Quark-Hadron Duality: Probing the Transition from Free to Confined Quarks James Madison University, September 24, 2018

Duality in electron scattering: insights from theory

Wally Melnitchouk



Outline

What we (think we) understand from theory

- Duality (global) in QCD
- Local duality
 - \rightarrow insights from models

■ What we don't (yet) know ... what do we do next?

Duality in electron-proton scattering



 average over resonances (strongly Q² dependent)
 ≈ Q² independent scaling function

- Operator product expansion in QCD
 - \rightarrow expand *moments* of structure functions in powers of $1/Q^2$

$$M_n(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \ x^{n-2} \ F_2(x, Q^2)$$
$$= A_n^{(2)} + \frac{A_n^{(4)}}{Q^2} + \frac{A_n^{(6)}}{Q^4} + \cdots$$

matrix elements of operators with specific "twist" $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

e.g.
$$\langle N | \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} \psi | N \rangle$$

 $\langle N | \overline{\psi} \widetilde{G}^{+\nu} \gamma_{\nu} \psi | N \rangle$
etc.

$$\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\tau}^{(a)} \\ \tau = 2 \\ \tau = \dim ension - spin \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\tau}^{(b)} \\ \overbrace{\tau}^{(c)} \\ \overbrace$$

- Operator product expansion in QCD
 - \rightarrow expand *moments* of structure functions in powers of $1/Q^2$

$$M_n(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \ x^{n-2} \ F_2(x, Q^2)$$
$$= A_n^{(2)} + \frac{A_n^{(4)}}{Q^2} + \frac{A_n^{(6)}}{Q^4} + \cdots$$

- If moment \approx independent of Q^2 → "higher twist" terms $A_n^{(\tau>2)}$ small

Note: at finite Q², from kinematics any moment of any structure function (of any twist) must, by definition, include the resonance region



Note: at finite Q², from kinematics any moment of any structure function (of any twist) must, by definition, include the resonance region

Resonance and DIS regions are intimately connected → resonances an *integral* part of scaling structure function *e.g.* in large-N_c limit, spectrum of zero-width resonances is "maximally dual" to quark-level (smooth) structure function

Resonances & twists

- **Total "higher twist" is** *small* at scales $Q^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ GeV}^2)$
- On average, nonperturbative interactions between quarks and gluons not dominant (at these scales)
 - \rightarrow nontrivial interference between resonances?
- Can we understand this dynamically, at quark level?
- Can we use resonance region data to learn about leading twist structure functions (and vice versa)?
 - expanded data set has potentially significant implications for global quark distribution studies

- Earliest attempts predate QCD
 - $\rightarrow e.g. \text{ harmonic oscillator spectrum } M_n^2 = (n+1)\Lambda^2$ including states with spin = 1/2, ..., n+1/2 (n even: I = 1/2, n odd: I = 3/2) Domokos et al. (1971)
 - \rightarrow at large Q^2 magnetic coupling dominates

$$G_n(Q^2) = \frac{\mu_n}{\left(1 + Q^2 r^2 / M_n^2\right)^2} \qquad r^2 \approx 1.41$$

 \rightarrow in Bjorken limit, $\sum_n \rightarrow \int dz$, $z \equiv M_n^2/Q^2$

$$F_2 \sim (\omega' - 1)^{1/2} (\mu_{1/2}^2 + \mu_{3/2}^2) \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z^{3/2} (1 + r^2/z)^{-4}}{z + 1 - \omega' + \Gamma_0^2 z^2}$$

 \rightarrow scaling function of $\omega' = \omega + M^2/Q^2$ $(\omega = 1/x)$

Earliest attempts predate QCD

- → e.g. harmonic oscillator spectrum $M_n^2 = (n+1)\Lambda^2$ including states with spin = 1/2, ..., n+1/2(*n* even: I = 1/2, *n* odd: I = 3/2) Domokos et al. (1971)
- $\rightarrow \text{ in } \Gamma_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ limit}$ $F_2 \sim (\mu_{1/2}^2 + \mu_{3/2}^2) \frac{(\omega' - 1)^3}{(\omega' - 1 + r^2)^4}$ cf. Drell-Yan-West relation $G(Q^2) \sim \left(\frac{1}{Q^2}\right)^m \iff F_2(x) \sim (1 - x)^{2m - 1}$

