

# Non-vanishing of vacuum diagrams in light-cone perturbation theory

Ľubomír Martinovič

Institute of Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences

Dúbravská cesta 9, 845 11 Bratislava, Slovakia

and

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141 980 Dubna, Russia

May 17, 2018

Work done in collaboration with A. Dorokhov, BLTP JINR Dubna

– Typeset by Foil $\text{\TeX}$  –

**ABSTRACT:** Recently, J. Collis has pointed out that vacuum diagrams are, contrary to the general belief, non-vanishing in light-front field theory. In our contribution, we first recall the old (forgotten) arguments by Chang and Ma and by Yan, why this should be so. Then we apply the argument of analyticity of the self-energy diagrams in  $\lambda\phi^3$  and  $\lambda\phi^4$  two-dimensional models in light-front (LF) perturbation theory to calculate the vacuum bubbles explicitly as  $p = 0$  values of the appropriate self-energy diagrams. The results are non-zero and agree with the usual Feynman-diagram calculation. Surprisingly, the light-front bubbles are non-vanishing NOT due to LF zero modes. This is confirmed by the DLCQ calculations, where the mode with  $n = 0$  (the LF zero mode with  $k^+ = 0$ ) is manifestly absent, but the results still converge to the continuum values for increasing "harmonic resolution"  $K$ . Generalization to realistic 3+1 dimensional case and to e.g. Yukawa theory is straightforward.

## INTRODUCTION

Quantum field theory formulated in terms of light-front (LF) variables has a few unusual features: indications of inconsistency?

One problem appeared to be paradoxically related to the most celebrated property of

the LF quantization - vacuum simplicity

Well known: positivity of the LF momentum  $p^+$  together with its conservation implies that the ground state of any dynamical model cannot contain quanta carrying  $p^+ \neq 0$ . Only a tiny subset of all field modes, namely those carrying  $p^+ = 0$  - the LF zero modes (ZM) - can contribute in principle

NB: some field modes (ZM of the scalar field) which appear as dynamical ones in the conventional ("space-like", SL for short) theory become constrained (non-dynamical) in the LF form of the theory  $\Rightarrow$  cannot contribute to vacuum processes directly

QUESTIONS: how does LF theory describe vacuum phenomena? Is the LF dynamics equivalent to the SL form? Can it predict something truly new?

The equivalence issue realized and studied already in the pioneering papers on LF perturbative S-matrix by Cheng and Ma (1969) and by T.-M. Yan (1973) including the vacuum problem at the perturbation theory level

**Method:** covariant Feynman amplitudes (integrals) rewritten in terms of LF variables

the delicate step: to perform the integration in  $p^-$  variable, since the propagators in 2D behave as  $(k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}$  instead of  $(k_0^2 - k_1^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1}$  - convergence

T.-M. Yan, PRD 7, 1780 (1973):  $I = \int d^4p \frac{1}{(p^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)^3} = \frac{\pi^2}{2i\mu^2}$ .  
Here  $d^4p = dp^0 dp^1 dp^2 dp^3$  and  $p^0 \rightarrow idp^4$ . In LF variables,

$$I = \int dp^+ dp^- d^2p_\perp \frac{1}{(p^+p^- - p_\perp^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)^3} = -\frac{\pi}{4} \int dp^+ dp^- \frac{1}{(p^+p^- - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)^2}. \quad (1)$$

A double pole at  $p^- = \frac{\mu^2 - i\epsilon}{p^+}$ , at infinity for  $p^+ = 0$ , a careful treatment needed:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{\pi}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp^+ \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\Lambda}^{+\Lambda} dp^- \frac{1}{(p^+p^- - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)^2} = \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dp^+}{p^+} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{p^+\Lambda - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{-p^+\Lambda - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \right). \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$


Using the identity

$$\frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{1}{p^+ \Lambda - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{-p^+ \Lambda - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu^2} \left( \frac{\Lambda}{p^+ \Lambda - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} - \frac{\Lambda}{p^+ \Lambda + \mu^2 - i\epsilon} \right), \quad (3)$$

for  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , one gets

$$I = \frac{\pi}{4\mu^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp^+ \left( \frac{1}{p^+ + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{p^+ - i\epsilon} \right) = \frac{\pi}{4\mu^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp^+ [-2i\pi\delta(p^+)] = \frac{\pi^2}{2i\mu^2}. \quad (4)$$

Same result with the exponential  $\alpha$ -representation ( $D^{-1} = -i \int_0^{+\infty} d\alpha e^{i\alpha(D+i\epsilon)}$ ). Chang and Ma more sophisticated method for a vacuum bubble with 3 internal lines



