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## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

## "Missing Strength"



- The closed (valence) orbits are NOT fully occupied, $\sim 30 \%$ of strength is missing.
- Nucleons can live in orbits above Fermi level ( $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ )


## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

## "High Momentum Tail"

C. Atti and S. Simula, PRC 53. 1689 (1996)


## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

"SRC pair"


Large back-to-back momentum (> $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ )


## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

## "SRC pair"



Large back-to-back momentum (> $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ )


JLAB E01-015


## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

## " $n-p$ pair dominance"

Subedi et al, Science 320, 1476 (2008)


Subedi et al, Science 320, 1476 (2008)


## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

## "Isospin Dependence"

NN potential = Repulsive core + tensor part

$$
\text { Tensor operator } \quad S_{12}=2\left[3 \frac{(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{r})^{2}}{r^{2}}-\vec{S}^{2}\right]
$$

* $\mathrm{T}=1, \mathrm{~S}=0: \mathrm{np}, \mathrm{pp}$, nn pairs. $\mathrm{S}_{12}=0$, no attractive tensor force
* $\mathrm{T}=0, \mathrm{~S}=1$ : Deuteron-like np pair.



## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

## "(e,e') at $x>1$ "




Single arm (e,e') measurement.

* Clean:

Detect high momentum nucleons at high $x$ high Q 2 with high rates, small final state interaction and meson exchange current.

* Precise:

Take ratio of cross sections to cancel systematic uncertainties

## * Short Range Correlation (SRC)

## "(e,e') at $\mathrm{x}>1$ ": <br> Plateau = probability to find Deuteron like SRC pairs in a nucleus

N. Fomin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 092502.


* Easy:

Single arm (e,e') measurement.

* Clean:

Detect high momentum nucleons at high x high Q 2 with high rates, small final state interaction and meson exchange current.

* Precise:

Take ratio of cross sections to cancel systematic uncertainties

## * E12-11-112 x > 1 SRC

"Tritium !"
"We take ratios!"

* Goal 1: Check the isospin dependence in 2 N SRC at $1<x<2$
* np pair dominance:

$$
\frac{\sigma_{3} H e}{\sigma_{3} H}=\frac{\sigma_{n p}+\sigma_{p}}{\sigma_{n p}+\sigma_{n}} \approx \frac{\sigma_{n p}}{\sigma_{n p}}=1
$$

* No isospin preference

$$
\frac{\sigma_{3} H e}{\sigma_{3} H}=\frac{\sigma_{n}+2 \sigma_{p}}{2 \sigma_{n}+\sigma_{p}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{p} \approx 3 \sigma_{n}} 1.4
$$



Uncertainty: $1.5 \%$ on $3 \mathrm{He} / 3 \mathrm{H}$ cross section ratios $->3.8 \%$ on $\mathrm{T}=1 / \mathrm{T}=0$

## * E12-11-112 x>1 SRC

"Tritium !"
"We take ratios!"

* Goal 2 Probing the possible 3N SRC at $2<x<3$
* Isospin structure and momentum sharing scheme(does not rely on cleanly isolating 3 N -SRCs)


Isospin independent:

$$
\frac{\sigma_{3} H e}{\sigma_{3} H}=\frac{\sigma_{n}+2 \sigma_{p}}{2 \sigma_{n}+\sigma_{p}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{p} \approx 3 \sigma_{n}} 1.4
$$

* Precision measurement of the isospin dependence in the 2 N and 3 N short range correlation region
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## * $x>1$ Run Plan

"LHRS"
Tritium, 17 degrees


## "RHRS"

Measurement:
QE cross section at $3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{He}$ from $\mathrm{Q}^{2}=2$ to $3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
Goal:
Test 3 H and 3 He nuclear smearing and off-shell correction models


Shujie Li, Hall A Collaboration Meeting Jan 24, 2018

A.J. Tropiano, J.J. Ethier, W. Melnitchouk, N. Sato, in preparation (2018).

