Dynamical observables for a J=1/2 system within a fully Poincaré-covariant framework

Littute Mazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Giovanni Salmè



INFN - Rome

In collaboration with:

Emanuele Pace ("Tor Vergata" Rome Univ.& INFN) Sergio Scopetta (Perugia U.& INFN) Alessio Del Dotto (JLAB & INFN Roma) Matteo Rinaldi (Valencia Univ.) A Poincarè covariant spectral function for³He within the light-front (LF) dynamics Del Dotto, Pace, Salmè, Scopetta, Physical Review C 95, 014001 (2017) E. Pace., A. Del Dotto, L. Kaptari, M. Rinaldi, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta Few Body Syst. 54 (2013) 1079; Few Body Syst. 56 (2015) 425; Few-Body Syst. 57 (2016) 601

Outline



- The Poincaré covariant framework
- 3 The spin-dependent LF Nuclear spectral function
 - 4) Preliminary results for the EMC effect in ${}^{3}\mathrm{He}$
- 5 A useful decomposition of the LF Spectral Function
- Transverse-momentum distributions in the valence sector
 - A case of serendipity: simple relations for valence TMDs
 - 8 Conclusions & Perspectives

Motivations

The quest of a reliable flavor decomposition needs sound information on the neutron dynamical observables (GPDs, TMDs).



A great motivation for very accurate and long-lasting experimental efforts in developing effective neutron targets to investigate the electromagnetic responses of the neutron

\Rightarrow the polarized ³He target, 90% neutron target (e.g. H. Gao et al, PR12-09-014; J.P. Chen et al, PR12-11-007, @JLAB12)

Bonus: mirror nuclei, ${}^{3}\text{He}$ and ${}^{3}\text{H}$, play a pivotal role for stringent checks of the model dependence, upon the nuclear description, in extracting the neutron information (\Rightarrow G. Petratos, MARATHON @ JLAB12, for unpolarized DIS)

On the theory side, we need to implement the nuclear description and hence to validate a sound extraction procedure of dynamical information on the neutron The main quantity to pursue such a programme is the

Nuclear Spectral Function (\Rightarrow nucleon Green's function in the medium)

$$P_{\sigma'\sigma}(k,E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im m \left\{ \langle \Psi_{gr} | a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma'} \; \frac{1}{E - H + i\epsilon} \; a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} | \Psi_{gr} \rangle \right\}$$

with

$$H = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \alpha | H_1 | \beta \rangle \ \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\alpha) \ \mathbf{a}(\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\eta} \langle \alpha \gamma | H_2 | \beta \eta \rangle \ \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\alpha) \ \mathbf{a}^{\dagger}(\gamma) \mathbf{a}(\beta) \ \mathbf{a}(\eta) + \dots \dots$$

Probabilistic interpretation: the diagonal terms give the probability distribution to find a constituent with given spin, momentum and removal energy in the ground state of the interacting system, $|\Psi_{gr}\rangle$.

This quantity is quite familiar in nuclear physics, less in hadron physics (hadronization of the constituent) where the QFT framework is needed \rightarrow correlator, $\langle \Psi_{gr} | \psi(x)\psi(y) | \Psi_{gr} \rangle$. Where we are for ³He (and ³H)?

- Accurate description of the initial state within 'a non relativistic framework (cf Kievsky,Pace, G.S. Viviani PRC 56, 64 (1997))
- Realistic description of the final state, as needed for SiDIS experiments (!!): besides the fully interacting spectator pair, the generalized eikonal approximation has been introduced for describing (knocked out nucleon + debris from hadronization) (cf Del Dotto et al PRC **96**, 065203 (2017))

The Relativistic Hamiltonian Dynamics framework

Why a relativistic treatment ?

General answer: to develop a more advanced theory, appropriate for JLAB12 and (future) EIC kinematics

- The Standard Model of Few-Nucleon Systems, where nucleon and pion degrees of freedom are taken into account, has achieved a very high degree of sophistication.
- Nonetheless, one should try to fulfill, as much as possible, the relativistic constraints, dictated by the covariance with respect the Poincaré Group, G_P , when processes involving nucleons with high 3-momentum are considered and a high precision is needed.

