## Double K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup> Photoproduction off the proton at CLAS

S. Chandavar and K. Hicks CLAS Collaboration Meeting Oct. 4, 2017

# Which scalar mesons are we interested in?

		I = 1	I = 1/2	I = 0	I = 0
$N^{2S+1}L_J$	$J^{PC}$	$u\bar{d}, d\bar{u}, (d\bar{d} - u\bar{u})/\sqrt{2}$	$u\bar{s}, s\bar{u}, d\bar{s}, s\bar{d}$	f'	f
$1^{1}S_{0}$	$0^{-+}$	$\pi$	K	$\eta$	$\eta'$
$1^{3}S_{1}$	$1^{}$	$\rho(770)$	$K^{*}(892)$	$\phi(1020)$	$\omega(782)$
$1^{1}P_{1}$	$1^{+-}$	$b_1(1235)$	$K_{1B}$	$h_1(1380)$	$h_1(1170)$
$1^{3}P_{0}$	$0^{++}$	$a_0(1450)$	$K_0^*(1430)$	$f_0(1710)$	$f_0(1370)$
				/	- , /

- There are **4 isoscalar states** identified by experiment:  $f_0(980)$ ,  $f_0(1370)$ ,  $f_0(1500)$  and  $f_0(1710)$
- There are **only 2** slots for the f<sub>0</sub> states in the quark model
- The assignments of the f<sub>0</sub> states is still uncertain.

#### What theory predicts Mixing scenario

#### LQCD calculations



Figure: Y Chen et al., PRD 73:014516 (2006)

Physical states  $f_0(1370)$ ,  $f_0(1500)$ ,  $f_0(1710)$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mid f_1 \rangle \\ \mid f_2 \rangle \\ \mid f_3 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1n} & M_{1s} & M_{1g} \\ M_{2n} & M_{2s} & M_{2g} \\ M_{3n} & M_{3s} & M_{3g} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mid ni \\ \mid si \\ \mid G \end{pmatrix}$$

are a mixture of bare states

Dependence on model

•Case 1:  $M(Glueball) > M(s\overline{s})$ 

f<sub>0</sub>(1370) strong SU(3) singlet component

• f<sub>0</sub>(1500) strong SU(3) octet component

• f<sub>0</sub>(1710) strong glueball component

**Case 2**:  $M(Glueball) < M(s\bar{s})$ 

- f<sub>o</sub>(1370) strong SU(3) singlet component
- f<sub>0</sub>(1500) strong glueball component
- f<sub>0</sub>(1710) strong SU(3) octet component

V. Crede, C.Meyer arXiv:0812.0600v3

PWA formalism Isobar Model



J.P. Cummings , D.P. Weygand, arXiv: physics/0309052v1

• The intensity  $I(\tau)$  is expanded into a set of amplitudes :

$$I(\tau) = \sum_{\epsilon,k} \left\{ \left| \sum_{\beta} \left( {}^{\epsilon} V_{k\beta} \; {}^{\epsilon} A_{\beta}(\tau) \right) \right|^2 \right\}$$

τ = final state variablesV = production amplitudesA = decay amplitudes

# PWA formalism



• Amplitudes are factored into production amplitudes,  ${}^{\epsilon}V_{\kappa\beta}$  (to be fitted)<sub>,</sub> and decay amplitudes,  ${}^{\epsilon}A_{\beta}$  (calculated)

•Data is binned in mass and each mass bin is fit independently

• For each event, production amplitudes are calculated to maximize the likelihood of the event

$$\Sigma = \left[\frac{\overline{n}^n}{n!} \ e^{-\overline{n}}\right] \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{I(\tau_i)}{\int (\tau)\eta(\tau)d\tau}\right]$$





Coupled channel PWA analysis of  $K^+K^-$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-$  data Central Production : WA102

D. Barberis et al., [WA102 Collaboration ], Phys. Lett. B462 (1999) 462, hep-ex/9907055



Partial wave analysis of  $J/\Psi \longrightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $\gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$ 

#### J/ # radiative decay : BES ||

M. Ablikim et al., [BES Collaboration] Phys. Lett. B 642 (2006) 441



P. Minkowski and W. Ochs, Eur. Phys. J. C39 (2005) 71, hep-ph/0404194



 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma\gamma \ \ Collisions: \ LEP \qquad \gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_s^{\ 0} \ K_s^{\ 0} \\ M. \ Acciarri \ et \ al., [ L3 \ Collaboration ] Phys. \ Lett. \ B501 \ (2001) \ 173, \ hep-ex/0011037 \end{array}$ 

# The paper that got us interested ..



ZEUS Collaboration: S. Chekanov, et al, *Inclusive KOSKOS resonance* production in ep collisions at HERA, Phys.Rev.Lett.101:112003,2008, arXiv:0806.0807v2

Why choose strange decay?

