

#### BAND hardware and calibration status CLAS Collaboration Meeting





Efrain Segarra Reynier Cruz Torres Florian Hauenstein

Segarra & Hauenstein & Cruz Torres | 03/06/2019 | CLAS Collaboration Meeting



### Outline



- Why the Backward-Angle Neutron Detector (BAND)
- (Re) Commissioning in Jan 2019
- Calibration Status in RGB
- Preliminary physics with BAND







B. Schmookler, CLAS Collaboration, Nature 566 (2019) no.7744, 354-358





$$F_2^A = (Z - n_{SRC}^A)F_2^p + (N - n_{SRC}^A)F_2^n + n_{SRC}^A(F_2^{p^*} + F_2^{n^*})$$

B. Schmookler, CLAS Collaboration, Nature 566 (2019) no.7744, 354-358



#### DIS Recoil Tagging d(e,e'n)X















- 5 layers thick (36cm total) with veto layer (1cm thick)
- 140 bars
- Bar resolutions < 200 ps</li>
- 3 meters upstream target cell, coverage in  $\theta \sim 155-176^{\circ}$
- Design neutron efficiency ~35% and momentum resolution ~1.5% (*to be studied*)





### Re-commissioning of BAND

- Laser system and fiber cables
- Mechanics and electronics
- Calibration on laser, cosmics, and sources



#### Laser System







### Base Level Calibrations

Cosmic Data	
Source Data	
Laser Data	

- TDC/FADC phase offset
- TDC time walk
- Bar attenuation
- Timing offsets
- Effective velocity



- Neutron efficiency
- Neutron momentum resolution



#### Gain Curves: Optimizing HV





### Calibrating ADC to MeVee







#### L-R Offsets and Effective Velocity



Segarra | 03/06/2019





#### Extracting Attenuation Lengths



$$\ln R = \frac{2x}{\mu} = -v\frac{t_L - t_R}{\mu}$$

Hen <sup>•</sup>Lab











- Used stable laser timing pulse to align all PMTs in time
- Also measured time resolution



## Measuring Time Resolution

#### Laser timing peak used as monitoring system







Hen Lab

1111



#### Single PMT ADC Response from Laser





#### Before calibrations: All short bars in BAND, (e,e')





Yes!



Jefferson Lab

#### After calibrations: All short bars in BAND, (e,e')



#### Neutron Momentum Distribution

#### After calibrations: All long bars in BAND, (e,e')





### BAND + CLAS Physics











### BAND + CLAS Physics





#### Searching for exclusive processes

d(e, e'p)n (measure n efficiency)

 $d(e, e'p\pi^+\pi^-)n$  (measure n efficiency)

 $d(e, e'pp\pi^{-})$  (study resolution in CLAS)





25







Hen La

Jefferson Lab



mmiss [GeV]





#### **EMC-SRC** Prelim Physics





#### Neutron Momentum Distribution

#### After calibrations: All long bars in BAND, (e,e')



#### Neutron Momentum Distribution











#### Kinematical Reach



#### Thank you!

35

12

A

56

Br

Efrain Segarra Reynier Cruz Torres Florian Hauenstein



#### Back up slides









### Lot of Events Bkgrd

Run 6164, 900 splits, 3 hours of beam, (e,e'nBAND)







#### **Before Phase Correction**



Hen /La

#### HV Settings for all PMTs



### Attenuation Length Correction

## PMTs measure largest difference in energy when closest to given PMT, as expected



Hauenstein & Segarra & Cruz Torres | 03/01/2019



### Attenuation Length Correction

More uniform, event-by-event, difference can still be large



### Attenuation Length Correction

#### Correction improved the average difference by a bit





### Reflections when close to LG

200cm long, ~14cm/ns speed of light, ~14ns for length of bar 2nd peak 40ns later —> ~3x length of bar











Hen ALat





Hen Lab





Hen Lab







 $Q^2$  [GeV/c]<sup>2</sup>

$$Q^2 > 2 \ [GeV/c]^2$$
  
 $W' > 1.8 \ [GeV]$   
 $heta_{nq} > 110^\circ$   
 $|p_n| > 0.2 \ [GeV/c]$ 

x'



















Xp > 0.5













d(e, e'p)n



Run 6164, 300 splits, 1 hour of beam

# Theta Pmiss and Mmiss peak d(e, e'p)n





#### Extracting Attenuation Lengths