→ similar behavior found in many models

Einhorn (1976) ('t Hooft model) Greenberg (1993) (NR scalar quarks in HO potential) Pace, Salme, Lev (1995) (relativistic HO with spin) Isgur et al. (2001) (transition to scaling)

- Phenomenological analyses at finite Q^2
 - \rightarrow additional constraints from threshold behavior at $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$ and asymptotic behavior at $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left(1 + \frac{\nu^2}{Q^2}\right)F_2^R = M\nu \left[|G_+^R|^2 + 2|G_0^R|^2 + |G_-^R|^2\right] \delta(W^2 - M_R^2)$$

Davidovsky, Struminsky (2003)

 \rightarrow 21 isospin-1/2 & 3/2 resonances (with mass < 2 GeV)

$$\begin{aligned} \left|G_{\pm}^{R}(Q^{2})\right|^{2} &= \left|G_{\pm}^{R}(0)\right|^{2} \left(\frac{\left|\vec{q}\right|}{\left|\vec{q}\right|_{0}} \frac{\Lambda^{'2}}{Q^{2} + \Lambda^{'2}}\right)^{\gamma_{1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{Q^{2} + \Lambda^{2}}\right)^{m_{\pm}} \qquad m_{\pm,0,-} = 3, 4, 5\\ \left|G_{0}^{R}(Q^{2})\right|^{2} &= C^{2} \left(\frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + \Lambda^{''2}}\right)^{2a} \frac{q_{0}^{2}}{\left|\vec{q}\right|^{2}} \left(\frac{\left|\vec{q}\right|}{\left|\vec{q}\right|_{0}} \frac{\Lambda^{'2}}{Q^{2} + \Lambda^{'2}}\right)^{\gamma_{2}} \left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{Q^{2} + \Lambda^{2}}\right)^{m_{0}} \end{aligned}$$

 \rightarrow in $x \rightarrow 1$ limit,

$$F_2(x) \sim (1-x)^{m_+}$$

Phenomenological analyses at finite Q^2



21 isospin-1/2 & 3/2 resonances (mass < 2 GeV)

Davidovsky, Struminsky (2003)

- valence-like structure of dual function suggests "two-component duality":
 - <u>valence</u> (Reggeon exchange) dual to <u>resonances</u> $F_2^{(\mathrm{val})} \sim x^{0.5}$
 - <u>sea</u> (Pomeron exchange) dual to <u>background</u> $F_2^{(sea)} \sim x^{-0.08}$

Explicit realization of Veneziano & Bloom-Gilman duality

$$\left| \sum_{p}^{q} X \right|^{2} = \sum_{X} \sum_{r} \sum_{r} \sum_{r} \sum_{t=0}^{r} \sum_{r=0}^{r} \sum_{r$$

 Veneziano model not unitary, has no imaginary parts $V(s,t) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(s))\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t))}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha(s) - \alpha(t))}$ $\rightarrow s^{\alpha(t)} \text{ high } s, \text{ low } |t|$

→ generalization of narrow-resonance approximation, with nonlinear, complex Regge trajectories

$$D(s,t) = \int_0^1 dz \left(\frac{z}{g}\right)^{-\alpha_s(s(1-z))-1} \left(\frac{1-z}{g}\right)^{-\alpha_t(tz)-1}$$

"dual amplitude with Mandelstam analyticity" (DAMA) model

Jenkovszky et al.

Explicit realization of Veneziano & Bloom-Gilman duality

 \rightarrow for large x and Q^2 , have power-law behavior

 $F_2 \sim (1-x)^{2\alpha_t(0) \ln 2g/\ln g}$

where parameter g can be Q^2 dependent



Jenkovszky, Magas, Londergan, Szczepaniak (2012)

More than one flavor?

Consider simple quark model with spin-flavor symmetric wave function

low energy

 \rightarrow coherent scattering from quarks $d\sigma \sim \left(\sum_{i} e_i\right)^2$

high energy

 \rightarrow incoherent scattering from quarks $d\sigma \sim \sum e_i^2$

For duality to work, these must be equal

 \rightarrow how can square of a sum become sum of squares?