M.Chanowitz suggests in PRL 95, 172001 (2005) that glueballs are more likely to decay to strange channels



Ensure that the final state has the same PC =++ as the lightest glueball

## Photoproduction of scalar mesons



Photoproduction might be effective in producing scalar mesons via Pomeron Exchange or via the hadronic component of the photon.

Vincent Mathieu, Nikolai Kochelev, Vicente Vento arXiv:0810.4453

Photoproduction is a good way to study scalar mesons. Dominant mechanism :  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  exchange

A. Donnachie, Y.S Kalashnikova arXiv:0806.3698v1



## Event selection



Event selection

**Ε**<sub>γ</sub> vs M(4π)



#### Cuts used in this analysis

Cut Level	Type of Cut	Size of Cut	
1	Timing Cut for identification of pions	$\pm 1 \text{ ns}$	
2	Fiducial Cut	Fit to CLAS acceptance	
3	Missing mass (proton)	$\pm 0.0497 \text{ GeV} (3\sigma)$	
4	Photon beam energy	2.7-3.0 and 3.1-5.1 GeV	
5	$K_S^0$ peak and sideband subtraction	$0.01614 \text{ GeV} (3\sigma)$	

Table I. The event selection criteria (cuts) used in this analysis.

#### M(K<sub>s</sub>K<sub>s</sub>) Spectra



#### Cuts on Mandelstam t



#### Dalitz Plots (cut on t)





#### Angular Distributions (1475 MeV)



### Angular Distributions (1525 MeV)



Cost



Pure S-wave, M(KK) Bin 1525 GeV/c

Data (Sidebands), M(KK) bin 1525 GeV/c



### Angular Distributions (1575 MeV)



#### S-wave/D-wave fraction vs. M(KK)

Mass Bin S-wave fraction		S-wave fraction
(MeV)	(S+B region)	(Sidebands)
1000-1050	$1.000 \pm 0.045$	$1.000 \pm 0.031$
1050-1100	$1.000 \pm 0.031$	$1.000 \pm 0.029$
1100-1150	$0.973 \pm 0.025$	$0.982\pm0.018$
1150-1200	$1.000 \pm 0.023$	$1.000 \pm 0.015$
1200-1250	$1.000 \pm 0.022$	$1.000 \pm 0.011$
1250-1300	$1.000 \pm 0.013$	$1.000 \pm 0.063$
1300-1350	$1.000 \pm 0.020$	$1.000 \pm 0.011$
1350-1400	$1.000 \pm 0.028$	$1.000 \pm 0.026$
1400-1450	$1.000 \pm 0.025$	$0.922\pm0.019$
1450-1500	$0.928 \pm 0.037$	$0.890 \pm 0.023$
1500-1550	$0.903 \pm 0.039$	$0.879 \pm 0.021$
1550-1600	$0.803\pm0.044$	$0.897\pm0.024$
1600-1650	$0.791 \pm 0.056$	$0.883 \pm 0.032$
1650-1700	$0.762 \pm 0.052$	$0.910 \pm 0.031$
1700-1750	$0.660 \pm 0.053$	$0.902 \pm 0.033$
1750-1800	$0.690 \pm 0.071$	$0.941 \pm 0.041$
1800-1850	$0.845 \pm 0.086$	$0.994 \pm 0.096$

Table II. Fraction of S-wave from fits to the S+B and sideband regions.

#### Summary

- We see a significant peak above background at a mass of 1.50 GeV in M(K<sub>s</sub>K<sub>s</sub>) in g12 data.
  The calibrations for g12 are better than ~5 MeV.
- The peak at 1.50 GeV is enhanced at low |t|.
  This is indicative of t-channel production.
- Angular distribution in the GJ frame suggest (but do not prove) that it is mostly S-wave.
  - This suggests we see the  $f_0(1500)$  but cannot rule out some portion of the  $f_2(1525)$  being present.
- Better data will be available at CLAS12 with FT.