong interaction at the hadronic scale.

• Almost all observed multiquark candidates contain heavy quark constituent.

The experimental search for lighter pentaquark state, e.g., hidden strangeness pentaquark, is of unique importance to understand flavor dependent properties of the strong interaction.

φ-N Bound State

- QCD van der Waals force is expected to dominate the interaction between two hadrons when they have no common quarks.
 Brodsky, Schmidt, de Téramond, PRL 64, 1011 (1990).
- The force is enhanced at low relative velocities. Luke, Manohar, Savage, PLB 288, 355 (1992).



• $A \phi$ -nucleon bound state is possible, if such attractive force is strong enough.

Theoretical predictions

- QCD van der Waals force, H. Gao et al., PRC 63 022201 (2001).
- Chiral quark model, F. Huang et al., PRC 73 025207 (2006).
- Lattice QCD, S.R. Beane et al., PRD 91 114503 (2015).
- Quark delocalization color screen model, H. Gao et al., PRC 95 055202 (2017).
- Bethe-Salpeter equation, J. He et al., PRD 98 094019 (2018).

*\phi***-N Bound State Production**



• sub- or near-threshold ϕ production from heavy nuclear target is preferred.





*\phi***-N Bound State Production**

H. Gao et al., PRC 95 055202 (2017)

model prediction

φ-N (mass 1950 MeV, width 4 MeV)

- Production with gold (Z=79 and A=197)
 - Sub-threshold and near-threshold production of ϕ from a proton or a neutron in gold nucleus
 - Then ϕ interacts with a nearby proton to form ϕ -p
 - ϕ -p can be reconstructed from its low momentum decay particles pK⁺K⁻



Note: the experimental detection does not rely on the particular production mechanism, while the rate estimation is based on this model calculation. The QCD van der Waals model has similar result.

CLAS12+ALERT



CLAS12 Forward Detector

 Detect charged particles from 5° to 35°

CLAS12 Forward Tagger

 Detect scattered electrons from 2.5° to 4.5°

Outer wall Clear space surrounded by a Kapton foil Target Drift chamber Scintillators array covered by a light proof layer

ALERT

- Detect low momentum charged particles
- Inside 5T solenoid
- 30cm in length, ~12cm in radius
- Drift chamber for tracking and scintillator for TOF PID

Ideal for low momentum multiple particles final state detection

Generating ϕ -N Events $eAu \rightarrow e'N[\phi p]X \rightarrow e'NpK^+K^-X$

- 4.4GeV 42nA electron beam on 0.1mm gold target (z=-15cm)
- Quasi-real photoproduction with scattered electrons (2.5-4.5deg, 1-4GeV)



- Scattered electrons can be detected by Forward Tagger
- Crucial to detect triple coincidence of low mom pK⁺K⁻ (100-800MeV) at forward angle
- Invariant mass of ϕ -N can be reconstructed

ϕ -N Detection with CLAS12+ALERT $eAu \rightarrow e'N[\phi p]X \rightarrow e'NpK^+K^-X$

• CLAS12 + ALERT acceptance and resolution applied to generated signal events



- pK⁺K⁻ detected by ALERT (mostly) and by CLAS12 Forward Detector
- Majority of the production phase space is covered
- Detection is not dependent on any particular model

ALERT Detector



ALERT (E12-17-012) approved

- Detect protons and light ions
- 30cm long D2 and He4 gas target
- Prototyping ongoing
- Experimental Readiness Review scheduled in Fall 2019

ALERT changes for this proposal:

- 1. Replace He4 with Ar in DC gas for better kaon detections
- 2. Replace gas target with 0.1mm gold foil at upstream entrance
- 3. Coincidence trigger with Forward Tagger

Need new beam time

ALERT DC

For P<350MeV, good separation between proton, kaon, pion



Projection in 100-400 MeV with 50MeV bin

- Using Ar instead of He4 in DC gas
- The same numbers of all particle species are evenly distributed

ALERT TOF PID

For P<350MeV, proton and kaon efficiency >90% and pion rejection > 50



Projection in 100-400 MeV with 50MeV bin

- Assume 150ps scintillator time resolution which could to improved to 50ps
- Plots show polar angle 75°-85° with shortest path length for worst case TOF PID
- The same numbers of all particle species are evenly distributed