Dynamical cancellations

 $\rightarrow e.g.$ for toy model of two quarks bound in a harmonic oscillator potential, structure function given by

$$F(\nu, \mathbf{q}^2) \sim \sum_n |G_{0,n}(\mathbf{q}^2)|^2 \delta(E_n - E_0 - \nu)$$

- → charge operator $\Sigma_i \ e_i \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)$ excites even partial waves with strength $\propto (e_1 + e_2)^2$ odd partial waves with strength $\propto (e_1 - e_2)^2$
- $\rightarrow \text{ resulting structure function} \\ F(\nu, \mathbf{q}^2) \sim \sum_n \left\{ (e_1 + e_2)^2 \ G_{0,2n}^2 + (e_1 e_2)^2 \ G_{0,2n+1}^2 \right\}$
- \rightarrow if states degenerate, *cross terms* (~ e_1e_2) *cancel* when averaged over nearby *even and odd parity* states

Close, Isgur (2001)

Dynamical cancellations

- → duality is realized by summing over at least one complete set of <u>even</u> and <u>odd</u> parity resonances
- \rightarrow in NR Quark Model, even & odd parity states generalize to 56 (L=0) and 70 (L=1) multiplets of spin-flavor SU(6)

representation	² 8[56 ⁺]	⁴ 10 [56 ⁺]	² 8[70 ⁻]	⁴ 8[70 ⁻]	² 10 [70 ⁻]	Total
$F^p_1\ F^n_1$	$\frac{9\rho^2}{(3\rho+\lambda)^2/4}$	$\frac{8\lambda^2}{8\lambda^2}$	$\frac{9\rho^2}{(3\rho-\lambda)^2/4}$	$0 \\ 4\lambda^2$	$\lambda^2 \ \lambda^2$	$\frac{18\rho^2 + 9\lambda^2}{(9\rho^2 + 27\lambda^2)/2}$

 $\lambda~(\rho)=$ (anti) symmetric component of ground state wave function

Close, WM (2003, 2009)

Dynamical cancellations

→ in SU(6) limit $\lambda = \rho$, with relative strengths of $N \rightarrow N^*$ transitions

SU(6):	$[{f 56},{f 0^+}]^{f 2}{f 8}$	$[{f 56}, 0^+]^{f 4}{f 10}$	$[{f 70},1^-]^{f 28}$	$[{f 70},1^-]^4 8$	$[{f 70},1^-]^{f 2}10$	total
F_1^p	9	8	9	0	1	27
F_1^n	4	8	1	4	1	18

→ summing over all resonances in 56⁺ and 70⁻ multiplets $\frac{F_1^n}{F_1^p} = \frac{18}{27} = \frac{2}{3}$

 \rightarrow at the quark level, n/p ratio is

$$\frac{F_1^n}{F_1^p} = \frac{4d+u}{d+4u} = \frac{6}{9} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{if } u = 2d$$

Accidental cancellations of charges?



proton HT ~ 1 -
$$\left(2 \times \frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9}\right) = 0$$
!
neutron HT ~ 0 - $\left(\frac{4}{9} + 2 \times \frac{1}{9}\right) \neq 0$

- \rightarrow duality in proton a *coincidence*!
- → should <u>not</u> hold for neutron !!

Brodsky (2000)

Duality in electron-neutron scattering

No free neutron targets, but iterative method allows neutron resonance structure to be extracted from deuteron & proton data



Malace, Kahn, WM, Keppel (2010)

- → locally, violations of duality in resonance regions < 15-20% (largest in △ region)
- → evidence that duality is <u>not</u> accidental, but a general feature of resonance-scaling transition!

C. Keppel &

I. Niculescu talks

Outlook and open questions

- Confirmation of duality (experimentally & theoretically) suggests origin in dynamical cancelations between resonances
 - \rightarrow explore more realistic descriptions based on phenomenological γ^*NN^* form factors
- Era of "quantitative duality" need to define the extent to which duality "works"
- Is duality between (high energy) continuum and resonances, or between total (resonance + background)?
 - → "resonance region" vs. "resonances"
 - incorporate nonresonant background in same framework
 + quantum mechanics
- Where does duality <u>not</u> work (and why)?

