## **SIDIS Phenomenology**

J. Osvaldo Gonzalez-Hernandez

**University of Turin** 



SIDIS











#### Ultimately, we want to extract TMDs

Fourier Transform of:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{F}_{j}(x,b_{T},Q,\zeta_{F}) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{F}}}{\mu_{b}}\right)^{K(b_{*},\mu_{b})} \sum_{j} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d\hat{x}}{\hat{x}} \tilde{C}_{ji}^{in}(x/\hat{x},b_{*},\mu_{b},\mu_{b}^{2}) f_{i}(\hat{x},\mu_{b}) \\ &\times \exp\left\{\int_{\mu_{b}}^{Q} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left(\gamma_{F}(\mu;1) - \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{F}}}{\mu}\right)\gamma_{K}(\mu)\right)\right\} \\ &\times \exp\left\{-g_{P}(x,b_{T}) - g_{K}(b_{T})\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{F}}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F0}}}\right)\right\}, \end{split}$$

#### Unpolarized TMD PDF

$$\begin{split} \tilde{D}_j(z, b_T, Q, \zeta_D) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_D}}{\mu_b}\right)^{\tilde{K}(b_*, \mu_b)} \sum_k \int_z^1 \frac{d\hat{z}}{\hat{z}^3} \tilde{C}_{kj}^{out}(z/\hat{z}, b_*, \mu_b, \mu_b^2) D_j(\hat{z}, \mu_b) \\ &\times \exp\left\{\int_{\mu_b}^Q \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left(\gamma_D(\mu; 1) - \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_D}}{\mu}\right) \gamma_K(\mu)\right)\right\} \\ &\times \exp\left\{-g_H(z, b_T) - g_K(b_T) \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_D}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{D0}}}\right)\right\} \,. \end{split}$$

Unpolarized TMD FF

#### Ultimately, we want to extract TMDs

Often times, simple models are considered (no-evolution)

$$f_{q/p}(x,k_{\perp}) = f_{q/p}(x) \frac{e^{-k_{\perp}^2/\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}$$
$$D_{h/q}(z,p_{\perp}) = D_{h/q}(z) \frac{e^{-p_{\perp}^2/\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle} \cdot$$

Useful to map the shape of TMDs and to quantify kinematical dependencies on data. (but careful, limited validity)

May be seen as a "snapshot" of the a TMD at some kinematics.



Anselmino, Boglione, Melis, JOGH, Prokudin



**Constant widths: Comparison to Jlab data** 



JHEP 1404 (2014) 005

Anselmino, Boglione, Melis, JOGH, Prokudin

9



#### Need to go beyond simple model picture

#### What about the full (actual) definitions of the TMDs?

Some very interesting implementations in the market.

#### **Drell Yan**



# Under control, high precision phenomenology:

See a recent example: Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.2, 89 Ignazio Scimemi, Alexey Vladimirov

#### **Global Fits? First attempts**

**Drell Yan** 



A. Bacchetta, F. Delcarro, C. Pisano, M. Radici , A. Signori JHEP 1706 (2017) 081



Fragmentation Functions





Non-perturbative Transverse Momentum



#### Non-perturbative Transverse Momentum

Hard gluon radiation



Non-perturbative Transverse Momentum

Hard gluon radiation



Collins-Soper-Sterman (CSS) formalism provides a matching scheme (other schemes available).

Successful implementations In Drell-Yan.

Several challenges in SIDIS.



Collins-Soper-Sterman (CSS) formalism provides a matching scheme (other schemes available).

Successful implementations In Drell-Yan.

Several challenges in SIDIS.



+ power suppressed terms





No sharp transitions between regions.

Large qT-corrections cannot be ignored in TMD phenomenology.





HERA data

Nadolsky, Stump, Yuan Phys.Rev.D61:014003,2000

$$E_T \rangle_{\Phi_B} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}} \sum_B \int_{\Phi_B} d\Phi_B \ E_T \frac{d\sigma(e + A \to e + B + X)}{d\Phi_B}$$





Matching is crucial, cannot afford to miss any constraint.

#### Final remarks...

### Any other TMD extraction relies on Unpolarized functions



Thank you.