

### Stephen Kay University of Regina

### APS GHP 2019 Denver, Colorado

- Motivation
- Experimental Setup
- $\Sigma$  Results
- C<sub>x'</sub> Preliminary Results

Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 2 / 27

# Motivation - Recent Observations

- LHCb has recently observed tetraquark and pentaquark candidate states



Figure: [1] - LHCb measurements of the decay  $B^+\to J/\psi\phi K^+$  indicating four tetraquark candidates

APS GHP 2019

3

- A *uuuddd* hexaquark candidate, the d\*(2380), has also been observed by another collaboration [1] - R.Aaij. et. al. PRL, 118:022003, 2017

# Motivation - d\*(2380) Dibaryon



Figure: [1,2] - Results from WASA-at-COSY showing structure at  $\sqrt{s}=2380~{\rm MeV}$ 

- d\* has  $J^{\pi} = 3^+$ , m = 2380 MeV and  $\Gamma = 70$  MeV
- d\* predominantly (90%) decays via  $d^* 
  ightarrow \Delta\Delta$

[1] - PRL 106, 242302 (2011), [2] - PRL 112, 202301 (2014)

# Motivation - d\*(2380) Dibaryon



Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 5 / 27

## Motivation - New Degree of Freedom in Neutron Stars?



APS GHP 2019

6 / 27

I. Vidaña, M. Bashkanov, D.P. Watts, A. Pastore, PLB 781, pp112-116, 2018



- Need to know more about size and internal structure of d\*(2380)  $\rightarrow$  Need photoproduction measurements

APS GHP 2019

27

University of Regina

Stephen Kay

# Motivation - d\*(2380) Photoproduction

- One potential photoproduction channel is  $\gamma + d 
  ightarrow d^{m{*}} 
  ightarrow p + n$
- Anomalous proton polarisation in d\* region?



R. Gilman and F. Gross nucl-th/0111015 (2001) , H. Ikeda et al., PRL 42, May 1979, 1321 , T. Kamae, T. Fujita, PRL 38, Feb 1977, 471

APS GHP 2019

8 / 27

### University of Regina

Stephen Kay



Figure: [1] - Model predictions of the "size" of the d\* (right) compared to deuteron (left)

APS GHP 2019

9 / 27

[1] - Chin. Phys. C 39, 7, 071001 (2015)

- Can gain information about the state by measuring polarisation observables in the reaction

Observable	Helicity Amplitude Combination
$p_y$	$2\Im \sum_{i=1}^{3} [F_{i+}^* F_{(i+3)-} + F_{i-} F_{(i+3)+}^*]$
Т	$2\Im \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \left[ F_{(i+3j)+}^{*} F_{(i+3j+1)+}^{*} + F_{(i+3j)-} F_{(i+3j+1)-}^{*} \right]$
$\Sigma$	$2\Re \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-)^{i} \left[ -F_{i+}F_{(4-i)-}^{*} + F_{(3+i)+}F_{(7-i)-}^{*} \right]$
$T_1$	$2\Im \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-)^{i} \left[ -F_{i+} F_{(7-i)+}^{*} + F_{i-} F_{(7-i)-}^{*} \right]$
$C_{x'}$	$2\Re \sum_{i=1}^{3} [F_{i+}^* F_{(i+3)-} + F_{i-} F_{(i+3)+}^*]$
$\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}_{z'}$	$\sum_{i=1}^{6} \{  F_{i+} ^2 -  F_{i-} ^2 \}$
$\mathcal{O}_{x'}$	$2\Im \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-)^{i+1} [F_{i+} F^*_{(7-i)+} + F_{i-} F^*_{(7-i)-}]$
$\mathcal{O}_{z'}$	$2\Im \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-)^{i+1} [F_{i+} F_{(4-i)-}^{*} + F_{(3+i)+} F_{(7-i)-}^{*}]$

APS GHP 2019

10 / 27

- F terms relate to helicity amplitudes

# Motivation - New Polarimeter

- *n* previously unmeasured
- Polarimeter can measure  $\vec{n}$  and  $\vec{p}$  simultaneously
- Measure neutron via charge exchange interactions in polarimeter



