# Tritium QE (e,e'p)

Hen Lab Laboratory for Nuclear Science @

## Reynier Cruz Torres

Hall A/C Summer Meeting June 28<sup>th</sup>, 2019

## Nucleon-nucleon interaction

Crucial for:

- Ab-Initio nuclear structure calculations
- understanding dense astrophysical objects such as neutron stars

Strong nuclear force, Coulomb force, spins, magnetic moments ...



## There are many NN potential models...



- Hamada-Johnston Potential
- Yale-Group Potential
- Reid68 Potential
- Reid-Day Potential
- Partovi-Lomon Potential
- Paris-Group Potentials
- Stony-Brook Potential
- dTRS Super-Soft-Core Potentials
- Funabashi Potentials
- Urbana-Group Potentials
- Argonne-Group Potentials
  - Argonne V14
  - Argonne V28
  - Argonne V18
- Bonn-Group Potentials
  - Full-Bonn Potential
  - CD-Bonn Potential
  - Padua-Group Potential
  - Nijmegen-Group Potentials
    - Nijm78 Potential
    - Partial-Wave-Analysis
    - Nijm93
    - Nijml

- Nijmll
- Reid93 Potential
- Extended Soft-Core
- Nijmegen Optical Potentials
- Hamburg-Group Potentials
- Moscow-Group Potentials
- Budapest(IS)-Group Potential
- MIK-Group Potential
- Imaginary Potentials
- QCD-Inspired Potentials
- The Oxford Potential
- The First CHPT NN Potentials
- Sao Paulo-Group CHPT Potentials
- Munich-Group CHPT Potentials
- Idaho-Group CHPT Potentials
- Bochum-Julich-Group CHPT Potentials
  - LO Potentials
  - NLO Potentials
  - NNLO Potentials
  - NNNLO Potentials
- and more!

## ...still, short-range behavior in unconstrained

#### central channel



## Why light nuclei?

 can be exactly calculated for a given two- and threenucleon interaction model.

# Why Tritium?

- Isospin doublet:
  - <sup>3</sup>He is stable mirror nucleus

$$\frac{{}^{3}\text{He}(\mathbf{p})}{{}^{3}\text{He}(\mathbf{n})} \cong \frac{{}^{3}\text{He}(\mathbf{p})}{{}^{3}\text{H}(\mathbf{p})}$$





When two nucleons get close inside the nucleus, they fly apart with high momentum



When two nucleons get close inside the nucleus, they fly apart with high momentum





#### np-dominance



O. Hen et al., Science 346, 614 (2014)

## np-dominance



M. Duer et al., (Jefferson Lab CLAS Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 172502 (2019)









## Theory predictions



## Theory predictions



## Electron scattering 101







$$\frac{d^{6}\sigma}{d\omega dE_{p}d\Omega_{e}d\Omega_{p}} = K\sigma_{ep}S(|\vec{p_{i}}|, E_{i})$$

$$e'$$
Assuming the q vector was absorbed by a single nucleon:

p<sub>f</sub>

A-1

p<sub>i</sub>

Α

$$\vec{p}_i = \vec{p}_{miss} = \vec{p}_f - \vec{q}$$

## Previous studies and non-QE mechanisms

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Minimizing non-QE mechanisms

# Q<sup>2</sup> > 2 GeV<sup>2</sup> x<sub>B</sub> > 1

MEC FSI in SRC FSI

M. M. Sargsian, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E10, 405 (2001) M. M. Sargsian et al., J. Phys. G29, R1 (2003)

## Minimizing non-QE mechanisms

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\frac{d^6\sigma}{d\omega dE_p d\Omega_e d\Omega_p} = \mathbf{K}\sigma_{ep}S(|\vec{p_i}|, E_i)$$

#### thus:

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

## HRS detector package

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Allow for excellent momentum reconstruction and particle identification

#### **Kinematical settings**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Yield corrections**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Yield corrections**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Measured <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>3</sup>H ratio

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>R.Cruz-Torres</u> et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

#### Corrections

$$R_{n(p)}^{\text{meas.}}(p_{miss}) \neq R_{^{3}\text{He}/^{3}\text{H}}^{corr.yield}(p_{miss})$$