Vacuum bubbles for  $\phi^3$  and  $\phi^4$  models

$$V = \int dp^+ dp^- \frac{1}{p^+ p^- - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} \Sigma(p^+ p^-), \quad (5)$$


where  $\Sigma(p^2)$  represented as

$$\Sigma(p^2) = \int d\lambda F(\lambda) e^{i\lambda p^2}, \quad F(\lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \delta(\lambda(\xi_1 + \xi_2) - \xi_1 \xi_2) e^{-i\mu^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 / \lambda}, \quad (6)$$

where the above  $\alpha$ -representation used here and also in (5). Insert  $\Sigma$  of (6) into (5):

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= \int dp^+ dp^- \left[ -i \int_0^{+\infty} d\xi d\lambda F(\lambda) e^{ip^2(\lambda+\xi) - i\mu^2\xi} \right] = \\
 &= \int dp^+ \left[ -2\pi i \int_0^{+\infty} d\xi d\lambda F(\lambda) (\lambda + \xi)^{-1} e^{-i\mu^2\xi} \right] \delta(p^+). \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Non-zero result, but no explicit formula given.



Self-energy diagrams for for  $\phi^3$ ,  $\phi^4$  and  $\phi^5$  models

**J. Collins:** recalled these results plus identified a mathematical subtlety leading to a

false conclusion that the LF vacuum diagrams vanish (already for the simplest case with two internal lines) - in both covariant (Feynman) PT and the Hamiltonian LFPT

**HERE:** generalization to loops with more internal lines, using the analyticity argument, both continuum and finite-volume formulation (DLCQ),  
complete agreement with covariant Feynman results

## THE FORMALISM AND SIMPLE EXAMPLES

The basic formula for the S-matrix in the "old-fashioned", Hamiltonian, LF-time ordered, non-manifestly covariant PT (it avoids the  $k^-$  integration in a natural way, also: energy denominators instead of covariant propagators)

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{fi} = & \delta_{fi} - \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^+ \langle \phi_f | V(x^+) | \phi_i \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_1^+ \langle \phi_f | V(x_1^+) | \phi_n \rangle \int_{-\infty}^{x_1^+} dx_2^+ \langle \phi_n | V(x_2^+) | \phi_i \rangle \\
 & + \dots, \quad \textit{where} \quad V = P_{int}^-, \quad V(x^+) = e^{\frac{i}{2}P_0^- x^+} V(0) e^{-\frac{i}{2}P_0^- x^+}, \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$



$$S_{fi} = \delta_{fi} - 2\pi i \delta(p_i^- - p_f^-) T_{fi}, \quad T_{fi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_f^+ p_i^+}} \delta(p_f^+ - p_i^+) M_{fi}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{1} &= \sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| = |0\rangle \langle 0| + \int_0^{+\infty} dl_1^+ a^\dagger(l_1^+) |0\rangle \langle 0| a(l_1^+) + \\ &+ \int_0^{+\infty} dl_1^+ \int_0^{+\infty} dl_2^+ a^\dagger(l_2^+) a^\dagger(l_1^+) |0\rangle \langle 0| a(l_1^+) a(l_2^+) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We shall work with  $\lambda\phi^3$  and  $\lambda\phi^4$  models in 2D, for which

$$\begin{aligned} P_{int}^- &= \frac{\lambda}{3!} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dk^+}{\sqrt{4\pi k^+}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dp^+}{\sqrt{4\pi p^+}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dq^+}{\sqrt{4\pi q^+}} 2\pi \delta(p^+ + k^+ - q^+) \\ &\times \left\{ a^\dagger(q^+) a(k^+) a(p^+) + a^\dagger(p^+) a^\dagger(k^+) a(q^+) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{int}^- &= V_1 + V_2 + V_3 = \\
&= \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dk^+}{\sqrt{4\pi k^+}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dp^+}{\sqrt{4\pi p^+}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dq^+}{\sqrt{4\pi q^+}} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dr^+}{\sqrt{4\pi r^+}} 8\pi \\
&\times \left\{ \left[ a^\dagger(k^+)a^\dagger(p^+)a^\dagger(q^+)a(r^+) + a^\dagger(r^+)a(p^+)a(q^+)a(k^+) \right] \delta(k^+ + p^+ + q^+ - r^+) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{3}{2} a^\dagger(k^+)a^\dagger(p^+)a(q^+)a(r^+) \delta(k^+ + p^+ - q^+ - r^+) \right\}. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

The rules of the LF perturbation theory imply that the vacuum amplitudes (bubbles) vanish (or rather are mathematically ill-defined) (Yan 1973) as the corresponding integrals contain the delta function  $\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ \dots + p_n^+)$  (momentum conservation) which can be satisfied only if all of them vanish, leading to singular integrands.