ALERT Acceptance and Resolution

Acceptance: mom (130-350MeV for proton, 100-350MeV for kaon), polar angle (20°-90°), azimuthal (340°)



(a) Proton acceptance

(b) K^+ acceptance

Resolution: mom ~2%, polar angle ~20mrad, azimuthal angle ~20mrad



(a) Proton momentum resolution in percentage (b) K

(b) K^+ momentum resolution in percentage

Background Simulation

- Four background channels with the same final state
 - ϕ -N bound state production with ϕ production proton detected instead of the decay proton
 - ϕ production with no bound state: $\gamma + p_1 \rightarrow p_1' + \phi \rightarrow p_1' + K^+ + K^-$
 - $\Lambda(1520)$ production: $\gamma + p_1 \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) + K^+ \rightarrow p_1' + K^- + K^+$

Direct double kaon production:

$$\gamma + p_1 \rightarrow p_1' + K^+ + K^-$$

detected proton from those channels (correlated) detected proton from spectators (uncorrelated)

- One background channel with misidentification
 - double pion production with pions misidentified as kaons
 - including direct double pion and resonance production
 - generator TWOPEG "CLAS12 Note 2017-001"

Background Suppression

- Signal and background channels are well separated in kinematic space
- We can apply cuts to suppress background



pK⁺K⁻ invarains mass

of detected signal channel and four correlated background channels with same final state

 ϕ production with bound state







15

Invariant Mass of \phi-N

 ϕ -N signal is well separated from correlated background channels after kinematic cuts



Kinematic cuts:

 $P(p) < 0.8 \text{ GeV}, P(K^{\pm}) < 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ $M(pK^{\pm}) < 1.48 \text{ GeV}, \text{ and } M(K^{+}K^{-}) < 1.04 \text{ GeV}.$

Invariant Mass of \phi-N

Other background channels are under control and could be improved with better timing



additional cut: proton polar angle < 60°



Single pion rejection factor 50

Projection and Beam Time Request

- 4.4 GeV 42 nA beam on 0.1mm gold foil target with nucleon luminosity 3e34/cm2/s (same as ALERT experiment)
- CLAS12+ALERT with 0.7 overall detection efficiency
- Apply all kinematic cuts
- Select 3σ of pK⁺K⁻ invariant mass range from 1940MeV to 1960MeV
- Rate estimation
 - signal pK⁺K⁻ from ϕ -p, 0.2/h
 - correlated background, negligible
 - uncorrelated background, 0.07/h (could be improved)
 - two pion misidentified background, 0.43/h (could be improved)
- 40 PAC days of data taking will provide 7.4σ detection

Beam time request: **45** days of beam time 40 days for production 5 days for commissioning

Summary

- This proposal will search for a φ–N bound state from φ production in a nuclear medium by using CLAS12 + ALERT detectors
- We need to use ALERT with different DC gas, gold foil target and coincidence trigger
- It could lead to a new direction of QCD study in the strangeness sector
- We request 45 days of beam time

A CLAS Collaboration Proposal to PAC47

Search for a $\phi - N$ Bound State from ϕ Production in a Nuclear Medium

Haiyan Gao[†]; Chao Gu, Vladimir Khachatryan, Xiaqing Li, Tianbo Liu[†], Andrew Smith, Weizhi Xiong, Zhiwen Zhao[†], Jingyi Zhou, Anselm Vossen

Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA Whitney R. Armstrong, Kawtar Hafidi, Zein-Eddine Meziani Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA

Institut de Physique Nucléaire, CNRS-IN2P3, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91406 Orsay Cedex, France

Gabriel Charles, Raphael Dupré

James A. Dunne, Dipangkar Dutta, Lamiaa El Fassi Mississippi State University, Miss State, MS 39762, USA

