

### Exploring the Origin of Mass g Pseudoscalar Mesons

**Craig Roberts** 

### Strong Interactions in the Standard Model

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \bar{\psi}_i \left( i (\gamma^\mu D_\mu)_{ij} - m \,\delta_{ij} \right) \psi_j - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}_a$$

- Only apparent scale in chromodynamics is mass of the quark field
- Quark mass is said to be generated by Higgs boson.
- In connection with everyday matter, that mass is 1/250<sup>th</sup> of the natural (empirical) scale for strong interactions, viz. more-than two orders-of-magnitude smaller
- Plainly, the Higgs-generated mass is very far removed from the natural scale for strongly-interacting matter
- Nuclear physics mass-scale 1 GeV is an emergent feature of the Standard Model
  - No amount of staring at  $L_{QCD}$  can reveal that scale
- Contrast with quantum electrodynamics, *e.g.* spectrum of hydrogen levels measured in units of  $m_e$ , which appears in  $L_{QED}$

$$T_{\mu\mu} = \frac{1}{4}\beta(\alpha(\zeta))G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Trace Anomaly}$$

Classically, in a scale invariant theory

the energy-momentum tensor must be traceless:  $T_{\mu\mu} \equiv 0$ 

- Regularisation and renormalisation of (ultraviolet) divergences in <u>Quantum</u> Chromodynamics introduces a mass-scale ... dimensional transmutation: mass-dimensionless quantities become dependent on a mass-scale, ζ
- $\Rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha(\zeta) \text{ in QCD's (massless) Lagrangian density, } \mathcal{L}(m=0)$   $\Rightarrow \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} = \delta \mathcal{L}/\delta \sigma = \alpha \beta(\alpha) d\mathcal{L}/d\alpha = \beta(\alpha) \mathcal{I}_{4}G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\rho\rho} =: \Theta_{0}$   $QCD \beta \text{ function}$ Trace anomaly

*Quantisation of renormalisable four-dimensional theory forces nonzero value for trace of energy-momentum tensor* 



# Where is the mass?

$$T_{\mu\mu} = \frac{1}{4}\beta(\alpha(\zeta))G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu} \quad \mathbf{T}$$

### Trace Anomaly

Knowing that a trace anomaly exists does not deliver a great deal ... Indicates only that a mass-scale must exist

Can one compute and/or understand the magnitude of that scale?

One can certainly *measure* the magnitude ... consider proton:

$$\langle p(P) | T_{\mu\nu} | p(P) \rangle = -P_{\mu} P_{\nu}$$
  
 
$$\langle p(P) | T_{\mu\mu} | p(P) \rangle = -P^2 = m_p^2$$
  
 
$$= \langle p(P) | \Theta_0 | p(P) \rangle$$

> In the chiral limit the entirety of the proton's mass is produced by the trace anomaly,  $\Theta_0$ 

... In QCD,  $\Theta_0$  measures the strength of gluon self-interactions

... so, from one perspective,

 $m_p$  is (somehow) completely generated by glue.



# On the other hand ...

 $T_{\mu\mu} = \frac{1}{4}\beta(\alpha(\zeta))G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu}$ 



#### In the chiral limit

 $\langle \pi(q)|T_{\mu\nu}|\pi(q)\rangle = -q_{\mu}q_{\nu} \Rightarrow \langle \pi(q)|\Theta_0|\pi(q)\rangle = 0$ 

- Does this mean that the scale anomaly vanishes trivially in the pion state, *i.e.* gluons contribute nothing to the pion mass?
- > Difficult way to obtain "zero"!
- Easier to imagine that "zero" owes to cancellations between different operator contributions to the expectation value of Θ<sub>0</sub>.
- Of course, such precise cancellation should not be an accident. It could only arise naturally because of some symmetry and/or symmetry-breaking pattern.

### Whence "1" and yet "0"?

$$\langle p(P)|\Theta_0|p(P)\rangle = m_p^2, \quad \langle \pi(q)|\Theta_0|\pi(q)\rangle = 0$$

No statement of the question "How does the mass of the proton arise?" is complete without the additional clause "How does the pion remain massless?"