- Establish if  $d^*(2380)$  dibaryon has Electromagnetic Coupling

 $\rightarrow$  Tests of size and internal structure

Stephen Kay University

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 11 / 27

# MAMI Layout



Stephen Kay

### University of Regina

### APS GHP 2019 12 / 27

### A2 Hall Setup



Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 13 / 27

# Overview of Polarimeter Setup



Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 14 / 27

### Overview of Polarimeter Setup



Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 15 / 27

- Installed new polarimeter at A2 hall in MAMI
- Real, polarised (circularly and linearly available) photon beam in A2 hall
- $\sim$  640 hour run in August 2016
- $\Sigma$ ,  $C_{x'}$ ,  $C_{z'}$ ,  $P_y^p$ ,  $P_y^n$ ,  $O_{x'}$ ,  $O_{z'}$  measurable
- To measure  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma},$  need to look at angular distribution for events without scattering
- To measure  $C_{x^\prime}$  need to examine angular distribution of scattered neutrons

APS GHP 2019

16 / 27

## Event Selection - Particle Assignment

- Particle assignment is based upon detector hit combinations



APS GHP 2019

17

27

## **Event Selection**

- Energy loss correction applied to the proton track
- Reconstruct the "neutron" track from proton track information via  $\underline{n}_{rec}=(\underline{d}+\underline{\gamma})-\underline{p}$
- Cuts:
  - Proton vertex
  - Missing mass of reconstructed track -

$$M_n = \sqrt{E_n^2 - p_n^2}$$

APS GHP 2019

18 / 27

- $dE_{PID}$  vs  $E_{CB}$  cut on proton track (banana cut)
- Distance Of Closest Approach cut (DOCA)

### Event Selection - EdE Cut



Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 19 / 27

## Event Selection - DOCA Method



Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 20 / 27

## Event Selection - DOCA

- DOCA occurs at the Point Of Closest Approach (POCA)



Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 21 / 27

# $\Sigma$ - Asymmetry Fitting



 $\phi_{\rm p}\,475\pm5 \text{MeV}\,\text{CM12}$ 

Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 22 / 27

## $\Sigma$ Results

Stephen Kay



**APS GHP 2019** 

23

27

Black Data Points from - J.PhysG, 17, 8, 1189, F. V. Adamian et. al. Results published in - PLB, 789, 7-12, M. Bashkanov, S.Kay, D.P. Watts, C. Mullen et. al.

#### University of Regina

 $\Sigma \text{ Results}$ 



Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 24 / 27

# $C_{x'}$ - Asymmetry



 $\phi_{_{Sc}}$  Asymmetry (650  $\pm$  50) MeV CM3

Stephen Kay

University of Regina

**APS GHP 2019** 25 27

## $C_{x'}$ - Results



Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 26 / 27

- Event selection identifies clean sample of scattered events
- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  results extracted, consistent with existing data and alternative analysis
- $\Sigma$  results from APLCON analysis published in PLB, PLB 789 pp7-12, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.12.026
- Initial interpretation of  $\Sigma$  results suggests hints of the influence of the d\*(2380)

APS GHP 2019

27

27

- Preliminary analysis of  $C_{X'}$  carried out
- Refinement of analysis ongoing

Stephen Kay

University of Regina

## Thanks for listening, any questions?











This research was supported by: The UK Science and Technologies Funding Council (STFC), Studentship 1526286, Grants ST/L00478X/1 and ST/L005824/1 and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), FRN: SAPIN-2016-00031

In partnership with the A2 collaboration at MAMI

Financial support for this conference presentation was provided by the Canadian Institute of Nuclear Physics

## Event Selection - DOCA Method 1/2

- Distance Of Closest Approach (DOCA) method is used to calculate point where scattering event occured

-  $\underline{x}_i$  is point of closest approach,  $\underline{\hat{u}}_i$  is initial unit vector of track,  $\underline{v}_i$  is initial vertex,  $t_i$  is scalar constant to be determined

$$\underline{x}_i = \underline{v}_i + t_i \underline{\hat{u}}_i$$

- DOCA occurs at point where distance between two tracks,  $\Delta\underline{x},$  is minimised