Hongxia Huang Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210097, China

Ashot Gasparian

North Carolina A&T State University, Greensboro, NC 27411, USA

Mohammad Hattawy

Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA

Nathan Baltzell[†], Eugene Pasyuk

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

Jixie Zhang

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA and the CLAS Collaboration

and the CLAS Collaboration

[†]Spokesperson [‡]Contact person: gao@phy.duke.edu

backup

ALERT experiment

background rejection, while keeping the material budget as low as possible for low energy particle detection. ALERT will be installed inside the solenoid magnet instead of the CLAS12 Silicon Vertex Tracker and Micromegas tracker. We will use an 11 GeV longitudinally polarized electron beam (80% polarization) of up to 1000 nA on a gas target straw filled with deuterium or ⁴He at 3 atm to obtain a luminosity up to 6×10^{34} nucleon cm⁻²s⁻¹. In addition we will need to run hydrogen and ⁴He targets at different beam energies for detector calibration. The following table summarizes our beam time request:

Configurations	Proposals	Targets	Beam time request	Beam current	$Luminosity^*$
			days	nA	$n/cm^2/s$
Commissioning	All^\dagger	$^{1}\mathrm{H},~^{4}\mathrm{He}$	5	Various	Various
А	Nuclear GPDs	$^{4}\mathrm{He}$	10	1000	6×10^{34}
В	Tagged EMC & DVCS	$^{2}\mathrm{H}$	20	500	3×10^{34}
С	All^\dagger	$^{4}\mathrm{He}$	20	500	3×10^{34}
TOTAL			$\overline{55}$		

Running Conditions: Updated Target Configuration

- Alternating the liquid deuterium (LD2) target with a set of three solid targets 5 cm apart:
 - ✓ Design already exists,
 - ✓ 5 cm guarantees a good vertex separation,
 - Solid foils are glued to a kapton disk, then to a foam cylinder, and mounted inside a 20 mm diameter Kapton cell (similar to the liquid target cell),
 - ✓ The cell will be purged with cold helium to dissipate heat from the beam interaction.



trigger rate estimation

- CLAS12 run 3048 has 70 kHz FT single-cluster trigger rate with
 - 6.4 GeV electron beams
 - 15 nA on 5cm long liquid hydrogen target with 2e34/cm2/s lumi
 - FCAL > 0.5 GeV
 - Q2_min = 0.006GeV²
- This proposal
 - 4.4 GeV electron beam
 - 42nA on 0.1mm gold foil target with 3e34/cm2/s
 - 4GeV>FCAL>1GeV
 - Q2_min=0.008GeV²
- Estimation for this proposal
 - Assume FT single-cluster rate is proportional to virtual photon flux (E'/Q²E)
 - This proposal could have FT single-cluster trigger rate
 70 * (0.006/0.008)*(1/4.4)/(0.5/6.4)*(3e34/2e34) = 238 kHz
 - To have random coincidence trigger rate below CLAS12 limit 12kHz, assume 50ns time window, ALERT and CLAS12 forward angle detector need to provide a trigger below 12/50/238 = 1MHz

Petiroc2A in the Trigger

FPGA Readout board hasn't yet been used in the trigger, but supports it

- FPGA Readout to SSP optical link is bidirectional
 - SSP -> FPGA readout
 - 2.5Gbps
 - fixed latency clock, sync, & trigger
 - Register access commands
 - FPGA readout -> SSP
 - 2.5Gbps up to 6.25Gbps
 - 20% encoding overhead
 - 1Gbps for readout
 - 1Gbps for control
 - Up to 3Gbps for trigger
 - Full 64bit channel pattern with 32ns timing resolution
 - Timing can improved by grouping channels or by knowing dead-time due to shaping







34Gbps Optical link to

CLAS12 Trigger Stage 2

CLAS12 radiation background with gold foil target

- Fluka simulation by Lorenzo Zana
- Its geometry and materials include 0.1mm (3% RL) gold foil, Moller cone, 5T solenoid field and nothing else
 - The rest of the target is not there. I have changed the material of the USM target cell to air (that is the simulation that I have used). So, the border are there, but in reality is air as anywhere else.
 - The Moller cone is there, since it helps on shielding. It is the version with solid targets with Hall-B (same as run group M.)
- Simulation for 40 days at 40nA (8.64E17 electrons on target)
- For the rest for the simulation refer to <u>https://userweb.jlab.org/~zana/Documents/Hall-B_USM/</u>

1MeV equivalent neutron damage (gold foil z=-15cm)



Dose in rad (gold foil z=-15cm)