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Corrections

$$R_{n(p)}^{\text{meas.}}(p_{miss}) = R_{^{3}\text{He}/^{3}\text{H}}^{corr.yield}(p_{miss}) \times C_{\text{BinMig}} \times C_{\text{Rad}} \times C_{E_{m}\text{Acc}}$$

High missing momentum setting

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Final results**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>R.Cruz-Torres</u> et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

## **Final results**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>R.Cruz-Torres</u> et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

#### Effect of Final-State Interactions

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

using calculation by M. Sargsian

## **Effect of Final-State Interactions**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>R.Cruz-Torres</u> et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>R.Cruz-Torres</u> et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>R.Cruz-Torres</u> et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>R.Cruz-Torres</u> et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

## Outlook:

Path forward to understanding high-p<sub>miss</sub> discrepancy:

- Study additional calculations with other effects
- Absolute cross section extraction

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

R.Cruz-Torres et al. Submitted for publication. arXiv:1902.06358 (2019)

## Thank you!

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Backup slides

#### Event selection cuts

electron-PID:  $E_{cal}/|\mathbf{p}| > 0.5$ proton in coincidence:  $\Delta t_{e-p} < 3\sigma$ target wall cut: |vz| < 9.5 cm  $\Delta vz_{e-p} < 1.2$  cm (<  $3\sigma$ )

Acceptance:  $\delta < 4\%$   $\phi$  (horizontal) < 25.5 mrad  $\theta$  (vertical) < 55.0 mrad

FSI:  $\theta_{rq} < 37.5 \text{ deg}$ 

non-QE events: xB > 1.3
(high-Pmiss kinematics)

![](_page_47_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### From event selection

Determined as follows: for a given  $p_{miss}$  bin:

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Others:** 

	Overall	Point-to-point
Target Walls	$\ll 1\%$	
Target Density	1.5%	
Beam-Charge and Stability	1%	
Tritium Decay	0.18%	
spectral function	30%	
isospin symmetry	<b>J</b> /0	
Cut sensitivity		1% - 8%
Simulation Corrections		
(bin-migration, radiation,		1% - $2%$
$E_m$ acceptance)		

#### Corrections

 $R_{n(p)}^{\text{meas.}}(p_{miss}) = R_{^{3}\text{He}/^{3}\text{H}}^{corr.yield}(p_{miss}) \times C_{\text{BinMig}} \times C_{\text{Rad}} \times C_{E_{m}\text{Acc}}$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} C_{\mathrm{BinMig}} &=& R_{\mathrm{Sim}}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{Rad}}}(p_{miss}^{\mathrm{gen}}) \ / \ R_{\mathrm{Sim}}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{Rad}}}(p_{miss}^{\mathrm{rec}}), \\ C_{\mathrm{Rad}} &=& R_{\mathrm{Sim}}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{Born}}}(p_{miss}^{\mathrm{gen}}) \ / \ R_{\mathrm{Sim}}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{Rad}}}(p_{miss}^{\mathrm{gen}}), \\ C_{E_{m}\mathrm{Acc}} &=& n_{^{3}\mathrm{He}/^{3}\mathrm{H}}(p_{miss}^{\mathrm{gen}}) \ / \ R_{\mathrm{Sim}}^{\sigma_{\mathrm{Born}}}(p_{miss}^{\mathrm{gen}}), \end{array}$$

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Ratios of AV18/N<sup>2</sup>LO momentum distributions

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

FIG. 2: Ratio of different distributions obtained using the AV18 and N<sup>2</sup>LO potentials. The left figure shows the  $(n_{A=3})_{AV18}/(n_{A=3})_{N^2LO}$ , where  $n_{A=3}$  refers to the <sup>3</sup>He proton and <sup>3</sup>H neutron momentum distributions. The right figure shows the double ratio  $(n_{3He}^p/n_{3H}^p)_{AV18}/(n_{3He}^p/n_{3H}^p)_{N^2LO}$ .

## Measurement-simulation comparison

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Measurement-simulation comparison

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

## 2- and 3-body breakups in <sup>3</sup>He

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)