

# Gluon Sivers Function in $J/\psi$ Production in $ep$ Collision

ASMITA MUKHERJEE

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay



In collaboration with Sangem Rajesh and Raj Kishore

# Plan of Talk

- \* Sivers Effect and gluon Sivers function
- \* Accessing gluon Sivers function in  $J/\psi$  production in ep collision
- \* Calculation of the amplitude in color octet model : TMD evolution
- \* Numerical results
- \* Sivers effect in the photoproduction of  $J/\psi$
- \* Calculation of amplitude in color octet model and numerical results
- \* Conclusions



# Sivers Asymmetry

- ▶ The distribution of quarks and gluons in a transversely polarized proton is not left-right symmetric wrt the plane formed by its transverse momentum and spin directions




Fig. Feng Wei

- ▶ Results in an asymmetry in the azimuthal angle for the hadron production in SIDIS and lepton pair production in Drell-Yan process
- ▶ Sivers function : related to the quark and gluon orbital angular momentum in some models  
[Burkardt, Hwang, PRD 69, 074032 \(2004\)](#)
- ▶ T odd object. Operator definition of Sivers function need gauge link for color gauge invariance, introduces process dependence

# Gluon Sivers Function

GSF : two gauge links ; process dependence more involved

For any process GSF can be written in terms of two universal functions, one with a C –even operator (f-type) and one with C-odd (d-type)

Buffing, AM, Mulders, PRD 88, 054027 (2013)

Burkardt's sum rule : sum of the transverse momenta of quarks and gluons in a transversely polarized nucleon is zero; gives a bound on GSF

Sum rule still leaves some room for GSF, moreover d type GSF is not constrained by it

J/ψ production in ep collision is an effective way to study GSF as it probes at LO through  
 $\gamma^* g \rightarrow c\bar{c}$

Recent data available from COMPASS

COMPASS Collaboration, J. Phys. Conf. Ser 678, 012050 (2016)



# Sivers Asymmetry in $J/\psi$ Production

5

Due to final state interactions, in ep collision, SSA in heavy quarkonium production is non-zero when the heavy quark pair is produced in color octet state

F. Yuan, PRD 78, 014024 (2008)

Consider the process  $e(l) + p^\uparrow(P) \rightarrow e(l') + J/\psi(P_h) + X$ ,

Differential cross section for unpol process

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} \frac{d^3l'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{l'}} \frac{d^3P_h}{(2\pi)^3 2E_h} \int dx d^2k_\perp (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q + k - P_h) \\ \times \frac{1}{Q^4} L^{\nu\nu'}(l, q) \Phi_g^{\mu\mu'}(x, k_\perp) \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma^* g \rightarrow J/\psi} \mathcal{M}_{\mu'\nu'}^{* \gamma^* g \rightarrow J/\psi}$$

$$\Phi_g^{\mu\mu'}(x, k_\perp) = \frac{1}{2x} \left\{ -g_T^{\mu\mu'} f_1^g(x, k_\perp^2) + \left( \frac{k_\perp^\mu k_\perp^{\mu'}}{M_p^2} + g_T^{\mu\mu'} \frac{k_\perp^2}{2M_p^2} \right) h_1^{\perp g}(x, k_\perp^2) \right\}, \quad \begin{aligned} q &= l - l' \\ Q^2 &= -q^2 \end{aligned}$$

Transversely polarized target

$$\Phi_g^{T\mu\mu'}(x, k_\perp) = -\frac{1}{2x} g_T^{\mu\mu'} \frac{\epsilon_T^{\rho\sigma} k_{\perp\rho} S_{T\sigma}}{M_p} f_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, k_\perp^2)$$

