Timelike Compton Scattering with CLAS12 at Jefferson Lab

Pierre Chatagnon and the ee analysis group

Institut de Physique Nucleaire d'Orsay

chatagnon@ipno.in2p3.fr

CLAS Collaboration meeting March 7, 2019



Overview of this talk

- State of the analysis at DNP 2018
- Validation of $e^+e^-p(e^-)$ Monte Carlo simulation
- MC studies of ee interference and QED background
- Validation of the asymmetry exctraction algorithm

From DVCS to TCS DVCS ($\gamma^* p \rightarrow \gamma p$)





 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Compton Form Factors} \\ \mathcal{H} = \sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left\{ \mathcal{P} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mathsf{x} \mathcal{H}^{q}(\mathsf{x},\xi,t) \left[\frac{1}{\xi-\mathsf{x}} - \frac{1}{\xi+\mathsf{x}} \right] + i\pi \left[\mathcal{H}^{q}(\xi,\xi,t) - \mathcal{H}^{q}(-\xi,\xi,t) \right] \right\} \end{array}$

Imaginary part

Measured in DVCS asymmetries

Real part

- Accessible in DVCS cross section
- Accessible in TCS in cross section angular modulation



$\gamma p \rightarrow e^+ e^- p$ kinematics



$$\begin{aligned} Q'^2 &= (k+k')^2 \qquad t = (p'-p)^2 \\ L &= \frac{(Q'^2-t)^2 - b^2}{4} \qquad L_0 = \frac{Q'^4 sin^2 \theta}{4} \qquad b = 2(k-k')(p-p') \\ \tau &= \frac{Q'^2}{2p \cdot q} \qquad s = (p+q)^2 \qquad t_0 = -\frac{4\xi^2 M^2}{(1-\xi^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$\gamma p \rightarrow e^+ e^- p$ Cross section and CFFs

Interference cross section

$$\frac{d^4\sigma_{INT}}{dQ'^2 dt d\Omega} = -\frac{\alpha_{em}^3}{4\pi s^2} \frac{1}{-t} \frac{m_p}{Q'} \frac{1}{\tau\sqrt{1-\tau}} \frac{L_0}{L} [\cos(\phi) \frac{1+\cos^2(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} Re \ \tilde{M}^{--} + ...]$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{M}^{--} = \frac{2\sqrt{t_0 - t}}{M} \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \xi} \left[F_1 \mathcal{H} - \xi (F_1 + F_2) \tilde{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{t}{4M^2} F_2 \mathcal{E} \right]$$

BH cross section

$$\frac{d^4 \sigma_{BH}}{dQ'^2 dt d\Omega} \approx -\frac{\alpha_{em}^3}{2\pi s^2} \frac{1}{-t} \frac{1 + \cos^2(\theta)}{\sin^2(\theta)} \left[(F_1^2 - \frac{t}{4M^2} F_2^2) \frac{2}{\tau^2} \frac{\Delta_T^2}{-t} + (F_1 + F_2)^2 \right]$$

Weighted cross section ratio

$$R(\sqrt{s}, Q'^{2}, t) = \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \cos(\phi) \frac{dS}{dQ'^{2}dtd\phi}}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \frac{dS}{dQ'^{2}dtd\phi}} \quad \frac{dS}{dQ'^{2}dtd\phi} = \int_{\pi/4}^{3\pi/4} d\theta \frac{L}{L_{0}} \frac{d\sigma}{dQ'^{2}dtd\phi d\theta}$$

Data analysis

$ep ightarrow (e) \gamma p ightarrow (e) \gamma^* p ightarrow (e) e^+ e^- p$

Final state

 Use the CLAS12 reconstruction software PID (and cuts on leptons SF)

• Events with e^+e^-pX selected

Incoming photon

- Make sure the photon is quasi-real
- Cuts on $Xp \rightarrow e^+e^-p$ missing mass

Scattered electron

- Additional cuts on scattered electron
- Look at $ep \rightarrow e^+e^-pX$ system

Lepton-pair spectrum shown at DNP 2018



Next steps

- Mass cut to avoid resonances in the e^+e^- mass spectrum (ho(1450) and ho(1700))
- Calculate the acceptance for cross section extraction

R ratio calculation

Experimental cross section ratio



Monte Carlo Validation

Two $e^+e^-p(e^-)$ Monte Carlo are available :

- GRAPE designed for HERA data (see http://research.kek.jp/people/tabe/grape/)
- MC Generator written by Rafayel Paremuzyan

GRAPE

- Covers large phase space and regimes (elastic and inelastic)
- Includes beam electron, BH and pair production from the beam
- Includes interference between pair and scattered electron
- No GPDs included
- Used in many (>40) HERA publications

R. Paremuzyan Generator

- Includes GPDs and D-term (possibility to vary the D-term strength)
- Does not include beam electron, uses Equivalent Photon Approximation

MC Comparison for BH - Generator Validation

- $Q^2 < 0.05 \ GeV^2$
- $0.0 < -t < 1 \ GeV^2$
- $\theta_{lab} > 2^{\circ}$
- $P_{e^+e^-} > 1 \text{ GeV}$
- $1 < Q'^2 < 9 \ GeV^2$

A bug have been found and corrected in Rafo's Generator. After correction both generators agree for the 5 variables (E_g , t, Q'^2 , θ and ϕ)



Phi distributions in back-up

Pierre Chatagnon and the ee analysis group (IPNO)

- Interference between pair electron and scattered electron
- QED pair production from beam electron



(a) Bethe-Heitler type diagrams



(b) QED-Compton type diagrams

- Electron (scattered and pair) are now indistinguishable
- "Pair" electron is defined as high θ_{lab} electron, "Scattered" electron is defined as low θ_{lab} electron
- $\bullet\,$ Events simulated with Interference $+\,$ QED

Direct BH Int.+QED



- After reconstruction distributions are the same.
- Direct BH is the main process contributing for e^+e^-p final state in CLAS12

Direct BH Int.+QED Inbending electrons



Direct BH Int.+QED

Outbending electrons



R ratio calculation from generated events



R ratio calculation from generated events within CLAS12 acceptance (inbending)

$$\mathcal{R}' = rac{\sum\limits_{\phi_A} cos(\phi) Y_{\phi}}{\sum\limits_{\phi_A} Y_{\phi}} \qquad Y_{\phi} = \sum\limits_{ heta_A} rac{L}{L_0} \mathcal{N}_{ heta}^{\phi}$$



R ratio calculation from reconstructed events within CLAS12 acceptance (inbending)

Acceptance calculated with full cross section (BH and interference term (D=1))



 \rightarrow Need to understand the discrepancy in the weighted asymmetry

Conclusion

- In CLAS12 acceptance, only BH contributes to the e⁺e⁻p final state, interference between electrons and QED pair production are negligeable.
- The framework to extract the TCS asymmetry is ready. As soon as trains are processed, we can get a first value for the asymmetry.

Outlook

- Understand model dependance of the acceptance.
- Understand weighted asymmetry discrepancy.
- Study background from $e^+e^-(e^+e^-)p$ final state using data.

Thank you !

Back up

Phi distributions BH Comparison

First row : Grape Second row : Rafo's Gene



Pierre Chatagnon and the ee analysis group (IPNO)

TCS with CLAS12 at JLab

Gene Phi distributions Direct BH/QED+Int



Rec Phi distributions Direct BH/QED+Int