This is the case if one studies, e.g., i) the nucleon structure functions (unpolarized and polarized cases); ii) the nucleon TMDs, iii) signatures of short-range correlations; iv) exotics (6-bag quarks etc).

• At least, one should carefully deal with the boosts of the nuclear states, $|\Psi_{init}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{fin}\rangle!$

The definitely preferred framework for embedding the successful *non relativistic* phenomenology is given by the

Light-front Relativistic Hamiltonian Dynamics (fixed dof) + Bakamjian-Thomas construction of the *interacting* Poincaré generators. One can address both Poincaré covariance and locality

General principles to be implemented

★ Extended Poincaré covariance - Commutation rules between the generators

 $[P^{\mu}, P^{\nu}] = 0, \quad [M^{\mu\nu}, P^{\rho}] = -i(g^{\mu\rho}P^{\nu} - g^{\nu\rho}P^{\mu}),$

 $[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = -\imath (g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\nu\sigma} M^{\mu\rho} - g^{\mu\sigma} M^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\rho} M^{\mu\sigma})$

\mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} have to be taken into account !

★ Macroscopic locality (\equiv cluster separability (relevant in nuclear physics)): i.e. observables associated with different space-time regions must commute in the limit of large spacelike separation (i.e. causally disconnected), rather than for arbitrary (μ -locality) spacelike separations (Keister-Polyzou, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **20**, 225 (1991)).

Physical motivation: When a system is separated into disjoint subsystems by a sufficiently large spacelike separation, then the subsystems behave as independent systems.

The Light-Front framework has several advantages:

- 7 Kinematical generators: i) three LF boosts (at variance with the dynamical nature of the Instant-form boosts), ii) $\tilde{P} = (P^+, \mathbf{P}_\perp)$, iii) Rotation around the z-axis.
- The LF boosts have a subgroup structure : then one gets a trivial separation of the intrinsic motion (as in the non-relativistic case). Separation of intrinsic and global motion is important to correctly treat the boost between initial and final states !)
- P⁺ ≥ 0 leads to a meaningful Fock expansion, once massless constituents are absent
- No square root in the dynamical operator *P*⁻, propagating the state in the LF-time.
- The infinite-momentum frame (IMF) description of DIS is easily included.

Drawback: the transverse LF-rotations are dynamical **But** within the Bakamjian-Thomas framework one can construct an intrinsic angular momentum fully kinematical! Moreover the Mass Operator, developed within a *non relativistic framework*, is fully acceptable for a BT construction of the Poincaré generators To complete the matter: the spin

- Coupling spins and orbital angular momenta is easily accomplished in the Instant Form of RHD (kinematical hyperplane t=0) through Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, since in this form the three rotation generators are independent of interaction.
- To embed this machinery in the LFHD one needs unitary operators, the so-called Melosh rotations that relate the LF spin wave function and the canonical one. For a particle of spin (1/2) with LF momentum k̃ ≡ {k⁺, k̃_⊥}

$$|\mathbf{k}; s, \sigma\rangle_{c} = \sum_{\sigma'} D^{1/2}_{\sigma', \sigma}(R_{M}(\mathbf{\tilde{k}})) |\mathbf{\tilde{k}}; s, \sigma'\rangle_{LF}$$

where

 $D^{1/2}_{\sigma',\sigma}(R_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}))$ is the standard Wigner function for the J=1/2 case ,

 $R_M(\tilde{k})$ is the rotation between the rest frames of the particle reached through a LF boost or a canonical boost, starting from the same Pauli-Lubanski vector.