# Sivers Asymmetry in J/ψ Production

6

Numerator and denominator of the SSA

$$\frac{d\sigma^\uparrow}{dydx_B d^2P_{hT}} - \frac{d\sigma^\downarrow}{dydx_B d^2P_{hT}} = \frac{\alpha}{8sxQ^4} [A_0 + A_1 \cos \phi_h] \Delta^N f_{g/p^\uparrow}(x, P_{hT}).$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^\uparrow}{dydx_B d^2P_{hT}} + \frac{d\sigma^\downarrow}{dydx_B d^2P_{hT}} = \frac{2\alpha}{8sxQ^4} [A_0 + A_1 \cos \phi_h] f_{g/p}(x, P_{hT}^2),$$

$$\Delta^N f_{g/p^\uparrow}(x, P_{hT}, Q_f) = -2f_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, P_{hT}, Q_f) \frac{(\hat{P} \times P_{hT}) \cdot S}{M_p}.$$


Trento convention

$A_0$  and  $A_1$  : calculated in color octet model

$$z = P \cdot P_h / P \cdot q; \quad x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}, \quad y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot l}$$



# LO Amplitude of $\gamma^* g \rightarrow J/\Psi$



Approach of Boer, Pisano, PRD 86, 094007 (2012); Baier, Ruckl, Z. Phys. C 19, 251 (1983)

Factorized form of the cross section. : initial state partons form a heavy quark pair with definite color and angular momentum quantum numbers, and a non-perturbative matrix element through which the pair forms  $J/\Psi$

$$M^{\mu\nu} \left( \gamma^* g \rightarrow Q\bar{Q} [{}^{2S+1}L_J^{(1,8a)}] \right) = \sum_{L_z S_z} \int \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3} \Psi_{LL_z}(k') \langle LL_z; SS_z | JJ_z \rangle \text{Tr} [O^{\mu\nu}(q, k, P_h, k') P_{SS_z}(P_h, k')]$$



Eigenfunction of OAM L



Spin projection operator

$k'$  : relative momentum of the heavy quark pair. Taylor expansion about  $k'=0$  gives S wave and P wave amplitudes

# LO Amplitude of $\gamma^* g \rightarrow J/\Psi$

$$O^{\mu\nu}(q, k, P_h, k') = \sum_{ij} \langle 3i; 3j | 8a \rangle g_s (ee_c) \left\{ \gamma^\nu \frac{P_h/2 + \not{k}' - \not{q} + m_c}{(P_h/2 + k' - q)^2 - m_c^2} \gamma^\mu (T^b)^{ji} \right. \\ \left. + \gamma^\mu (T^b)^{ji} \frac{P_h/2 + \not{k}' - \not{k} + m_c}{(P_h/2 + k' - k)^2 - m_c^2} \gamma^\nu \right\}$$

SU(3) CG coeff : projects out the color state of heavy quark pair, color singlet or color octet

Spin projection operator : projects out spin singlet and triplet

Example : S wave amplitude :

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu}[^1S_0^{(8a)}] = 2i \frac{\sqrt{2} g_s (ee_c) \delta^{ab}}{\sqrt{\pi M} (Q^2 + M^2)} R_0(0) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k_\rho P_{h\sigma}$$

Radial wave function at the origin is related to long distance matrix elements (LDME)

$$\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi} (^1S_J) | 0 \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} (2J + 1) |R_0(0)|^2$$

Ko, Lee, Song, PRD 54, 4312 (1996)



# SSA in color octet model

Contribution to the Sivers asymmetry comes from

$$A_0 = [1 + (1 - y)^2] \frac{N Q^2}{y^2 M} \left\{ \langle 0 | O_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{4}{3M^2} \frac{(3M^2 + Q^2)^2}{(M^2 + Q^2)^2} \langle 0 | O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) | 0 \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \frac{8Q^2}{3M^2(M^2 + Q^2)^2} \left( \frac{4M^2(1 - y)}{1 + (1 - y)^2} + Q^2 \right) \langle 0 | O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_1) | 0 \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \frac{8}{15M^2(M^2 + Q^2)^2} \left( 6M^4 + Q^4 + 12M^2Q^2 \frac{1 - y}{1 + (1 - y)^2} \right) \langle 0 | O_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_2) | 0 \rangle \right\}$$

M : mass of J/ψ

$$Q^2 = x_B y s$$

LDMEs : Ma and Venugopalan , PRL 113, 192301 (2014); Chao et al, PRL 108, 242004 (2012); Sharma and Vitev, PRC 87, 044905 (2013).