- Natural visible-matter mass-scale must emerge simultaneously with apparent preservation of scale invariance in related systems
  - Expectation value of  $\Theta_0$  in pion is always zero, irrespective of the size of the natural mass-scale for strong interactions =  $m_p$

### Whence "1" and yet "0"?

$$\langle p(P)|\Theta_0|p(P)\rangle = m_p^2, \quad \langle \pi(q)|\Theta_0|\pi(q)\rangle = 0$$

> No statement of the question "How does the mass of the proton arise?" is complete without the additional clause "How does the pion remain massless?" Elucidate the entire array Natu JSIY with stems of empirical consequences — Ex /e of of the mechanism responsible th  $= m_n$ **Croig Roberts** so that the theory can be validated

*Pinch Technique: Theory and Applications* Daniele Binosi & Joannis Papavassiliou Phys. Rept. 479 (2009) 1-152



# Gluon Gap Equation

Bridging a gap between continuum-QCD and ab initio predictions of hadron observables, D. Binosi et al., arXiv:1412.4782 [nucl-th], Phys. Lett. B742 (2015) 183-188



In QCD: Gluons



### QCP's Running Coupling

Process independent strong running coupling Binosi, Mezrag, Papavassiliou, Roberts, Rodriguez-Quintero arXiv:1612.04835 [nucl-th], Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 054026/1-7

The QCD Running Coupling, A. Deur, S. J. Brodsky and G. F. de Teramond, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **90** (2016) 1-74

#### Process-<u>independent</u> effective-charge in QCD





### **QCD Effective Charge**

#### $\hat{\alpha}_{PI}$ is a new type of effective charge

- direct analogue of the Gell-Mann–Low effective coupling in QED, *i.e.* completely determined by the gauge-boson two-point function.
- $\succ \hat{\alpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle PI}$  is
  - process-independent
  - appears in every one of QCD's dynamical equations of motion
  - known to unify a vast array of observables
- $\succ \hat{\alpha}_{PI}$  possesses an infrared-stable fixed-point
  - Nonperturbative analysis demonstrating absence of a Landau pole in QCD
- > QCD is IR finite, owing to dynamical generation of gluon mass-scale
- > Asymptotic freedom  $\Rightarrow$  QCD is well-defined at UV momenta
- > QCD is therefore unique amongst known 4D quantum field theories
  - Potentially, defined & internally consistent at all momenta





Maris, Roberts and Tandy <u>nucl-th/9707003</u>, Phys.Lett. B**420** (1998) 267-273

-Treiman relation Pion's Bethe-Salpeter amplitude This means that  $\pi$  necessarily Solution of the Bethe-Salpeter equation has dressed-quark L=0 & L=1 components in any frame  $\Gamma_{\pi^j}(k;P) = \tau^{\pi^j} \gamma_5 \left[ i E_{\pi}(k;P) + \gamma \cdot P F_{\pi}(k;P) \right]$ Twist-3 on light-front  $+ \gamma \cdot k \, k \cdot P \, G_{\pi}(k;P) + \sigma_{\mu\nu} \, k_{\mu} P_{\nu} \, H_{\pi}(k;P) \Big]$ > Dressed-quark propagator  $S(p) = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2)}$ > Axial-vector Ward-Takahashi identity entails  $f_{\pi}E_{\pi}(k; P = 0) = B(k^2)$ 

Owing to DCSB & Exact in Chiral QCD

Craig Roberts. Exploring the Origin of Mass

*Miracle*: two body problem solved, almost completely, once solution of one body problem is known

**Pion's Goldberger** 



This algebraic identity is why QCD's pion is massless in the chiral limit

### Enigma of mass



The quark level Goldberger-Treiman relation shows that DCSB has a very deep and far reaching impact on physics within the strong interaction sector of the Standard Model; viz.,

Goldstone's theorem is fundamentally an expression of equivalence between the one-body problem and the two-body problem in the pseudoscalar channel.

- This emphasises that Goldstone's theorem has a pointwise expression in QCD
- Hence, pion properties are an almost direct measure of the dressed-quark mass function.
- Thus, enigmatically, the properties of the massless pion are the cleanest expression of the mechanism that is responsible for almost all the visible mass in the universe.



