

# RG-K DVCS at 7.5 GeV

RG-K skim8:

7.546 GeV Beam, 20~50 nA Current, Liquid H2 Target, +1/-1 Torus/Solenoid

Simulation: GEMC 4.3.0 for 200,000 DVCS and 200,000 DV $\pi$  10 P Events

Reconstruction: CLARA 5.7.4

Skimming Requirements: 1 FD e, 1 p, and 1  $\gamma$ 

Joshua Artem Tan

CLAS Collaboration Meeting June 20, 2019



# DVCS at 6.4 GeV from 2018 Spring RG-A

Results from 1% of the approved 6.4 GeV beam time, integrated over all bins, and fitted with  $BSA = A \downarrow LU \uparrow \sin \phi \sin \phi / 1 + A \downarrow LU \uparrow \cos \phi \cos \phi$  showing statistical errors (only)



Beam spin asymmetry fit with  $Q_1^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , W > 2 GeV,  $|q_1'| > 2 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\Delta \theta \downarrow \text{cone}(\gamma) < 6^\circ$ , and  $E \downarrow X \downarrow e \uparrow' p \uparrow' \gamma < 0.5 \text{ GeV}$  is qualitatively in agreement with the pr evious CLAS DVCS results.





#### DVCS and $DV_{\pi^{\uparrow 0}}P$ Separation at 7.5 GeV



Loose cuts were initially considered:  $Q^{\uparrow 2} > 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ , W > 0 GeV, and  $|q^{\uparrow}| > 0.3 \text{ GeV}$ .





#### $\Delta \theta \downarrow cone(\gamma)$ Cut



The fit of  $\Delta \theta \downarrow \text{cone}(\gamma) = \cos t - 1 (q t' \cdot X \downarrow \gamma / |q t' ||X \downarrow \gamma / )$  histogram with the mean fixed at 0° gives  $\sigma$  of 0.58°.





#### $\Delta \theta \downarrow cone(\gamma)$ Cut

Ratio of Events after  $\sigma$ Cut



Below  $1.5\sigma$  cut DVCS drops faster than DV $\pi$ 10 P. At this cut, DVCS drops to 48. 5 % while DV $\pi$ 10 P drops to 16.5%.





#### ELXLet pt y Cut



The fit of  $E \downarrow X \downarrow e \uparrow' p \uparrow' \gamma$  histogram for DVCS gives mean of -0.028 GeV and  $\sigma$  of 0.086 GeV.





#### ELXLet pt y Cut

Ratio of Events after  $\sigma$ Cut



Below 2.2 $\sigma$  cut DVCS drops faster than DV $\pi$ 10 P. At this cut, DVCS drops to 70. 1% while DV $\pi$ 10 P drops to 24.9%.





#### pt y Missing Mass-Squared Cut



 $p \uparrow \gamma$  missing mass-squared of DV $\pi \uparrow 0$  P contaminants has wider distribution shifted to the right. The fit of  $p \uparrow \gamma$  missing mass-squared histogram for DVCS gives me an of 0.009 GeV<sup>2</sup> and  $\sigma$  of 0.061 GeV<sup>2</sup>.





#### pt y Missing Mass-Squared Cut



Below  $1.5\sigma$  cut DVCS drops faster than DV $\pi$ 10 P. At this cut, DVCS drops to 76. 3% while DV $\pi$ 10 P drops to 44.9%.





## DVCS ef Kinematics



 $Q^{\uparrow 2} > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , W > 2 GeV, and  $|q^{\uparrow}| > 2 \text{ GeV}$ : Simulation (Top) and Data (Bottom)





# DVCS pt Kinematics



 $Q^{\uparrow 2} > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , W > 2 GeV, and  $|q^{\uparrow}| > 2 \text{ GeV}$ : Simulation (Top) and Data (Bottom)





### DVCS yr Kinematics







#### **DVCS Kinematic Variables**







#### **DVCS** $ep \rightarrow e^{\uparrow} p^{\uparrow} \gamma X$







# **DVCS** Cone Angles



 $Q^{\uparrow 2} > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ , W > 2 GeV, and  $|q^{\uparrow}| > 2 \text{ GeV}$ : Simulation (Top) and Data (Bottom)





# **DVCS Missing Particles**







# Summary and Future Plan/s

- Cleanup of DVCS events with  $\Delta \theta l \text{cone}(\gamma)$ ,  $E l X l e l' p l' \gamma$ , and  $p l' \gamma$  missing masssquared cut resulted to drop in DVCS events to 25.9% and suppression of DV  $\pi l 0$  P contaminants to 1.8%.
- There is a good qualitative agreement between data and simulation after the cleanup.
- Other strategies will be considered and tried for DVCS and  $DV\pi 10$  P separation.





# Thank You!!!





#### $_{\gamma}$ Recovery





With an identified coincident p1' any neutral particle can be assumed as  $\gamma$ . Usi ng the sampling fraction  $SF=0.25(1.029-0.015/E\downarrow dep +0.00012/E\downarrow dep 12)$ ,  $\gamma$  mo mentum *E* can be calculated from the total energy deposited in ECal  $E\downarrow dep$  as follo ws:  $E=E\downarrow dep /SF$ .