Contribution from unpolarized gluons only considered in the denominator

AM, S. Rajesh, EPJC 77, 854 (2017)

# Gluon Sivers Function

$$\Delta^N f_{g/p^\uparrow}(x, k_\perp) = 2\mathcal{N}_g(x) f_{g/p}(x, \mu) h(k_\perp) \frac{e^{-k_\perp^2/\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}$$

D'Alesio, Murgia, Pisano, JHEP 09, 119 (2015)

$$\mathcal{N}_g(x) = N_g x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{(\alpha + \beta)}}{\alpha^\alpha \beta^\beta}.$$

$$h(k_\perp) = \sqrt{2} e \frac{k_\perp}{M_1} e^{-k_\perp^2/M_1^2}$$

Best fit parameter sets : SIDIS1 And SIDIS2

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{N}_g(x) = (\mathcal{N}_u(x) + \mathcal{N}_d(x))/2$$

BV-a

Best fit parameters for u and d quark Sivers function from

$$(b) \quad \mathcal{N}_g(x) = \mathcal{N}_d(x)$$

BV-b

Anselmino et al, JHEP 04, 046 (2017)

Boer and Vogelsang, PRD 69, 094025 (2004)

Non-universality :

$$\Delta_{DY}^N f_{g/p^\uparrow}(x, k_\perp) = -\Delta_{SIDIS}^N f_{g/p^\uparrow}(x, k_\perp)$$



# TMD Evolution

11

$$f_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, P_{kT}, Q_f) = -\frac{1}{2\pi P_{kT}} \int_0^\infty db_\perp b_\perp J_1(P_{kT} b_\perp) f'_{1T}{}^{\perp g}(x, b_\perp, Q_f)$$

$$f_{g/p}(x, P_{kT}, Q_f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty db_\perp b_\perp J_0(P_{kT} b_\perp) f_{g/p}(x, b_\perp, Q_f)$$

Aybat, Rogers, PRD 83, 114042 (2011); Aybat, Collins, Qiu, Rogers, PRD 85, 034043 (2012)

Derivative of Sivers function obeys same evolution equation as unpol TMD

$$f'_{1T}{}^{\perp g}(x, b_\perp, Q_f) = f'_{1T}{}^{\perp g}(x, b_\perp, Q_i) \exp\left\{-\int_{c/b_*}^{Q_f} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left(A \log\left(\frac{Q_f^2}{\mu^2}\right) + B\right)\right\} \\ \times \exp\left\{-\left[g_1^{\text{sivers}} + \frac{g_2}{2} \log\frac{Q_f}{Q_0}\right] b_\perp^2\right\}$$

Perturbative expansion for A and B

Initial scale of TMDs  $Q_i = c/b_*(b_\perp)$   $c = 2e^{-\gamma_E}$  Final scale  $Q_f = M$

$$b_*(b_\perp) = \frac{b_\perp}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{b_\perp}{b_{max}}\right)^2}} \approx b_{max}; \quad (b_\perp \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$b_*(b_\perp) \approx b_\perp; \quad (b_\perp \rightarrow 0)$$

Best fit parameters from Echevarria et al, PRD 89, 074013 (2014)

# TMD Evolution

12

$$f_1^g(x, b_\perp, Q_i) = \sum_{i=g,q} \int_x^1 \frac{d\hat{x}}{\hat{x}} C_{i/g}(x/\hat{x}, b_\perp, \alpha_s, Q_i) f_{i/p}(\hat{x}, c/b_\star) + \mathcal{O}(b_\perp \Lambda_{QCD}),$$

