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## Novel idea: PDFs on the lattice

PDFs from QCD: the only non-perturbative way to study QCD is lattice QCD.

$$
t_{M} \rightarrow-i t_{E}
$$

Lattice QCD is defined by...
O Discretization
O Euclidean vs Minkowski
O Quark masses
o Finite volume
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Focus of this talk...

## Scheme to extract PDFs from the lattice

PDFs on the lattice

There are different techniques:
evaluation of matrix elements of non-local operators

OWilson lines: $\langle N| \bar{q} W q|N\rangle_{\infty}{ }_{\text {Fi (2013), Radyushkin (2017) }}$

Otwo current operators: $\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{\infty} |$| Ma \& iu (2018) |
| :---: |
| Braun etal (2008, 2018$)$ |
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Pheno QCD
$\langle N| \bar{q} W q|N\rangle_{\infty}$

$$
\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{\infty}
$$

## Finite volume: Infrared limit of the theory

O Finite-volume artifacts arise from the interactions with mirror images
O Assuming $L \gg$ size of the hadrons $\sim 1 / m_{\pi}$

- This is a purely infrared artifact
- We can determine these artifact using hadrons as d.o.f.
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## Finite volume effects: Matrix elements

OIn general, the masses and matrix elements of stable particles have been observed to have these exponentially suppressed corrections.

OBut matrix elements of non-local currents suffer from larger FV effects:
$\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{\infty}$ : generally decays as a function of $\xi$
$\langle N| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|N\rangle_{V}$ : periodic, since

$$
\mathcal{J}(t, \mathbf{x})=\mathcal{J}\left(t, \mathbf{x}+L \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)
$$

Expect enhanced finite volume effects to keep periodicity!
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Expect enhanced finite volume effects to keep periodicity!

## A simple example: mass of a pion

Consider a toy model for mesons

$$
\mathcal{L}_{M}=\frac{\lambda}{4!} \varphi^{4}
$$

Bare propagator is volume-independent:

$$
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots=\Delta_{0}\left(p^{2}\right)=\frac{i}{p^{2}-m_{0}^{2}+i \epsilon}
$$

so we have to have to go to loops... self-energy...

O In a finite volume, integrals over momenta become sums:

$$
\text { 1D: } \int \frac{d k_{i}}{2 \pi} \rightarrow \sum_{k_{i}} \frac{\Delta k_{i}}{2 \pi}=\sum_{k_{i}} \frac{2 \pi \Delta n}{2 \pi L}=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{k_{i}} \quad 3 \mathrm{D}: \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{k_{i}}
$$

## A simple example: self-energy of a pion

 in infinite volume:$$
I_{\infty}=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}}
$$

## Poisson summation

in finite volume:

$$
I_{\mathrm{FV}}=\frac{1}{L^{3}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \int \frac{d k_{4}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}} \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n} L}}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}}
$$

finite/infinite volume difference: $\delta m^{2}(L) \sim \delta I_{\mathrm{FV}}=I_{\mathrm{FV}}-I_{\infty}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n} L}}{k^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}} \\
& \sim K_{1}(L m) \sim \frac{e^{-L m}}{(L m)^{3 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A simple example: self-energy of a pion

$$
m_{\pi}(L)=m_{\pi}+c \frac{e^{-m_{\pi} L}}{\left(m_{\pi} L\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$



Dudek, Edwards \& Thomas (2012)
$m_{\pi} \sim 390 \mathrm{MeV}, a_{s} \sim 0.12 \mathrm{fm} \longrightarrow m_{\pi} L \sim 3.8,4.7,5.6$

## Our toy model

Consider a theory with two scalar particles
$O$ a light one, $\varphi$, analogous to the pion
O a heavy one, $\chi$, analogous to the nucleon
O momentum independent coupling

$$
m_{\varphi} \ll m_{\chi}
$$



Coupling to an external current :



## Light external states



Finite volume correction: $\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p})=g_{\varphi}^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \int_{q_{E}} \frac{e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot(\boldsymbol{\xi}+i L \mathbf{n})}}{\left(p_{E}+q_{E}\right)^{2}+m_{\varphi}^{2}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p})=\frac{m_{\varphi} g_{\varphi}^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} e^{-i \mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \neq 0} \frac{K_{1}\left(m_{\varphi}|\boldsymbol{\xi}+L \mathbf{n}|\right)}{|\boldsymbol{\xi}+L \mathbf{n}|} \sim \frac{m_{\varphi} g_{\varphi}^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}} \frac{K_{1}\left(m_{\varphi}|L-\xi|\right)}{|L-\xi|} \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(\mathrm{LO})}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}) \propto \frac{e^{-m_{\varphi}(L-\xi)}}{(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Light external states


Expected behavior!
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## Heavy external states

## Leading order
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## In general...

We find that in general the matrix elements...
$\langle M| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|M\rangle_{L}-\langle M| \mathcal{J}(0, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J}(0)|M\rangle_{\infty}=P_{a}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, L) e^{-M(L-\xi)}+P_{b}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, L) e^{-m_{\pi} L}+\cdots$,

Polynomial prefactors $\propto L^{m} /|L-\xi|^{n}$

This result might be universal and have a better convergence than the EFT used, but we don't have a proof yet...