 $\langle p(P)|\Theta_0|p(P)\rangle = m_p^2, \quad \langle \pi(q)|\Theta_0|\pi(q)\rangle = 0$ 

# Whence "?? ?

 $\langle p(P)|\Theta_0|p(P)\rangle = m_p^2, \quad \langle \pi(q)|\Theta_0|\pi(q)\rangle = 0$ 

# Whence "Q" ?

### The answer is algebraic

Munczek, H. J., Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) pp. 4736-4740 Bender, A., Roberts, C.D. and von Smekal, L., Phys. Lett. B **380** (1996) pp. 7-12 Maris, P., Roberts, C.D. and Tandy, P.C., Phys. Lett. B **420** (1998) pp. 267-273 Binosi, Chang, Papavassiliou, Qin, Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 096010/1-7

### Pion masslessness

- Obtain a coupled set of gap- and Bethe-Salpeter equations
  - Bethe-Salpeter Kernel:
    - valence-quarks with a momentum-dependent running mass produced by selfinteracting gluons, which have given themselves a running mass
    - Interactions of arbitrary but enumerable complexity involving these "basis vectors"
  - Chiral limit:
    - Algebraic proof
      - at any & each finite order in symmetry-preserving construction of kernels for
        - » the gap (quark dressing)
        - » and Bethe-Salpeter (bound-state) equations,
      - there is a precise cancellation between
        - » mass-generating effect of dressing the valence-quarks
        - » and attraction introduced by the scattering events
    - Cancellation guarantees that
      - simple system, which began massless,
      - becomes a complex system, with
        - » a nontrivial bound-state wave function
        - » attached to a pole in the scattering matrix, which remains at  $P^2=0$  ...
    - Interacting, bound system remains massless!

Munczek, H. J., Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) pp. 4736-4740 Bender, A., Roberts, C.D. and von Smekal, L., Phys. Lett. B **380** (1996) pp. 7-12 Maris, P., Roberts, C.D. and Tandy, P.C., Phys. Lett. B **420** (1998) pp. 267-273 Binosi, Chang, Papavassiliou, Qin, Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 096010/1-7

Obtain a coupled set of gap- and Bethe-Salpeter equations

<u>Quantum field theory statement</u>: In the pseudsocalar channel, the dynamically generated mass of the two fermions is precisely cancelled by the attractive interactions between them – iff –



- simple system, which began massless,
  - becomes a complex system, with
    - » a nontrivial bound-state wave function
    - » attached to a pole in the scattering matrix, which remains at  $P^2=0$  ...

= B

• Interacting, bound system remains massless

Craig Roberts. Exploring the Origin of Mass

nasslessness



### Revealing Mass

Craig Roberts. Exploring the Origin of Mass

8th GHP Workshop 2019/04/10-12 ... (46)

### Consequences ... 1

- > Mass is dynamically generated in QCD: Scale  $\sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ 
  - − Empirically  $\Lambda_{QCD} \approx 0.2$  GeV ... Standard Model can't predict this value.
- Gluon self-interactions make Λ<sub>QCD</sub> ≈ 0.2 GeV possible. They do not guarantee it.
- Understanding of observables (almost always) depends on frame of reference and scale of probe
  - gluons and quarks  $\rightarrow$  dressed quasiparticles:
    - massless in perturbation theory
    - possess mass functions which are large at infrared momenta  $\leq m_g \approx 2 \Lambda_{QCD}$
  - at hadronic scale: wave functions, cross-sections, etc. are most readily understood using evolving quasiparticle operators for dressed-g, -q
    - Each contains a (distinct) countable infinity of partons
- $\Rightarrow$  All bound-states have GeV-scale masses
- ⇒ Except Nambu-Goldstone modes
- DCSB: whilst constituents are massive, NG-modes are (nearly) massless

### Consequences ... 2

- QCD's unique Gell-Mann–Low effective coupling
  - ✓ Infrared finite ...  $\alpha$ (~ 0) ≈  $\pi$
  - ✓ Landau pole of perturbation theory is eliminated by emergence of gluon mass
  - ✓ Cross-sections are free of infrared divergences
- PDAs of ground-state S-wave mesons and baryons are broad, concave functions
  - Numerous empirical consequences  $\Rightarrow$  empirically verifiable
    - Hadron elastic and transition form factors
- Emergent vs Explicit (Higgs) mass generation
  - s-quark defines a boundary:
    - emergent mass generation dominates for m < m<sub>s</sub>
    - but explicit (Higgs) mass is most important for m > m<sub>s</sub>
  - s-quark/u-quark comparisons in parton distributions
     are a sensitive probe of emergent mass and its distribution





