Lattice TMD observables at the physical pion mass

Michael Engelhardt

New Mexico State University

In collaboration with:
B. Musch, P. Hägler, J. Negele, A. Schäfer
J. R. Green, N. Hasan, S. Krieg, S. Meinel, A. Pochinsky, S. Syritsyn
T. Bhattacharya, R. Gupta, B. Yoon
S. Liuti, A. Rajan

Fundamental TMD correlator

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\text{unsubtr.}}(b,P,S,\ldots) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\langle P,S|\ \bar{q}(0)\ \Gamma\ \mathcal{U}[0,\ldots,b]\ q(b)\ |P,S\rangle$$

$$\Phi^{[\Gamma]}(x,k_T,P,S,\ldots) \equiv \int \frac{d^2 b_T}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d(b\cdot P)}{(2\pi)P^+} \exp\left(ix(b\cdot P) - ib_T\cdot k_T\right) \frac{\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\text{unsubtr.}}(b,P,S,\ldots)}{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}(b^2,\ldots)}\Bigg|_{b^+=0}$$

- “Soft factor” $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ required to subtract divergences of Wilson line $\mathcal{U}$
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is typically a combination of vacuum expectation values of Wilson line structures
- Here, will consider only ratios in which soft factors cancel

Gauge link structure motivated by SIDIS



Beyond tree level: Rapidity divergences suggest taking staple direction slightly off the light cone. Approach of Aybat, Collins, Qiu, Rogers makes v space-like. Parametrize in terms of Collins-Soper parameter

$$\hat{\zeta} \equiv \frac{P \cdot v}{|P||v|}$$

Light-like staple for $\hat{\zeta} \to \infty$. Perturbative evolution equations for large $\hat{\zeta}$.

“Modified universality”, $f^{\text{T-odd, SIDIS}} = -f^{\text{T-odd, DY}}$

Fundamental TMD correlator

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\text{unsubtr.}}(b,P,S,\ldots) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\langle P,S|\ \bar{q}(0)\ \Gamma\ \mathcal{U}[0,\eta v,\eta v+b,b]\ q(b)\ |P,S\rangle$$

$$\Phi^{[\Gamma]}(x,k_T,P,S,\ldots) \equiv \int \frac{d^2 b_T}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d(b\cdot P)}{(2\pi)P^+} \exp\left(ix(b\cdot P) - ib_T\cdot k_T\right) \left.\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\text{unsubtr.}}(b,P,S,\ldots)}{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}(b^2,\ldots)}\right|_{b^+=0}$$

- "Soft factor" $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ required to subtract divergences of Wilson line $\mathcal{U}$
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is typically a combination of vacuum expectation values of Wilson line structures
- Here, will consider only ratios in which soft factors cancel

Decomposition of Φ into TMDs

All leading twist structures:

$$\Phi^{[\gamma^+]} = f_1 - \left[\frac{\epsilon_{ij}k_i S_j}{m_H} f_{1T}^{\perp}\right] \mathrm{odd}$$

$$\Phi^{[\gamma^+\gamma^5]} = \Lambda g_1 + \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{m_H} g_{1T}$$

$$\Phi^{[i\sigma^{i+}\gamma^5]} = S_i h_1 + \frac{(2k_i k_j - k_T^2 \delta_{ij}) S_j}{2m_H^2} h_{1T}^{\perp} + \frac{\Lambda k_i}{m_H} h_{1L}^{\perp} + \left[\frac{\epsilon_{ij}k_j}{m_H} h_1^{\perp}\right] \mathrm{odd}$$

TMD Classification

All leading twist structures:

$q \rightarrow$ H $\downarrow$	U	L	T
U	f_1		$h_1^\perp$
L		g_1	$h_{1L}^\perp$
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$	g_{1T}	h_1 $h_{1T}^\perp$

← Boer-Mulders (T-odd)

↑ Sivers (T-odd)