The simplest example: **LF tadpole**

it arises in the process of normal-ordering the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
 a^\dagger(k_1^+)a(k_2^+)a^\dagger(k_3^+)a(k_4^+) &= \delta(k_2^+ - k_3^+)a^\dagger(k_1^+)a(k_4^+) + \dots \equiv V_T + \dots, \\
 S_{fi}^{(1)} &= -\frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx^+ \langle 0|a(p_f^+)e^{\frac{i}{2}p_f^-x^+} V_T e^{-\frac{i}{2}p_i^-x^+} a^\dagger(p_i^+)|0\rangle \\
 \Rightarrow M_T &= \frac{\lambda}{8\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{8\pi} \int_\epsilon^\Lambda \frac{dk^+}{k^+}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Changing the variable  $k^+ \rightarrow \frac{\mu^2}{k^+}$ :

$$M_T = \frac{\lambda}{8\pi} \int_{\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda}}^\Lambda \frac{dk^-}{k^-} = \frac{\lambda}{8\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}. \tag{13}$$

A. Harindranath, L. Martinovic and J. P. Vary, PRD 64, 105016 (2001):

IMF, near-LC and LFPT loop diagrams (self-energy and scattering): comparison

in particular, one-loop self-energy in  $\lambda\phi^3(3+1)$  toy model

$$\Sigma(p^2) = \frac{\lambda^2}{4(2\pi)^3} \int_0^1 dx \int d^2q_\perp \frac{1}{p^2 x(1-x) - (q_\perp)^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon}. \quad (14)$$

Reducing to 1+1 dim and setting  $p = 0$  (=vacuum bubble, J. Collin's case), we have

$$V \equiv \Sigma(0) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{-\mu^2 + i\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\lambda^2}{\mu^2}. \quad (15)$$

We did not realize this connection at that time.

Simple case - analytic formula for  $s \equiv p^2 \neq 0$ :

$$V = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{sx(1-x) - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} = -4 \frac{\arctan \sqrt{\frac{s}{4\mu^2 - s}}}{\sqrt{4\mu^2 s - s^2}}. \quad (16)$$

Undefined for  $s = 0$ , L'Hospital yields the correct value  $-1/\mu^2$ .

**Vacuum amplitudes in the SL form:** bubble in  $\phi^3$  toy model

The corresponding Feynman rules lead to the double two-dimensional integral expression

$$V_3(\mu) = \int d^2p \int d^2q \frac{1}{(p^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)(q^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)((p+q)^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)}. \quad (17)$$

Can be evaluated in a few ways: by using the Feynman parameters, by means of  $\alpha$ -representation or via more sophisticated mathematical methods (Mellin-Barnes representation for powers of massive propagators (Davydychev and Tausk, NPB (1993),

PRD (1996)) - the same result

$$V(\mu) = -\frac{C}{\mu^2}, \quad C = 2.344\dots, \quad (18)$$

The constant  $C$  has a particular representation in each of the computational method. The first method: combine the propagators into one denominator by means of the auxiliary integrals in terms of the Feynman parameters  $x_i$ , then go over to Euclidean space and calculate the integrals in  $p$  and  $q$  variables. The result is the the double-integral representation

$$V_3 = \frac{\pi^2}{\mu^2} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \frac{\delta(1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3)}{x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3}, \quad (19)$$

which can be transformed by a suitable change of variables to the LF integral with  $p = 0!$  (see below)

## LIGHT-FRONT CALCULATION IN CONTINUUM

The result (18) obtained in a very simple way also in the LFPT, contrary to the the general belief

**THE METHOD:** start with the (self-energy) graph with nonvanishing external momentum and write down the corresponding LF amplitude. The expected analyticity in  $p$  then permits one to consider its value at  $p = 0$  (after going over to relative LF momenta) - the vacuum loop emerges simply as the limit of the corresponding self-energy graph for vanishing external momentum. In this way, the expression (??) is replaced by

$$\Sigma(p) = N \int_0^{p^+} \frac{dk^+}{k^+} \int_0^{p^+ - k^+} \frac{dl^+}{p^+ - k^+ - l^+} \frac{1}{p^- - \frac{\mu^2}{k^+} - \frac{\mu^2}{l^+} - \frac{\mu^2}{p^+ - k^+ - l^+} + i\epsilon}. \quad (20)$$