### Consequences ... 3

- Existence of nonpointlike scalar and axial-vector diquarks in nucleon.
  - Axial-vector correlations are essential
- Empirically verifiable consequences
  - Example ... proton's tensor charges:
    - $\delta_T d \neq 0 \Rightarrow$  rules-out scalar-diquark-only nucleon
    - $\delta_T u \approx 4 | \delta_T d |$  ... understood via highly-correlated proton wave function
- Baryon resonances:
  - Relative strengths of 0+ and 1+ change & new diquarks appear (0- & 1-)
  - Vast array of new predictions whose testing is crucial to validating the emergent mass paradigm
- Hybrid Mesons
  - Just like diquark correlations exist in baryons ...
  - $q_g = g + q$  and  $\overline{q}_g = g + \overline{q}$  correlations very probably also exist in systems with valence glue.
  - − Hybrid mesons may be understood as highly-correlated  $q_g \overline{q} \leftrightarrow q \overline{q}_g$  bound-states



# Observing Mass



### **π & K Valence-guark** Distribution Functions

## Continuum QCD prediction of $\pi$ valence-quark distributions

➢ Owing to absence of pion targets, the pion's valence-quark distribution functions are measured via the Drell-Yan process:  $\pi p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$ 

> Consider a theory in which quarks scatter via a vector-boson exchange interaction whose  $k^2 >> m_G^2$  behaviour is  $(1/k^2)^{\beta}$ ,

 $\succ$  Then at a resolving scale  $Q_0$ 

Proton

u'

Pion

 $u_{\pi}(x;Q_0) \sim (1-x)^{2\beta}$ 

namely, the large-x behaviour of the quark distribution function is a direct measure of the momentum-dependence of the underlying interaction.

> In QCD,  $\beta$ =1 and hence

QCD:  $Q > Q_0 \Rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 2 + \gamma, \gamma > 0$ 

$$QCD u_{\pi}(x;Q_0) \sim (1-x)^2$$

Craig Roberts. Exploring the Origin of Mass

8th GHP Workshop 2019/04/10-12 ... (46)



### Empirical status of the Pion's valence-quark distributions

Solution random wave of pion targets, the pion's valence-quark distribution functions are measured via the Drell-Yan process:  $\pi p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$ 





### Empirical status of the Pion's valence-quark distributions

Solution random Owing to absence of pion targets, the pion's valence-quark distribution functions are measured via the Drell-Yan process:  $\pi p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$ 



#### Controversial!

- 1989 ... Conway et al. Phys. Rev. D 39, 92 (1989)
  - Leading-order analysis of Drell-Yan data: Q<sup>2</sup> ≈ 27 GeV<sup>2</sup>



- 1989 ... Conway et al. Phys. Rev. D 39, 92 (1989)
  - Leading-order analysis of Drell-Yan data: Q<sup>2</sup> ≈ 27 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- 2000 ... Hecht et al. Phys.Rev. C 63 (2001) 025213

QCD-connected model prediction



- 1989 ... Conway *et al*. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> <u>39</u>, 92 (1989)
  - Leading-order analysis of Drell Yan data: Q<sup>2</sup> ≈ 27 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- 2000 ... Hecht et al. Phys.Rev. C 63 (2001) 025213
  - QCD-connected model prediction
- 2005 ... Wijesooriya, Reimer, Holt, Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) 065203
  - Partial NLO analysis of E615 data
  - − Large-x power-law  $\rightarrow$  1.54±0.08



- 1989 ... Conway et al. Phys. Rev. D 39, 92 (1989)
  - Leading-order analysis of Drell-Yan data: Q<sup>2</sup> ≈ 27 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- 2000 ... Hecht *et al*. Phys.Rev. C 63 (2001) 025213
  - QCD-connected model prediction
- 2005 ... Wijesooriya, Reimer, Holt Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) 065203
  - Partial NLO analysis of E615 data
  - Large-x power-law  $\rightarrow$  1.54±0.08
- 2010/02 ... Controversy highlighted: Holt & Roberts, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 2991-3044



- 1989 ... Conway et al. Phys. Rev. D 39, 92 (1989)
  - Leading-order analysis of Drell Yan data: Q<sup>2</sup> ≈ 27 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- 2000 ... Hecht *et al*. Phys.Rev. C 63 (2001) 025213
  - QCD-connected model prediction
- 2010/02 ... Controversy highlighted: Holt & Roberts, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 2991-3044
- 2010/09 ... Reconsideration of data: Aicher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 252003
  - Consistent next-to-leading-order analysis
  - Large-x power-law  $\rightarrow$  2.6(1)