$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}[\mathcal{R}_{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})]_{\sigma\sigma'} = \chi^{\dagger}_{\sigma} \ \frac{m+k^{+}-\imath\sigma\cdot(\hat{z}\times\mathbf{k}_{\perp})}{\sqrt{(m+k^{+})^{2}+|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|^{2}}} \ \chi_{\sigma'} = \ _{LF}\langle \tilde{\mathbf{k}}; s\sigma|\mathbf{k}; s\sigma'\rangle_{c}$$

 χ_{σ} is a two-dimensional spinor. To use the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients to couple angular momenta in LFHD one has to exploit the relation with the canonical spin.

From the NR Nuclear Spectral Function to the LF one

$$\begin{split} P_{\sigma'\sigma}(k,E) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im m \left\{ \langle \Psi_{gr} | a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma'} \; \frac{1}{E - H + i\epsilon} \; a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} | \Psi_{gr} \rangle \right\} \\ &= \sum_{f_{(A-1)}} \langle \vec{p}, \sigma\tau; \psi_{f_{(A-1)}} | \psi^{A}_{J\mathcal{M}} \rangle \; \langle \psi^{A}_{J\mathcal{M}} | \psi_{f_{(A-1)}}; \vec{p}, \sigma'\tau \rangle \; \delta(E - E_{f_{(A-1)}} + E_{A}) \end{split}$$

with $|\vec{p}, \sigma \tau\rangle$ plane wave with momentum \vec{p} in the system rest frame and spin along z equal to σ , and $|\psi_{f_{(A-1)}}\rangle$: a state of the (A-1)-particle spectator system: fully interacting !

The spin-dependent LF Nuclear Spectral Function can be defined through the formal relation between the overlaps $\langle \vec{p}, \sigma \tau; \psi_{f_{(A-1)}} | \psi^A_{J\mathcal{M}} \rangle_{LF}$ in *Light-front HD* (hyperplane $x^+ = 0$) and the ones in *Instant-form* HD (hyperplane t = 0)

Then, by exploiting the Bakamjian-Thomas construction,one can approximates the *Instant-form* overlaps through the ones calculated within a *non relativistic approach*, still preserving the Poincaré covariance and taking benefit of the whole successful phenomenology. (A. Del Dotto, E. Pace, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta, PRC **95**, 014001 (2017))

For implementing the macrocausality, it is crucial to distinguish between the cluster (1, 23) reference frame and the one of the whole system (123). (At the present stage the packing operators (Sokolov, Theor. Mat. Fiz. 36 (1978) 355) are neglected). The LF overlaps are

$$LF \langle T\tau; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_{z}; \tau_{1}\sigma, \tilde{\kappa} | j, j_{z}; \epsilon^{3}; \frac{1}{2}T_{z} \rangle = \sum_{\tau_{2}\tau_{3}} \int d\mathbf{k}_{23} \sum_{\sigma_{1}'} D^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathcal{R}_{M}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})]_{\sigma\sigma_{1}'}$$

$$\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3} 2E(\mathbf{k})} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^{+}E_{23}}{\kappa^{+}E_{5}}} \sum_{\sigma_{2}', \sigma_{3}'} \sum_{\sigma_{2}', \sigma_{3}'} D_{\sigma_{2}', \sigma_{2}'}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{23}, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{2}) D_{\sigma_{3}'', \sigma_{3}'}(-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{23}, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{3})$$

$$NR \langle T, \tau; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_{z} | \mathbf{k}_{23}, \sigma_{2}'', \sigma_{3}''; \tau_{2}, \tau_{3} \rangle \langle \sigma_{3}', \sigma_{2}', \sigma_{1}'; \tau_{3}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{1}; \mathbf{k}_{23}, \mathbf{k} | j, j_{z}; B_{3}; \frac{1}{2}T_{z} \rangle NR$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\sigma_i^{\prime\prime},\sigma_i^{\prime}}(\pm \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{23},\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_i) = \sum_{\sigma_i} D^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{M}}(\pm \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{23})]_{\sigma_i^{\prime\prime}\sigma_i} D^{\frac{1}{2}} [\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_i)]_{\sigma_i\sigma_i^{\prime}}$$

where the relevant LF momenta of the emitted constituent, in the two frames are $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\perp}, \ k^+ = \xi \ M_0(123) = \kappa^+ \ M_0(123) / \mathcal{M}_0(1,23)$

$$\mathcal{M}_0^2(1,23) = rac{m^2+|m{\kappa}_\perp|^2}{\xi} + rac{M^2(23)+|m{\kappa}_\perp|^2}{(1-\xi)}$$

N.B. within LFHD, normalization and momentum sum rule are automatically fulfilled !!