Introducing the dimensionless variables  $x = \frac{k^+}{p^+}$ ,  $y = \frac{l^+}{p^+}$ ,  $\Sigma(p)$  becomes

$$\Sigma(p) = N \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \int_0^{1-x} \frac{dy}{(1-x-y)} \frac{1}{[p^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{x} - \frac{\mu^2}{y} - \frac{\mu^2}{1-x-y}]}. \quad (21)$$

**Now we can set**  $p = 0$ . The integral over the variable  $y$  can be performed explicitly,

yielding

$$\Sigma(0) = -\frac{2}{\mu^2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{\ln \frac{\sqrt{1-x} + \sqrt{1+3x}}{\sqrt{1-x} - \sqrt{1+3x}}}{\sqrt{(1-x)(1+3x)}} \quad (22)$$

The numerical computation

$$\Sigma(0) = -C/\mu^2, \quad C = 2,344\dots \quad (23)$$

## FINITE VOLUME (DLCQ) CALCULATION

Remarkably, the same result obtained in the discretized (finite-volume) treatment with (anti-)periodic boundary conditions (BC). In both cases, the field mode carrying  $p^+ = 0$  is manifestly absent.

The corresponding field expansion at  $x^+ = 0$  is

$$\phi(0, x^-) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_n^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_n^+}} [a_n e^{-ip_n^+ x^-} + a_n^\dagger e^{ip_n^+ x^-}], \quad (24)$$



where  $p_n^+ = 2\pi n/L$  and  $L$  is the length of the finite interval. The index  $n$  runs over half-integers for antiperiodic boundary conditions and over integers for periodic BC, with  $n = 0$  excluded. Reason: this field mode is not a dynamical quantity, but a constrained variable, expressed in terms of the  $n \neq 0$  field modes. The DLCQ analog of the  $\Sigma(p)$  amplitude is

$$\Sigma(p) = -\lambda^2 N \sum_{q^+}^{p^+} \sum_{k^+}^{p^+ - q^+} \frac{1}{k^+ q^+ (p^+ - k^+ - q^+) \left[ p^- - \frac{\mu^2}{k^+} - \frac{\mu^2}{q^+} - \frac{\mu^2}{p^+ - k^+ - q^+} \right]}. \quad (25)$$

For  $p = 0$  and with  $k^+ \rightarrow \frac{2\pi}{L}m$ , etc.:

$$\Sigma(0) = V_3(\mu^2) = -\frac{1}{\mu^2} \sum_{m=1}^{K-2} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{K-m-1} \frac{1}{n(K-m-n) \left[ \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{K-m-n} \right]}. \quad (26)$$

Numerical values:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K = 32 & K = 64 & K = 128 & K = 512 & K = 2048 \\
 V = 1.921 & V = 2.099 & V = 2.205 & V = 2.301 & V = 2.331
 \end{array} \quad (27)$$

Smooth approach to  $p = 0$  ( $K = 512$ ):

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 p^2 = 10^{-2} & p^2 = 10^{-4} & p^2 = 10^{-6} & p^2 = 0 \\
 V = 3.267 & V = 2.307 & V = 2.301 & V = 2.302
 \end{array} \quad (28)$$

Explanation: for some finite  $p^-$ ,  $p^- = \frac{\mu^2}{p^+}$  approaching  $\mu^2 \rightarrow 0$  implies  $p^+$  approaches 0 as well

Convergence for the  $\phi^4$  loop slower, but reliable:

$$V_4(\mu^2) = -\frac{1}{\mu^2} \sum_{l=1}^{K-3} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{m=1}^{K-l-2} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{K-l-l-1} \frac{1}{n(K-l-m-n) \left[ \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{K-l-m-n} \right]}. \quad (29)$$

$V_4 = 6.798, 7.795, 7.967$  for  $K = 128, 512, 800$ , approaching the continuum value  $V_4 = 8.414\dots$

## CONCLUSIONS

- vacuum diagrams in the  $\phi^3(1+1)$  and  $\phi^4(1+1)$  models obtained as  $p = 0$  (external momentum) limit of the corresponding self-energy diagrams
- works also in a final volume with (A)PBC (DLCQ)  $\Rightarrow$  not effect of the zero modes
- generalization to e.g. Yukawa theory and to (3+1)-dimensional case straightforward
- expected to work also for the generalized tadpoles - to be checked



Simple tadpole and a generalized tadpole in  $\phi^4$  model