- 1989 ... Conway et al. Phys. Rev. D 39, 92 (1989)
  - Leading-order analysis of Drell-Yan data: Q<sup>2</sup> ≈ 27 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- 2000 ... Hecht *et al*. Phys.Rev. C 63 (2001) 025213
  - QCD-connected model prediction
- 2010/02 ... Controversy highlighted: Holt & Roberts, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 2991-3044
- 2010/09 ... Reconsideration of data: Aicher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 252003
  - Consistent next-to-leading-order analysis
  - Large-x power-law  $\rightarrow$  2.6(1)

![](_page_36_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_10.jpeg)

- 2010/09 ... Reconsideration of data: Aicher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 252003
  - Consistent next-to-leading-order analysis
- > 2019/04 ... Ding, et al.
  - Continuum QCD prediction, using most sophisticated bound-state kernels currently available

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

- 2010/09 ... Reconsideration of data: Aicher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 252003
  - Consistent next-to-leading-order analysis
- > 2019/04 ... Ding, et al.
  - Continuum QCD prediction, using most sophisticated bound-state kernels currently available
- > 2019/01 ... Sufian, et al.
  - 1<sup>st</sup> exploratory lattice-QCD calculation,
  - using lattice-calculable matrix element obtained through spatiallyseparated current-current correlations in coordinate space
  - $m_{\pi}^2 = 9 m_{\pi}^2$ -physical

#### Large-*x* exponent $\zeta = 5.2 \text{GeV}$ and momentum $\zeta = 2 \text{GeV}$ Continuum ... 2.3(1) & $\langle 2x \rangle = 0.52 \pm 0.04$ Lattice ... 2.2(1) & $\langle 2x \rangle = 0.42 \pm 0.05$

![](_page_38_Figure_10.jpeg)

- > 2010/09 ... Reconsideration of data: Aicher et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 252003
  - Consistent next-to-leading-order analysis
- 2019/04 ... Ding, et al.
  - Continuum QCD prediction, using most sophisticated bound-state kernels currently available
- 2019/01 ... Sufian, et al.
  - 1<sup>st</sup> exploratory lattice-QCD calculation,
  - using lattice-calculable matrix separated current-current correlations in coordinate space
  - $m_{\pi}^2 = 9 m_{\pi}^2$ -physical

Craig Roberts. Exploring the Origin of Mass

Large-*x* exponent  $\zeta = 5.2 \text{GeV}$  and momentum  $\zeta = 2 \text{GeV}$ Continuum ... 2.3(1) &  $\langle 2x \rangle = 0.52 \pm 0.04$  $\dots 2.2(1) \& \langle 2x \rangle = 0.42 \pm 0.05$ Lattice

![](_page_39_Figure_11.jpeg)

element obtained through spatially- Modellers still ignoring QCD & violating symmetries Phenomenologists question analysis of Aicher *et al*.

8th GHP Workshop 2019/04/10-12 ... (46)

### **π & K PDFs**

- Urgent need for Newer Data
  - Persistent controversy regarding the Bjorken-x ≃1 behaviour of the pion's valence-quark PDF
  - Single modest-quality measurement of  $u^{\kappa}(x)/u^{\pi}(x)$  (1980) cannot be considered definitive.
- Approved experiment, using tagged DIS at JLab 12, should contribute to a resolution of pion question
- Similar technique might also serve for the kaon ... experiment approved
- > Future:
  - New mesonic Drell-Yan measurements at modern facilities (COMPASS at LHC) could yield valuable information on  $\pi$  and K PDFs
    - Discussed extensively in "Letter of Intent: A New QCD facility at the M2 beam line of the CERN SPS (COMPASS++/AMBER)" [http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1808.00848]
  - EIC would be capable of providing access to  $\pi$  and K PDFs through measurements of forward nucleon structure functions.

### Kaon's gluon content

- $(x)_{g}^{\kappa}(\zeta_{H}) = 0.05 \pm 0.05$   $\Rightarrow \text{Valence quarks carry}$ 95% of kaon's momentum at  $\zeta_{H}$
- > DGLAP-evolved to  $\zeta_2$

q	$\langle x \rangle_q^K$	$\langle x^2 \rangle_q^K$	$\langle x^3 \rangle_q^K$	
u	0.28	0.11	0.048	
$\overline{s}$	0.36	0.17	0.092	

Valence-quarks carry <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> of kaon's light-front momentum

Cf. Only ½ for the pion

![](_page_41_Figure_7.jpeg)

Valence-quark distribution functions in the kaon and pion, Chen Chen, Lei Chang *et al*. arXiv:1602.01502 [nucl-th], Phys. Rev. D**93** (2016) 074021/1-11