Decomposition of $\widetilde{\Phi}$ into amplitudes

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\text{unsubtr.}}(b,P,S,\hat{\zeta},\mu) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\langle P,S|\ \bar{q}(0)\ \Gamma\ \mathcal{U}[0,\eta v,\eta v+b,b]\ q(b)\ |P,S\rangle$$

Decompose in terms of invariant amplitudes; at leading twist,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2P^+}\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\gamma^+]}_{\text{unsubtr.}} &= \widetilde{A}_{2B} + im_H\epsilon_{ij}b_iS_j\widetilde{A}_{12B} \\
\frac{1}{2P^+}\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\gamma^+\gamma^5]}_{\text{unsubtr.}} &= -\Lambda\widetilde{A}_{6B} + i[(b\cdot P)\Lambda - m_H(b_T\cdot S_T)]\widetilde{A}_{7B} \\
\frac{1}{2P^+}\widetilde{\Phi}^{[i\sigma^{i+}\gamma^5]}_{\text{unsubtr.}} &= im_H\epsilon_{ij}b_j\widetilde{A}_{4B} - S_i\widetilde{A}_{9B} \\
&\quad -im_H\Lambda b_i\widetilde{A}_{10B} + m_H[(b\cdot P)\Lambda - m_H(b_T\cdot S_T)]b_i\widetilde{A}_{11B}
\end{aligned}$$

(Decompositions analogous to work by Metz et al. in momentum space)

Relation between Fourier-transformed TMDs and invariant amplitudes $\widetilde{A}_i$

Invariant amplitudes directly give selected x-integrated TMDs in Fourier (b_T) space (showing just the ones relevant for Sivers, Boer-Mulders shifts), up to soft factors:

$$\tilde{f}_1^{[1](0)}(b_T^2, \hat{\zeta}, \ldots, \eta v \cdot P) = 2\widetilde{A}_{2B}(-b_T^2, 0, \hat{\zeta}, \eta v \cdot P)/\widetilde{S}(b^2, \ldots)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{1T}^{\perp[1](1)}(b_T^2, \hat{\zeta}, \ldots, \eta v \cdot P) = -2\widetilde{A}_{12B}(-b_T^2, 0, \hat{\zeta}, \eta v \cdot P)/\widetilde{S}(b^2, \ldots)$$

$$\tilde{h}_1^{\perp[1](1)}(b_T^2, \hat{\zeta}, \ldots, \eta v \cdot P) = 2\widetilde{A}_{4B}(-b_T^2, 0, \hat{\zeta}, \eta v \cdot P)/\widetilde{S}(b^2, \ldots)$$

Generalized shifts

Form ratios in which soft factors, (Γ-independent) multiplicative renormalization factors cancel

Boer-Mulders shift:

$$\langle k_y \rangle_{UT} \equiv m_H \frac{\tilde{h}_1^{\perp [1](1)}}{\tilde{f}_1^{[1](0)}} = \left. \frac{\int dx \int d^2 k_T \, k_y \Phi^{[\gamma^+ + s^j i\sigma^{j+}\gamma^5]}(x, k_T, P, \ldots)}{\int dx \int d^2 k_T \, \Phi^{[\gamma^+ + s^j i\sigma^{j+}\gamma^5]}(x, k_T, P, \ldots)} \right|_{s_T=(1,0)}$$

Average transverse momentum of quarks polarized in the orthogonal transverse ("T") direction in an unpolarized ("U") hadron; normalized to the number of valence quarks. "Dipole moment" in $b_T^2 = 0$ limit, "shift".

Issue: k_T-moments in this ratio singular; generalize to ratio of Fourier-transformed TMDs at *nonzero* b_T^2,

$$\langle k_y \rangle_{UT}(b_T^2, \ldots) \equiv m_H \frac{\tilde{h}_1^{\perp [1](1)}(b_T^2, \ldots)}{\tilde{f}_1^{[1](0)}(b_T^2, \ldots)}$$

(remember singular $b_T \to 0$ limit corresponds to taking k_T-moment). "Generalized shift".