Preliminary Results for EMC effect in ³He :

$$R_2^A(x) = \frac{A F_2^A(x)}{Z F_2^p(x) + (A - Z) F_2^n(x)}$$

Two-body channel, only (the spectator pair is in a deuteron state)



- Solid line: calculation with the LF Nuclear Spectral Function.
- Dotted line: convolution formula with a momentum distribution as in Oelfke, Sauer, Coester, Nucl. Phys. A 518, 593 (1990) - only two-body contribution

The next step will be the full calculation including the exact 3-body contribution.

Within the LFHD and exploiting the BT construction ($M_{free} \rightarrow M_{int}$), the LF spin-dependent spectral function for a system polarized along **S**, can be decomposed in terms of available vectors,

- the unit vector \hat{n} , \perp to the hyperplane $n \cdot x = 0$. Our choice is $n^{\mu} \equiv \{1, 0, 0, 1\}$
- the polarization vector S
- the transverse (with respect to the \hat{n} axis) momentum component $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = \mathbf{p}_{\perp} = \kappa_{\perp}$ of the 3-momentum \mathbf{p} of one of the constituents,

$$oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{M},\sigma'\sigma}^{ au}(ilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S) = rac{1}{2} \left[oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{0,\mathcal{M}}^{ au} \ + \ oldsymbol{\sigma}\cdotoldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{ au}(ilde{\kappa},\epsilon,\mathbf{S})
ight]_{\sigma'\sigma}$$

The scalar $\mathcal{B}_{0,\mathcal{M}}^{\tau} = Tr\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M},\sigma'\sigma}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S)\right]$ yields the unpolarized spectral function ; the pseudovector $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,\mathbf{S}) = Tr\left[\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\tau}(\tilde{\kappa},\epsilon,S)\sigma\right]$ can be written as a linear combination of the available pseudovectors,

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}; \epsilon, \mathbf{S}) = \mathbf{S} \ \mathcal{B}_{1,\mathcal{M}} + \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} (\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}) \ \mathcal{B}_{2,\mathcal{M}} + \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} (\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{n}) \ \mathcal{B}_{3,\mathcal{M}} + \hat{n} (\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}) \ \mathcal{B}_{4,\mathcal{M}} + \hat{n} (\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{n}) \ \mathcal{B}_{5,\mathcal{M}} + (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} \times \hat{n}) \left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} \times \hat{n} \right) \cdot \mathbf{S} \right] \ \mathcal{B}_{6,\mathcal{M}}.$$

where any angular dependence is explicitly given. The seven scalar quantities $\mathcal{B}_{i,\mathcal{M}}$ (i = 0, 1, ..., 6) can depend on the possible scalars, i.e., $|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|, \xi, \epsilon, (\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp})^2, (\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{n})^2$. By integrating the LF SF on *the minus component* of the constituent 4-momentum, that amounts to integrate on the internal energy of the spectator system, one straightforwardly gets the LF spin-dependent momentum distribution

$$n_{\sigma'\sigma}^{\tau}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp};\mathcal{M},\mathbf{S}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b_{0,\mathcal{M}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{M}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp};\mathbf{S}) \right\}_{\sigma'\sigma}$$

 $f_{\mathcal{M}}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}; \mathbf{S})$ is a pseudovector