TMDs at initial scale : Coefficient function is calculated perturbatively for each TMD

At leading order

$$f_1^g(x, b_\perp, Q_i) = f_{g/p}(x, c/b_\star) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s),$$

$$f_{1T}^{\prime\perp g}(x, b_\perp, Q_i) \simeq \frac{M_p b_\perp}{2} T_{g,F}(x, x, Q_i)$$


Qiu-Sterman function

$$T_{g,F}(x, x, Q_i) = \mathcal{N}_g(x) f_{g/p}(x, Q_i)$$


Two choices of  $\mathcal{N}_g$  (Same as before): TMD –a and TMD –b parametrizations



# Numerical results



(a)




(b)

Sivers asymmetry for EIC  $\sqrt{s} = 45$  GeV using different parametrizations

Integration ranges are  $0 < P_{hT} < 1$  GeV;  $0.1 < y < 0.9$ ;  $0.001 < x_B < 0.9$

# Numerical Results



Sivers asymmetry compared with COMPASS data

Data from

J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 678, 012050 (2016) (COMPASS)

$$\sqrt{s} = 17.2 \text{ GeV}; 0 < P_{hT} < 1 \text{ GeV};$$
$$0.1 < y < 0.9; 0.0001 < x_B < 0.65$$

All parametrizations give negative asymmetry

AM, S. Rajesh, EPJC 77, 854 (2017)

BV-b gives results within the error bar of the experiment



# Gluon Sivers function in Inclusive Photoproduction of $J/\psi$

Consider inclusive process  $e(l) + p^\uparrow(P) \rightarrow J/\psi(P_h) + X$

In the kinematical limit when the photon is almost real (forward scattering)

Dominating subprocess is photon-gluon fusion  $\gamma(q) + g(k) \rightarrow J/\psi(P_h) + g(p_g)$

Two types of contributions (1) Direct : photon interacts electromagnetically with partons in the proton (2) Resolved : photon acts as a source of partons and they interact strongly with the partons in the proton

We consider only direct photoproduction , resolved photo production mainly contributes in low z region

$$z = \frac{P \cdot P_h}{P \cdot q}$$

LO photon-gluon fusion  $\gamma + g \rightarrow J/\psi$       Contributes at  $z=1$ : removed using cut on z



# Gluon Sivers function in inclusive photoproduction of $J/\psi$

Diffractive process contributes at  $z \approx 1$ ;  $P_{hT} \approx 0$

Ryskin, Z. Phys. C 57, 89 (1993)

Gluon and heavy quark fragmentation for larger values of  $P_{hT}$

To choose inelastic process we have used the kinematical cut  $0.3 < z < 0.9$

Contribution from photon-quark fusion negligible compared to photon-gluon fusion

$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^\uparrow - d\sigma^\downarrow}{d\sigma^\uparrow + d\sigma^\downarrow}$$

Final state heavy quark pair is produced unpolarized in photon-gluon fusion ; contribution to the numerator of the asymmetry comes mainly from gluon Sivers function


Linearly polarized gluons do not contribute to the denominator as long as the lepton is unpolarized

D'Alesio, Flore, Murgia, PRD 95, 094002 (2017); Anselmino et al, PRD 70, 074025 (2004)



# Inclusive photoproduction of $J/\psi$

17



We follow the same approach as before to calculate the amplitude for heavy quarkonium production in color octet model