## * Beam?

"Patience is a virtue"
Achilles and the Tortoise $\rightarrow$ Tritium students and the schedule
12.15.2017 1999

## * Beam?

"Patience is a virtue"
Achilles and the Tortoise $\rightarrow$ Tritium students and the schedule


## * Tritium Awesome!

"Patience is a virtue"
Achilles and the Tortoise $\rightarrow$ Tritium students and the schedule


## $\mathfrak{B E A M}$ ON $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{R} I T \mathcal{T} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{M}!!!$

| - | M T | -且 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOC Expert | Temperatures | $A D C, D A C$, etc. |
| Hall A Status: Beam Permit 1 <br> Chamber Vacuum <br> 4.39e-07 mbar <br> Beam Current <br> 2.130 uAmps |  |  |
| Encoder | ion: 3330 |  |


12.15.2017

## * x>1 Run Schedule:

## December 2017:

1. Tritium commissioning (12.13-12.15):
2. $B P M, B C M$ calibration
3. LHRS checkout ( 17 degree)
4. LHRS sieve runs (1 pass beam, standard Q1 tuning)
5. Endcap contamination ( $\sim 4 \%$ )


## * x>1 Run Schedule:

## December 2017:

1. Tritium commissioning (12.13-12.15):
2. $\mathrm{BPM}, \mathrm{BCM}$ calibration
3. LHRS checkout ( 17 degree)
4. LHRS sieve runs (1 pass beam, standard Q1 tuning)
5. Endcap contamination
6. Target boiling study (In progress, 8 -12\% boiling at 22.5 uA )



## * x>1 Run Schedule:

## December 2017:

No SRC physics since 2 pass beam was not available
2.Production runs with 2.2 GeV beam( 12.16): Quasi-elastic $2 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{He}$ data, and elastic 1 H data at $\mathrm{Q} 2=0.4,0.6 \mathrm{GeV} 2$

- Data under quality check. Planned analysis:
$3 \mathrm{He} / 3 \mathrm{H}$ cross section ratio $\rightarrow \mathrm{GMn}$



## * x>1 Run Schedule:

## December 2017:

No SRC physics since 2 pass beam was not available
3. Target position issue (12.16):
$\rightarrow 9$ am: beam centering position changed
$\rightarrow 10$ am: missed part of multifoil
$\rightarrow 11 \mathrm{am}$ to 20 pm : beam centering failed
$\rightarrow 21 \mathrm{pm}$ : missed multifoil completely, done

Follow-up Re: Follow-up Re: Hall A Lifter Issues
Lognumber 3508343. Submitted by meekins on Tue, 01/02/2018-01:13. Last updated on Tue, 01/02/2018-01:20

| Logbooks: | HALOG TARGETLOG |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tags: | Hall A Tritium |
| References: | 3508342 - Follow-up Re: Hall A Lifter Issues |

cause of lifter failure was a spun shaft coupler see figure 1


## * x>1 Run Schedule:

## December 2017 (mostly commissioning)

March 2018: 4 days
October 2018: 30 days

## TODO:

1.finish QE measurement at low Q2 with 1 pass beam
2. Take 2 N SRC data on $2 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{He}$ at $1<\mathrm{x}<2$
3. Take 3 N SRC data at $\mathrm{x}>2$

Issues:
Q1 saturation
2 pass beam
Right arm dipole ( works now!)

## "Q1 saturation"

"Q1 power supply has a hard limit of 800 A (to be fixed in the summer)"

Hall probe mesured field strength, Generated by J. Gomez


LHRS Q1 current settings from J. Gomez


Solution 1: take GMp Q1 tuning (208 A/GeV) with a current correction at p0>3GeV.
The correction factor is provided by GMp data and MC simulation
Solution 2: take standard tuning ( $200 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{GeV}$ )

* Either way requires optics check at every high momentum setting


## * x>1 Issues:

"Q1 saturation"
Optics check from December $x>1$ (200A/GeV) and MARATHON (208A/GeV) with GMp optics


Plan:

1. December run: calibrate optics from sieve data we took.
2. Future $x>1$ run: take sieve data at each LHRS setting

## *Thank you!

*Thanks to Tritium collaboration, target group, GMp collaboration, MCC ...

## In memory of Patricia Solvignon



Fig. 3. The minimum momentum for scattering from a nucleon in deuterium (left) and gold (right) as a function of $x$ and $Q^{2}$ for quasi-elastic $\gamma+2 N \rightarrow N+N$ scattering for $Q^{2}$ values of $0.5,1.5,3$, and $10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. For heavy nuclei, the minimum momentum for a given $x$ and $Q^{2}$ value is somewhat smaller, as the heavie recoil system requires less kinetic energy to balance the momentum of the struck nucleon. This, combined with the larger Fermi momentum for heavy nuclei, means that slightly higher $x$ or $Q^{2}$ values are required to fully suppress scattering from nucleons associated with the mean-field structure. Source: Figure adapted from Ref. [44].