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{k}_{\perp};\mathbf{S}) &= \mathbf{S} \ b_{1,\mathcal{M}} \ + \ \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} \ (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}) \ b_{2,\mathcal{M}} \ + \ \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} \ (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{n}) \ b_{3,\mathcal{M}} \\ &+ \ \hat{n} \ (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}) \ b_{4,\mathcal{M}} \ + \ \hat{n} \ (\mathbf{S}\cdot\hat{n}) \ b_{5,\mathcal{M}} \ + \ \left(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}\times\hat{n}\right) \left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}\times\hat{n}\right)\cdot\mathbf{S}\right] \ b_{6,\mathcal{M}} \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition follows from the corresponding one of the SF, and the seven scalar functions $b_{i,\mathcal{M}}$ are properly integrals over the spectator energy, ϵ , present in the functions $\mathcal{B}_{i,\mathcal{M}}$

The valuable content of such a decomposition is to make explicit the interplay relations among constituent longitudinal/transverse variables and spin dofs. This can be usefully exploited in determining *relations* for the so-called Transverse-momentum Distributions (TMDs) in the *valence sector*. N.B.the above decomposition is built considering a fixed number of constituents inside the *interacting system*. Transverse-momentum distributions are obtained within a field-theoretical framework, when the the virtual-photon scattering by a J=1/2 target is analyzed. The basic quantity for elaborating the analysis is the Correlator (e.g. [Barone, Drago, Ratcliffe, Phys. Rep. 359, 1 (2002))

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}^{\tau}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{S}) &= \int d\xi \ e^{i\boldsymbol{p}\dot{\xi}} \left\langle \boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{S},\boldsymbol{A}|\bar{\psi}_{\beta}^{\tau}(0)\psi_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\xi)|\boldsymbol{A},\boldsymbol{S},\boldsymbol{P}\right\rangle \\ \Rightarrow \ \Phi(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{P},\boldsymbol{S}) &= \frac{1}{2} \ \boldsymbol{P} \ \boldsymbol{A}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \ \gamma_{5} \ \boldsymbol{P} \left[\boldsymbol{A}_{2} \ \boldsymbol{S}_{z} + \frac{1}{M} \ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_{1} \ \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{\perp}\right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \ \boldsymbol{P} \ \gamma_{5} \ \left[\boldsymbol{A}_{3} \ \boldsymbol{S}_{\perp} + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_{2} \ \frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{z}}{M} \ \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} + \frac{1}{M^{2}} \ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{A}}_{3} \ \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{\perp} \ \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}\right] \end{split}$$

where $|A, S, P\rangle$ is the A-particle state and $\psi_{\alpha}^{\tau}(\xi)$ the fermionic field (e.g. a nucleon of isospin τ in a nucleus, or in valence approximation a quark in a nucleon).

From the above decomposition, one introduces the TMDs, that yield insights in the possible correlations involving both kinematical variables and spin degrees of freedom, .

To match the description in terms of SF, with fixed number of particles, the particle contribution to the correlation function from on-mass-shell fermions, has to be singled out

$$\Phi_{val}^{\tau}(p,P,S) = \frac{(\not p_{on} + m)}{2m} \Phi^{\tau}(p,P,S) \frac{(\not p_{on} + m)}{2m} =$$

TDMs in the valence sector I

By using the proper traces one finds for a J = 1/2 target the following relations between the six T-even, twist-2 TMDs, and the seven scalar functions b_i , defining the spin-dependent constituent LF momentum distribution (recall: within the Bakamjian-Thomas framework)

$$f(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = b_{0}$$

$$\Delta f(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \{b_{1,\mathcal{M}} + b_{5,\mathcal{M}}\}$$

$$g_{1T}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{M}{|\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|} b_{4,\mathcal{M}}$$

$$\Delta'_{T}f(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \{2 \ b_{1,\mathcal{M}} + \ b_{2,\mathcal{M}} + \ b_{6,\mathcal{M}}$$

$$h_{1L}^{\perp}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{M}{|\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|} b_{3,\mathcal{M}}$$

$$h_{1T}^{\perp}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{M^{2}}{|\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}} \{ b_{2,\mathcal{M}} - b_{6,\mathcal{M}} \}$$

In the case of ³He the Nuclear TMDs could be obtained through measurements of appropriate spin asymmetries in ${}^{3}He(e, e'p)$ experiments at high momentum transfer. In the hadronic case SiDIS reactions are the golden door to access TMDs.

