

Discussion session - hadronization

Yuri Kovchegov - Andrea Signori

Argonne National Laboratory

QCD evolution 2019

May 15, 2019





Hadronization - general

can we link FFs to fundamental quantities in QCD?

Hadronization - general

- can we link FFs to fundamental quantities in QCD?
- can LQCD have a word? Quasi/Pseudo-FFs, good lattice cross sections? Or other methods like DSEs?

Hadronization - experiments

- Present and upcoming measurements at Belle/BES/JLab/LHC(b), ... : which observable?
- "EIC without input from B-factories? Unlikely" (R. Seidl)

► ...

Hadronization - pheno/extractions

 what's the agreement between JAM19 and the previous extractions? (we discussed only PDFs on Monday)

Hadronization - pheno/extractions

- what's the agreement between JAM19 and the previous extractions? (we discussed only PDFs on Monday)
- ▶ $e^+e^- \rightarrow hX$ with q_T -depdendence wrt thrust axis: theory = ?

Hadronization - pheno/extractions

- what's the agreement between JAM19 and the previous extractions? (we discussed only PDFs on Monday)
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow hX$ with q_T -depdendence wrt thrust axis: theory = ?
- ▶ issues at large transverse momentum (not only in e^+e^-)

. . .

- TMD FFs: "standard" and in-jet (defined wrt a certain axis multiple definitions)
- besides the definition at the perturbative level (soft functions, etc.), are there non-perturbative parts (intrinsic k_T)? Are they universal?
- ▶ E.g.: are the NP corrections for the unpolarized TMD FF D₁ the same for the in-jet D₁ and the "standard" D₁?

- TMD FFs: "standard" and in-jet (defined wrt a certain axis multiple definitions)
- besides the definition at the perturbative level (soft functions, etc.), are there non-perturbative parts (intrinsic k_T)? Are they universal?
- ▶ E.g.: are the NP corrections for the unpolarized TMD FF D₁ the same for the in-jet D₁ and the "standard" D₁?
- to which extent should we pursue processes that involve jet functions wrt to standard fragmentation functions? (such as jet-SIDIS vs 1h-inclusive SIDIS)

- TMD FFs: "standard" and in-jet (defined wrt a certain axis multiple definitions)
- besides the definition at the perturbative level (soft functions, etc.), are there non-perturbative parts (intrinsic k_T)? Are they universal?
- ▶ E.g.: are the NP corrections for the unpolarized TMD FF D₁ the same for the in-jet D₁ and the "standard" D₁?
- to which extent should we pursue processes that involve jet functions wrt to standard fragmentation functions? (such as jet-SIDIS vs 1h-inclusive SIDIS)
- new in-jet correlations inspired by the di-hadron formalism? (discussed in FF2019, Duke U.)

- TMD FFs: "standard" and in-jet (defined wrt a certain axis multiple definitions)
- besides the definition at the perturbative level (soft functions, etc.), are there non-perturbative parts (intrinsic k_T)? Are they universal?
- ▶ E.g.: are the NP corrections for the unpolarized TMD FF D₁ the same for the in-jet D₁ and the "standard" D₁?
- to which extent should we pursue processes that involve jet functions wrt to standard fragmentation functions? (such as jet-SIDIS vs 1h-inclusive SIDIS)
- new in-jet correlations inspired by the di-hadron formalism? (discussed in FF2019, Duke U.)
- what progress is needed in the factorization (see also Z. Kang's, H. Li's talks today)

• twist-3 FF and the quark/jet mass M_j : observable? Is there a $\delta(z)$?

- twist-3 FF and the quark/jet mass M_j : observable? Is there a $\delta(z)$?
- concept of jet mass in SCET; what about sub-leading twist structures?

- twist-3 FF and the quark/jet mass M_j : observable? Is there a $\delta(z)$?
- concept of jet mass in SCET; what about sub-leading twist structures?
- contribution of M_j to g₂: a contribution from hadronization [subtract mass-related effects from the measurements to compare with (future) lattice calculations]