## Summary

oWe presented first steps towards understanding finite-volume artifacts that arise in matrix elements of spatially non-local operators.
*matrix elements of spatially-separated currents, one of the approaches to determine hadron structure from lattice QCD.
oWe considered a toy model involving two scalar particles to estimate the size of finite-volume corrections.
$\otimes$ lightest particle: LO contribution dominant,effects scale like: $P(\xi, L) e^{-m_{\pi}(L-\xi)}$
heaviest particle: NLO contribution dominant,effects scale like: $P(\xi, L) e^{-m_{\pi} L}$

## Thank you!

## Backup slides

## Finite volume effects: Matrix elements

Wilson line is not periodic:
$W\left[x+\xi \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right] \equiv U_{i}\left(x+(\xi-a) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) U_{i}\left(x+(\xi-2 a) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \times \cdots \times U_{i}\left(x+a \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$

Quark bilinears connected to Wilson Lines:
$\bar{q}\left(x+(\xi+n L) \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) W\left[x+(\xi+n L) \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right] q(x)=\bar{q}\left(x+\xi \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) W\left[x+\xi \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right]\left(W\left[x+L \mathbf{e}_{i}, x\right]^{n}\right) q(x)$
are no periodic. However,
$q(x)$ and $U(x)$ feel
boundary conditions
expect enhanced finite volume effects for large $\xi$

## Asymptotic behaviors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g^{2} g_{\varphi} g_{\chi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} y \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(y)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(c)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=2 g^{2} g_{\chi}^{2} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x(1-x) \mathcal{I}_{3}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(d)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g_{\chi \varphi}^{2} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right] \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\varphi}\right] \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(e)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g g_{\varphi} g_{\chi \varphi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\varphi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(g)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=g g_{\chi \varphi} g_{\chi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \mathcal{I}_{2}[|L \mathbf{m}| ; M(x)]\right], \\
& \delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(h)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0})=\frac{1}{2} g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi \varphi} \sum_{\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}\} \neq \mathbf{0}} \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{n}-\boldsymbol{\xi}| ; m_{\chi}\right] \mathcal{I}_{1}\left[|L \mathbf{m}| ; m_{\varphi}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Asymptotic behaviors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(a)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & \sim \frac{g^{2} g_{\varphi}^{2}}{128 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}}\left[\frac{\xi^{1 / 2}}{(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}} H_{x, 3 / 2}(\xi)+\frac{(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}}{\xi^{3 / 2}} H_{x, 3 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & \sim \frac{g^{2} g_{\varphi} g_{\chi}}{64 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{1 / 2}(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(\xi) H_{1,1 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(c)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g^{2} g_{\chi}^{2}}{128 \pi^{3}} \frac{m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{m_{\varphi}^{3 / 2}}\left[\frac{(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}}{\xi^{3 / 2}} H_{1-x, 3 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-\xi\left(m_{\chi}-m_{\varphi}\right)} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(d)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g_{\chi \varphi}^{2} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2}}{32 \pi^{3}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2}(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}}\right] e^{-\xi\left(m_{\chi}-m_{\varphi}\right)} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(e)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g g_{\varphi} g_{\chi \varphi}}{64 \pi^{3}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{1 / 2}(L-\xi)^{3 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(\xi)+\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2}(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{64 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2}(L-\xi)^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(L-\xi)\right] e^{-\xi\left(m_{\chi}-m_{\varphi}\right)} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(g)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g g_{\chi \varphi} g_{\chi} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{64 \pi^{3} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2} L^{1 / 2}} H_{1,1 / 2}(L)\right] e^{-\xi m_{\chi}} e^{-m_{\varphi} L}, \\
\delta \mathcal{M}_{L}^{(h)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{0}) & =\frac{g_{\chi} g_{\chi \varphi \varphi} m_{\varphi}^{1 / 2} m_{\chi}^{1 / 2}}{64 \pi^{3}}\left[\frac{1}{\xi^{3 / 2} L^{3 / 2}}\right] e^{-m_{\chi} \xi} e^{-m_{\varphi} L},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Heavy external states: Next to Leading Order