Let us remind that

$$n_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\tau}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp};\mathcal{M},\mathbf{S}) = \frac{2(-1)^{\mathcal{M}+1/2}}{(1-x)} \sum_{L=0,2} \int dk_{23} \, \mathcal{Z}_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\tau}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp},k_{23},L,\mathbf{S})$$

L is the orbital angular momentum of the one-body off-diagonal density matrix. Non relavistically...

$$\int d^3k \ e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')} \ \rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')} = \sum_{L,\lambda} \ \mathcal{Y}_L^{\lambda}(\hat{k}).....$$

Summarize: from the general principles implemented in the SF, TMDs receive contributions from both L = 0 and L = 2.

N.B. for $L = 2 \Rightarrow b_{2,M} = b_{5,M} = 0$ and one can recover, in the valence sector we are, well-known linear equalities [Jacob, Mulders, Rodrigues, Nucl. Phys. A 626, 937 (1997); Lorcé, Pasquini, Phys. Rev. D 84, 034039 (2011)]

$$\Delta f(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \Delta_{T}^{\prime} f(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) + \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}}{2M^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})$$

$$g_{1T}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = -h_{1L}^{\perp}(x, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})$$
(1)

As far as the quadratic relation discussed in the above papers is concerned

$$(g_{1T})^{2} + 2 \Delta'_{T} f \quad h_{1T}^{\perp} = 0$$
 (2)

in our approach it does not hold, even if the contribution from the angular momentum L = 2 is absent, because of the considered effects due to the interacting spectator pair. Giovanni Salmè (INFN-Rome) LC2018: J=1/2 dynamical observables 17 / 19

TMDs in the valence sector II: T-even Twist-3 case

Extending our analysis to the T-even twist-3 TMDs, in the valence sector \Rightarrow relations among twist-2 and twist-3 TMDs can be recovered (cf Bacchetta et al (JHEP02(2007)093) in the nucleon case, once the gluon contribution is dropped out i.e. Wandzura-Wilczek approximation), viz

$$e(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{m}{Mx} f(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})$$

$$f^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{1}{x} f(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})$$

$$g'_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{m}{Mx} h_{1\tau}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})$$

$$g^{\perp}_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{1}{x} \left[\frac{m}{M} h_{1\tau}^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) + g_{1\tau}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})\right]$$

$$g_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{1}{x} \left[\frac{m}{M} \Delta'_{\tau} f(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) + \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}}{2M^{2}} g_{1\tau}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})\right]$$

$$g^{\perp}_{L}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) = \frac{1}{x} \left[\frac{m}{M} h_{1L}^{\perp}(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2}) + \Delta f(\mathbf{x}, |\mathbf{p}_{\perp}|^{2})\right]$$
...

Conclusions & Perspectives

- A Poincaré covariant description of nuclei, based on the light-front Hamiltonian dynamics, has been proposed. The Bakamjian-Thomas construction of the Poincaré generators allows one to embed the successful phenomenology for few-nucleon systems in a Poincaré covariant framework. N.B. Normalization and momentum sum rule both automatically fulfilled.
- ★ Macrocausality can be implemented, as it must be (packing operator not yet included)

★★ A new effect of binding in the nuclear spectral function follows. This is seen in our preliminary (two-body channel only), calculation of ${}^{3}\text{He}$ EMC effect.

● ★ Notably, the Spectral Function is related to the valence contribution to the correlator introduced for a QFT description of SiDIS reactions.

 $\star\star$ General principles implemented in the LF Spectral function entail relations among T-even twist-2 and twist-3 valence TMDs, with interesting angular momentum dependence.

• Nuclear and hadronic applications of the LF Nuclear spectral function are in progress, in collaboration with L. Kaptari