Virtual diagrams contribute at  $z=1$

# Inclusive photoproduction of $J/\psi$

Numerator of the asymmetry

Amplitude calculated in NRQCD

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\sigma^\uparrow - d\sigma^\downarrow &= \frac{d\sigma^{ep^\uparrow \rightarrow J/\psi X}}{dzd^2\mathbf{P}_T} - \frac{d\sigma^{ep^\downarrow \rightarrow J/\psi X}}{dzd^2\mathbf{P}_T} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2z(2\pi)^2} \int dx_\gamma dx_g d^2\mathbf{k}_{\perp g} f_{\gamma/e}(x_\gamma) \Delta^N f_{g/p^\uparrow}(x_g, \mathbf{k}_{\perp g}) \\
 &\quad \times \delta(\hat{s} + \hat{t} + \hat{u} - M^2) \frac{1}{2\hat{s}} |\mathcal{M}_{\gamma+g \rightarrow J/\psi+g}|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

Weizsacker-Williams distribution for photons inside an electron

Frixione et al, PLB, 319, 339 (1993)

$$f_{\gamma/e}(x_\gamma) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left[ 2m_e^2 x_\gamma \left( \frac{1}{Q_{min}^2} - \frac{1}{Q_{max}^2} \right) + \frac{1 + (1 - x_\gamma)^2}{x_\gamma} \ln \frac{Q_{max}^2}{Q_{min}^2} \right]$$

$$Q_{min}^2 = m_e^2 \frac{x_\gamma^2}{1 - x_\gamma}$$

Same parametrizations for Sivers function and unpolarized TMD as before



# Inclusive photoproduction of J/ψ

Amplitude can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}(\gamma g \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}[{}^{2S+1}L_J^{(1,8)}](P_h) + g) = \sum_{L_z S_z} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3} \Psi_{LL_z}(k') \langle LL_z; SS_z | JJ_z \rangle \times \text{Tr}[O(q, k, P_h, k') \mathcal{P}_{SS_z}(P_h, k')],$$

$$O(q, k, P_h, k') = \sum_{m=1}^8 C_m O_m(q, k, P_h, k').$$

Each operator  $O_m$  is calculated from individual Feynman diagram, as well as the color factor  $C_m$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}[{}^{2S+1}S_J^{(8)}](P_h, k) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} R_0(0) \text{Tr}[O(q, k, P_h, k') \mathcal{P}_{SS_z}(P_h, k')] \Big|_{k'=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} R_0(0) \text{Tr}[O(0) \mathcal{P}_{SS_z}(0)], \end{aligned}$$

Approach of Boer, Pisano, PRD 86, 094007 (2012); Baier, Ruckl, Z. Phys. C 19, 251 (1983)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}[{}^{2S+1}P_J^{(8)}] &= -i \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} R'_1(0) \sum_{L_z S_z} \varepsilon_{L_z}^\alpha(P_h) \langle LL_z; SS_z | JJ_z \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial k'^\alpha} \text{Tr}[O(q, k, P_h, k') \mathcal{P}_{SS_z}(P_h, k')] \Big|_{k'=0} \\ &= -i \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} R'_1(0) \sum_{L_z S_z} \varepsilon_{L_z}^\alpha(P_h) \langle LL_z; SS_z | JJ_z \rangle \text{Tr}[O_\alpha(0) \mathcal{P}_{SS_z}(0) + O(0) \mathcal{P}_{SS_z\alpha}(0)] \end{aligned}$$

S. Rajesh, Raj Kishore, AM arXiv : 1802.10359 [hep-ph]

# Amplitude in color octet model

20

$$s_1 = \hat{s} - M^2, \quad t_1 = \hat{t} - M^2, \quad u_1 = \hat{u} - M^2.$$

$$|\mathcal{M}[{}^3S_1^{(8)}]|^2 = \frac{5\pi^3 e_c^2 \alpha_s^2 \alpha}{36M} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3S_1) | 0 \rangle \frac{512M^2}{s_1^2 t_1^2 u_1^2} \\ \times \{s_1^2 (s_1 + M^2)^2 + u_1^2 (u_1 + M^2)^2 + t_1^2 (t_1 + M^2)^2\}$$

P wave amplitude-square :  
too lengthy expression

See Appendix of S. Rajesh,  
Raj Kishore, AM  
arXiv : 1802.10359 [hep-ph]

There are contributions from  ${}^3S_1, {}^1S_0, {}^3P_J$  in color octet model

Both color singlet and color octet contributions are included in the denominator

Amplitude squared are calculated using FORM


LDMEs taken from

Chao et al, PRL 108, 242004 (2012); Butenschoen and Kniehl, PRD 84, 051501 (2011); Zhang et al, PRL 114, 092006 (2015).