Generalized shifts from amplitudes

Now, can also express this in terms of invariant amplitudes:

$$\langle k_y\rangle_{UT}(b_T^2,\ldots) \equiv m_H \frac{\tilde{h}_1^{\perp[1](1)}(b_T^2,\ldots)}{\tilde{f}_1^{[1](0)}(b_T^2,\ldots)} = m_H \frac{\widetilde{A}_{4B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}{\widetilde{A}_{2B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}$$

Analogously, Sivers shift (in a polarized hadron):

$$\langle k_y\rangle_{TU}(b_T^2,\ldots) = -m_H \frac{\widetilde{A}_{12B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}{\widetilde{A}_{2B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}$$

Worm-gear (g_{1T}) shift:

$$\langle k_x\rangle_{TL}(b_T^2,\ldots) = -m_N \frac{\widetilde{A}_{7B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}{\widetilde{A}_{2B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}$$

Generalized tensor charge (no k-weighting) :

$$\frac{\tilde{h}_1^{[1](0)}}{\tilde{f}_1^{[1](0)}} = -\frac{\widetilde{A}_{9B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P) - (m_N^2 b^2/2)\widetilde{A}_{11B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}{\widetilde{A}_{2B}(-b_T^2,0,\hat{\zeta},\eta v\cdot P)}$$



Lattice setup

- Evaluate directly $\widetilde{\Phi}^{[\Gamma]}_{\text{unsubtr.}}(b, P, S, \hat{\zeta}, \mu)$
  $\equiv \frac{1}{2}\langle P, S|\ \bar{q}(0)\ \Gamma\ \mathcal{U}[0, \eta v, \eta v + b, b]\ q(b)\ |P, S\rangle$
- Euclidean time: Place entire operator at one time slice, i.e., b, ηv purely spatial
- Since generic b, v space-like, no obstacle to boosting system to such a frame!
- Parametrization of correlator in terms of $\widetilde{A}_i$ invariants permits direct translation of results back to original frame; form desired $\widetilde{A}_i$ ratios.
- Use variety of P, b, ηv; here $b \perp P$, $b \perp v$ (lowest x-moment, kinematical choices/constraints)
- Extrapolate $\eta \to \infty$, $\hat{\zeta} \to \infty$ numerically.

Results: Sivers shift

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$





Results: Sivers shift

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$





Results: Sivers shift

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$





Results: Sivers shift

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$





Results: Sivers shift

Dependence of SIDIS limit on $|b_T|$





Results: Sivers shift

Dependence of SIDIS limit on $\hat{\zeta}$





Results: Boer-Mulders shift

Dependence of SIDIS limit on $|b_T|$



Results: Transversity

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$





Results: Transversity

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$





Results: Transversity

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$





Results: Transversity

Dependence on staple extent; sequence of panels at different $|b_T|$



Results: Transversity

Dependence of SIDIS/DY limit on $|b_T|$



Results: Transversity

Dependence of SIDIS/DY limit on $\hat{\zeta}$





Results: g_{1T} worm gear shift

Dependence of SIDIS/DY limit on $|b_T|$





Approaching the light cone

Results: Boer-Mulders shift (pion)

Dependence of SIDIS limit on $\hat{\zeta}$; open symbols: contribution $\widetilde{A}_4$ only



Results: Boer-Mulders shift (pion)

Dependence of SIDIS limit on $\hat{\zeta}$; fit function $a + b/\hat{\zeta}$



Momentum smearing - preliminary results in a nucleon

Dependence of SIDIS limit on $\hat{\zeta}$



Advertisement: Dependence of Sivers shift on momentum fraction x





Conclusions and Outlook

- Continued exploration of transverse quark dynamics using bilocal quark operators with staple-shaped gauge link structures. Soft factors, multiplicative renormalizations are canceled by constructing appropriate ratios of Fourier-transformed TMDs.
- Progress on challenges posed by physical pion mass limit, $\hat{\zeta} \to \infty$ limit, discretization effects.
- Currently analyzing initial data on the dependence of the Sivers shift on momentum fraction x.
- Plan to explore further TMD/GTMD observables (longitudinal polarization, quark orbital angular momentum, spin-orbit coupling, twist-3).