Tox



Neutron Irradiations SiPMs irradiated with neutrons up to 10¹² n/cm²







- · Steady increase in current with dose
- Small increase in current below V_{op}
- Large increase at V_{op}
- Small, slow long term recovery at RT

arXiv:1809.04594.v4 (2019) Results soon to appear in IEEE TNS

C.Woody, EIC PID Workshop, 7/9/16

8

Gamma Ray Irradiations

SiPMs irradiated with ⁶⁰Co gamma rays to 1 Mrad





Effects

- Large current during irradiation due to direct
 ionization which disappears after source is removed
- Significant increase in current below V_{op}
- Moderate increase at V_{op}
- Small, slow long term recovery at RT

Comparison photoproduction (1.30 to 1.60GeV photon with 1e8/s rate) and electroproduction (4.4GeV e- with FT and 3e34/cm2/s lumi)



ALERT DC occupancy



ALERT exp with gas of He4(90%)CO2(10%)





Figure 3.6: The rates for different processes as function of angle. The quasi-elastic radiative tails (QRT), ⁴He elastic radiative tail (ERT), and DIS contributions have been integrated over momenta starting at p/q = 50 MeV/c, where q is the electric charge of the particle detected.

This exp with gas of Ar(80%)CO2(20%)



CLAS g10 results: *Production on Deuteron* below CLAS threshold



 $E_{\gamma}^{\text{boost}}$ is photon energy in the proton-at-rest frame

Conclusion

- See "near-threshold" events,
 - Validity of phi-N bound state search technique, which is to generate a slow phi using fermi momentum of nucleon.
- Differential cross section is consistent with simple quasi-free calculation.
 - Did not rule out any exotic behavior since data is limited by statistics.
 - Good for future design of experiment.



Near threshold results without $E_{\gamma}^{\text{boost}} > 1.75$ GeV cut X. Qian et al, PLB 696, 338 (2011)

T. Sekihara, et al., arXiv:1008.4422

Results different from W.C. Chang et al. PLB684(2010)6, though different kinematics

CLAS12 detector

Parameters	Forward Detector	Central Detector	Forward Tagger
Charged Particles:			
Polar Angular Range (θ)	5° to 35°	35° to 125°	$2.5^{\circ} \text{ to } 4.5^{\circ}$
Resolution:			
Polar Angle $(\delta\theta)$	$< 1 \mathrm{mrad}$	< 10 mrad to 20 mrad	< 1.5%
Azimuthal Angle $(\delta \phi)$	$< 4 \mathrm{mrad}$	$< 5 \mathrm{mrad}$	$<2^{\circ}$
$\rm Momentum~(\delta p/p)$	<1% at 5 GeV/c	<5% at 1.5 GeV/c	$< 0.02/\sqrt{(E)}$
Neutral Particles:			
Polar Angular Range (θ)	5° to 40°	40° to 125° (neutrons)	
Resolution:			
Polar angle $(\delta\theta)$	$< 4 \mathrm{mrad}$	$< 10 \mathrm{\ mrad}$	
Energy	$< 0.1/\sqrt{(E)}$	< 5%	
PID:			
e/π	full momentum range	N/A	full momentum range
π/p	full momentum range	$< 1.25~{ m GeV/c}$	
${ m K}/\pi$	$< 3 { m ~GeV/c}$	$< 0.65~{ m GeV/c}$	
K/p	$< 4 { m ~GeV/c}$	$< 1 { m ~GeV/c}$	

Experimental Setup

- CLAS12+ALERT
 - 4.4GeV 42nA electron beam, 0.1mm gold foil target, 3e34/cm2/s nucleon luminosity
 - Forward Tagger (2.5-4.5deg, 1-4GeV) detect scattered e-
 - ALERT detects pK⁺K⁻ with 100<P<350 MeV
 - CLAS12 forward angle detects pK⁺K⁻ depending on torus field

ALERT resolution



(a) Proton azimuthal angle resolution in rad

(b) K^+ azimuthal angle resolution in rad

Polar angle 20mrad

Azimuthal angle 20mrad

mom 2%

Four background channels with same final state (generated)



Four background channels with same final state (detected)



Four background channels with same final state (generated)

We can cut on mom



Four background channels with same final state (detected)