# Numerical Results

21



SSA at EIC

$$\sqrt{s} = 45 \text{ GeV}$$

Integration ranges :

$$0 < P_T < 1 \text{ GeV}$$

$$0.3 < z < 0.9$$


SSA increases by a maximum of 30 % if CS is not included in the denominator

SSA using LDMEs from Chao et al, PRL 108, 242004 (2012) and Zhang et al, PRL 114, 092006 (2015) are similar in magnitude

LDMEs of Butenschoen and Kniehl, PRD 84, 051501 (2011) give smaller asymmetry

# Numerical Results

22



SSA at COMPASS

$$\sqrt{s} = 17.2 \text{ GeV}$$

Integration ranges :

$$0 < P_T < 1 \text{ GeV}$$

$$0.3 < z < 0.9$$

Size and sign of the asymmetry depends on the parametrization of GSF used

Asymmetry increases slightly for higher values of Gaussian widths of unpolarized TMD


SIDIS-2 gives very small asymmetry

S. Rajesh, Raj Kishore, AM  
arXiv : 1802.10359 [hep-ph]



# Cross Section for Unpolarized Process

23



Cross section for the process

$$e + p \rightarrow J/\psi + X$$

$$\sqrt{s} = 318 \text{ GeV (HERA)}$$

$$\langle k_{\perp g}^2 \rangle = 1 \text{ (GeV)}^2$$

$W$  is the invariant mass of photon-proton system

$$1 < P_T < 10 \text{ GeV}, 60 < W < 240 \text{ GeV}, 0.3 < z < 0.9$$


Data from H1 collaboration, EPJC 25, 25 (2002); EPJC 68, 401 (2010)

LDMEs from Zhang et al, PRL 114, 092006 (2015)

S. Rajesh, Raj Kishore, AM  
arXiv : 1802.10359 [hep-ph]

# Cross Section for Unpolarized Process

24



Cross section for the process

$$e + p \rightarrow J/\psi + X$$

$$\sqrt{s} = 300 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\langle k_{\perp g}^2 \rangle = 1 \text{ (GeV)}^2$$

$$1 < P_T < 5 \text{ GeV}; 50 < W < 180 \text{ GeV}, 0.4 < z < 0.9$$

Data from ZEUS Collaboration, EPJC 27, 173 (2003)


LDMEs from Zhang et al, PRL 114, 092006 (2015)

S. Rajesh, Raj Kishore, AM  
arXiv : 1802.10359 [hep-ph]



# CS vs CO contribution

25



$$\sqrt{s} = 318 \text{ GeV}$$
$$\langle k_{\perp g}^2 \rangle = 1 \text{ (GeV)}^2$$


Data from

H1 collaboration,  
EPJC 68, 401 (2010)

ZEUS Collaboration,  
JHEP 02, 071 (2013)

LDMEs from

Zhang et al, PRL 114, 092006  
(2015)



# Conclusion

26

Single spin asymmetry in  $J/\psi$  production in ep collision provide a direct way to access the GSF through the LO photon-gluon process

Sizable negative Sivers asymmetry in color octet model, agrees with COMPASS result

Inclusive photoproduction of  $J/\psi$  : wider kinematical region accessible to colliders like EIC

NRQCD based color octet model gives sizable SSA that can access GSF

Size and sign of the SSA depends strongly on the parametrization of the GSF

Theoretical calculation of the cross section using TMDs describe the HERA data well when both CS and CO contributions are taken into account