### π & K PDFs

- > Marked differences between  $\pi \& K$  gluon content
  - $-\zeta_{H}$ :
    - Whilst  $\frac{1}{3} \sim \frac{1}{5}$  of pion's light-front momentum carried by glue
    - $Only \frac{1}{20}$  of the kaon's light-front momentum lies with glue
  - $-\zeta_2^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ 
    - Glue carries  $\frac{1}{2}$  of pion's momentum and  $\frac{1}{3}$  of kaon's momentum
  - Evident in differences between large-x behaviour of valencequark distributions in these two mesons

> Signal of Nambu-Goldstone boson character of  $\pi$ 

 Nearly complete cancellation between one-particle dressing and binding attraction in this almost-massless pseudoscalar system

2 Mass<sub>Q</sub> + U<sub>g</sub>  $\approx$  0

![](_page_42_Picture_13.jpeg)

8th GHP Workshop 2019/04/10-1243 (46)

Valence-quark distribution functions in the kaon and pion, Chen Chen, Lei Chang *et al*. arXiv:1602.01502 [nucl-th], Phys. Rev. D**93** (2016) 074021/1-11

### **π & K PDFs**

INSIGHT

- Understanding the emergence and character of Nambu-Goldstone modes in the Standard Model is critical
  - Nambu-Goldstone modes are nonpointlike!
  - Intimately connected with origin of mass!
  - Possibly/Probably(?) inseparable from expression of confinement!
- > Difference between gluon content of  $\pi \& K$  is measurable ... using well-designed EIC
- Write a definitive new chapter in future textbooks on the Standard Model

### **Electron Ion Collider:** The Next QCD Frontier

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Challenge: Explain and Understand the Origin and Distribution of the Vast Bulk of Visible Mass
- Current Paradigm: Quantum Chromodynamics
- QCD is plausibly a mathematically well-defined quantum field theory, The only one we've ever produced
  - Consequently, it is a worthwhile paradigm for developing Beyond-SM theories
- Challenge is to reveal the content of strong-QCD
- > Tough Problem
- Progress and Insights
  - being delivered by amalgam of
    - Experiment
    - Phenomenology
    - Theory
- Must continue into eras of

![](_page_45_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_13.jpeg)

$$T_{\mu\mu} = \frac{1}{4} \beta(\alpha(\zeta)) G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu}$$
Trace Anomaly
$$K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \beta(\alpha(\zeta)) G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu}$$
Knowing that a trace anomaly exists does not deliver a great deal
... Indicates only that a mass-scale must exist
$$C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} C_{\mu\nu} = \frac$$

$$F_{\mu\mu} = \frac{1}{4} \beta(\alpha(\zeta)) G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu}$$
 Trace Anomaly  
> In the chiral limit  
 $\langle \pi(q) | T_{\mu\nu} | \pi(q) \rangle = -q_{\mu}q_{\nu \Rightarrow} \langle \pi(q) | \Theta_0 | \pi(q) \rangle = 0$   
> Does this mean that the scale anomaly vanishes trivially in the  
pion state, *i.e.* gluons contribute nothing to the pion mass?  
> Difficult way to obtain "zero"!  
> Easier to imagine that "zero" owes to cancellations between  
different operator contributions to the expectation value of  $\Theta_0$ .  
> Of course, such precise cancellation should not be an accident.  
It could only arise naturally because  
of some symmetry and/or symmetry-breaking pattern.

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_6.jpeg)

Craig Roberts. Exploring the Origin of Mass

Valence-quark distribution functions in the kaon and pion, Chen Chen, Lei Chang et al. arXiv:1602.01502 [nucl-th], Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 074021/1-11  $\pi$  & K PDFs > Marked differences between  $\pi \& K$  gluon content  $-\zeta_{\mu}$ : • Whilst  $\frac{1}{2} \sim \frac{1}{e}$  of pion's light-front momentum carried by glue Only <sup>1</sup>/<sub>20</sub> of the kaon's light-front momentum lies with glue  $-\zeta_2^2 = 4 \, \text{GeV}^2$ • Glue carries  $\frac{1}{2}$  of pion's momentum and  $\frac{1}{2}$  of kaon's momentum - Evident in differences between large-x behaviour of valencequark distributions in these two mesons Signal of Nambu-Goldstone boson character of π - Nearly complete cancellation between one-particle dressing and binding attraction in this almost-massless pseudoscalar system 2 Mass<sub>0</sub> + U<sub>g</sub>  $\approx$  0 

![](_page_46_Figure_8.jpeg)