- For this minimisation the following condition must be true

$$\underline{\hat{u}}_i \cdot \Delta \underline{x} = 0$$

Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 29 / 27

Stephen Kay

- From this a system of two equations with two unknowns,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  can be created with solutions

$$t_1 = rac{\Delta ec{
u} \cdot [\hat{u}_1 - \hat{u}_2 (\hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2)]}{(\hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2)^2 - 1}$$

$$t_2 = rac{\Delta arkappa \cdot [\hat{u}_1(\hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2) - \hat{u}_2]}{(\hat{u}_1 \cdot \hat{u}_2)^2 - 1}$$

- This allows an interaction point to be defined, this is the **P**oint **Of C**losest **A**pproach (POCA)

APS GHP 2019

30 / 27

University of Regina

### **Event Selection - DOCA**



Z<sub>POCA</sub> vs r<sub>POCA</sub>

### Stephen Kay

University of Regina

**APS GHP 2019** 31 27

### Event Selection - DOCA

Stephen Kay



University of Regina APS GHP 2019

32

27

Stephen Kay

- Can extract  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  by forming the asymmetry

$$\frac{N^{\parallel}(\phi_p) - N^{\perp}(\phi_p)}{N^{\parallel}(\phi_p) + N^{\perp}(\phi_p)} = P_{\gamma}^{lin} \Sigma \cos(2\phi_p)$$

- i.e.  $\cos(2\phi_m)$  asymmetry of amplitude  $P_\gamma^{lin}\Sigma$  between two linear polarisations

- Note that this is  $\ensuremath{\textbf{not}}$  a recoil observable, can look at pn events with no scattering

### University of Regina APS GHP 2019 33 / 27



Linear Polarisation as a Function of E<sub>v</sub>

Stephen Kay

University of Regina

**APS GHP 2019** 34 / 27



 $\Sigma(\text{Cos}\theta_{CM}) = 545 \pm 5 \text{ MeV}$ 

Stephen Kay

University of Regina

**APS GHP 2019** 35 / 27

# $\Sigma$ - Interpretation/Analysis



Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 36 / 27

# $\Sigma$ - Quadrupole Deformation



- E2/M1 Ratio given by

$$\frac{E2}{M1} = \frac{1}{2} k M_N \frac{\langle Q_{zz} \rangle_{N\Delta}}{\mu_{n\Delta}}$$
(1)  
$$\frac{E2}{M1} = 2.5\%$$
(2)

APS GHP 2019

37 / 27

Eq1 - T. Watabe et al. hep-ph 9502244 , Result in Eq2 - R. Beck et al. (MAMI-A2) Phys.Rev. C61 (2000) 035204

Stephen Kay

University of Regina

# $\Sigma$ - Quadrupole Deformation



- 
$$\gamma d 
ightarrow \mathsf{d}^*$$

E2  $(2^+)$  Transition M3  $(3^+)$  Transition E4  $(4^+)$  Transition

Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 38 / 27

# $C_{x'}$ - Energy Variation





Stephen Kay University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 39 / 27

- In a similar manner can determine  $C_{x'}$  by forming the asymmetry

$$\frac{N^-(\phi_{p'}) - N^+(\phi_{p'})}{N^-(\phi_{p'}) + N^+(\phi_{p'})} = \frac{A_{eff}P_{\gamma}^{\odot}C_{x'}\sin\phi_{sc}}{1 + A_{eff}P\cos\phi_{sc}}$$

APS GHP 2019

40 / 27

-  $A_{\rm eff}$  is the effective analysing power of the charge exchange interaction

- 
$$|A_{eff}| \sim 0.1 - 0.2$$

## $C_{x'}$ - Circular Polarisation



Stephen Kay

University of Regina

APS GHP 2019 41 / 27

## $C_{x'}$ - Analysing Power



**APS GHP 2019** 

42 / 27

 $A_y (E_n, \theta_{Sc})$ 

### Stephen Kay

University of Regina

# $C_{x'}$ - Scattered Frame

- Asymmetry is also determined for the angle  $\phi_{\it sc}$ 

University of Regina



**APS GHP 2019** 

<u>43</u> / 27

Stephen Kay