

^3He as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions

Emanuele Pace – Università di Roma “Tor Vergata” and INFN, Roma Tor Vergata, Italy

Alessio Del Dotto – INFN, Roma, Italy and TJLAB, USA

Leonid Kaptari – JINR, Dubna, Russia

Giovanni Salmè – INFN, Roma, Italy

Sergio Scopetta – Università di Perugia and INFN, Sezione di Perugia, Italy

Outline

- Polarized ${}^3\text{He}$ as an effective polarized neutron
- Neutron magnetic form factor from ${}^3\vec{\text{H}}\text{e}(\vec{e}, e')$
- Longitudinal asymmetry $A_{\vec{n}}$ and g_1^n from ${}^3\text{He}$: problems
- **SIDIS off ${}^3\text{He}$** and information on the **neutron** parton structure
 - A **distorted spectral function** which includes the final state interaction between the observed pion and the remnant
 - **Extraction of Collins and Sivers neutron asymmetries** from ${}^3\text{He}$
Del Dotto, Kaptari, E. P., Salmè, Scopetta, PRC 89 (2014) 035206; arXiv:1704.06182
 - **Spectator SIDIS ${}^3\vec{\text{H}}\text{e}(\vec{e}, e' {}^2\text{H})X \rightarrow g_1^p$ for a bound proton**
- A Poincarè covariant spectral function for ${}^3\text{He}$ in the light-front dynamics Del Dotto, E. P., Salmè, Scopetta, PR C95 (2017) 014001
- Conclusions and Perspectives

Forthcoming 12 GeV Experiments at TJLAB

● SIDIS regime on polarized ^3He , e.g.

Hall A, [http : //hallaweb.jlab.org/12GeV/](http://hallaweb.jlab.org/12GeV/)


H. Gao et al, PR12-09-014 (Rating A): Target Single-Spin Asymmetry in Semi-Inclusive Deep-Inelastic ($e, e'\pi^\pm$) Reaction on a Transversely Polarized ^3He Target at 8.8 and 11 GeV

J.P. Chen et al, PR12-11-007 (Rating A): Asymmetries in Semi-Inclusive Deep-Inelastic ($e, e'\pi^\pm$) Reactions on a Longitudinally Polarized ^3He Target
[www.jlab.org/ jinhuang/12GeV/12GeVLongitudinalHe3.pdf](http://www.jlab.org/jinhuang/12GeV/12GeVLongitudinalHe3.pdf)

Cates G. et al., E12-09-018, JLAB approved experiment : Target Single-Spin Asymmetries in Semi-Inclusive Pion and Kaon Electroproduction on a Transversely Polarized ^3He Target
hallaweb.jlab.org/collab/PAC/PAC38/E12-09-018-SIDIS.pdf

The neutron information from ^3He

^3He is the ideal target to study the polarized neutron:



... But the bound nucleons in ^3He are moving!

Dynamical nuclear effects can be evaluated with a realistic spin-dependent spectral function for $^3\vec{H}e$, $P_{\sigma,\sigma',M}(\vec{p}, E)$.

E. g. in inclusive DIS ($^3\vec{H}e(\vec{e}, e')X$) it was found that the formula

$$A_n \simeq \frac{1}{p_n d_n} (A_3^{exp} - 2p_p d_p A_p^{exp}), \quad (\text{Ciofi degli Atti et al., PRC48(1993)R968})$$

can be used \longrightarrow widely used by experimental collaborations. d_p, d_n are *dilution factors* and the nuclear effects are hidden in the “effective polarizations”

$$p_p = -0.023 \quad (Av18) \quad p_n = 0.878 \quad (Av18)$$

Neutron magnetic form factor from ${}^3\text{He}(\vec{e}, e')$

Xu et al. PRC 85 (2000), PRC 67 (2003); Anderson et al. PRC 75 (2007)


$A = (\sigma^+ - \sigma^-)/(\sigma^+ + \sigma^-)$ σ^\pm differential cross section for quasi-elastic scattering of electrons with helicity $h = \pm 1$ from polarized ${}^3\text{He}$.

$$A_{T'} = -\frac{\nu_{T'} R_{T'}}{\nu_L R_L + \nu_T R_T}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{transverse asymmetry} \\ \text{target spin along } \mathbf{q} \end{array}$$

$R_{T'}$ spin-dependent response function ν_k kinematical factors

$$R_{T'} \propto p_n (G_M^n)^2 + 2p_p (G_M^p)^2 \implies A_{T'} [(G_M^n)^2] = \frac{1 + a(G_M^n)^2}{b + c(G_M^n)^2} \quad a \gg 1, \quad b > c$$

G_M^n was obtained through a comparison with theoretical calculations



For $Q^2 = 0.1$ and 0.2 (GeV/c)² a Faddeev ${}^3\text{He}$ wave function including **FSI** and **MEC** was used.

For Q^2 from 0.3 to 0.6 (GeV/c)² a **PWIA** calculation with relativistic kinematics was used.

Reasonable agreement with the more recent deuterium measurements.

DIS from ^3He - longitudinal asymmetry - g_1^n

convolution approach *Ciofi degli Atti et al., PRC 48 (1993) R968*

$$A_{||} = \frac{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow} - \sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}} = 2x \frac{g_1^A(x)}{F_2^A(x)} \equiv A_{\vec{A}} \quad \sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow(\uparrow\downarrow)} \text{ differential cross section for target spin parallel (antiparallel) to electron spin}$$

$x = Q^2/2M\nu$ Bjorken variable

g_1^A and F_2^A spin-dependent and spin-independent structure functions of the target

in the Bjorken limit ($\nu/|\mathbf{q}| \rightarrow 1$)

$$g_1^A(x) = \sum_N \int_x^A dz \frac{1}{z} g_1^N\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) G^N(z)$$

$G^N(z)$ spin-dependent light cone momentum distribution

$$G^N(z) = \int dE \int d\mathbf{p} \left\{ P_{||}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) - \left[1 - \frac{p_{||}}{E_p + M} \right] \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{M} \mathcal{P}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) \right\} \delta\left(z - \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{M\nu}\right)$$

$$P_{||}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) = P_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}M}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) - P_{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}M}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) \quad , \quad P_{\perp}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) = 2P_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}M}^N(\mathbf{p}, E)e^{i\phi}$$

$$\mathcal{P}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) = \sin \alpha P_{\perp}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) + \cos \alpha P_{||}^N(\mathbf{p}, E)$$

Neutron asymmetry $A_{\vec{n}}$ and g_1^n from ${}^3\text{He}$

Ciofi degli Atti et al., PRC 48 (1993) R968

$G^{p(n)}(z)$ resemble a δ function around $z = 1$ \rightarrow approximate formulas

$$g_1^{3\text{He}}(x) = 2p_p g_1^p(x) + p_n g_1^n(x) \quad A_{\vec{3}\text{He}} = 2d_p p_p A_{\vec{p}} + d_n p_n A_{\vec{n}}$$



$$A_{\vec{p}(\vec{n})} = 2x g_1^{p(n)} / F_2^{p(n)} \quad \text{proton (neutron) asymmetry}$$

$$d_{p(n)} = F_2^{p(n)} / (2F_2^p + F_2^n) \quad \text{proton (neutron) dilution factor}$$

The nuclear effects are hidden in the “effective polarizations”

$$p_p = P_p^+ - P_p^- \quad p_n = P_n^+ - P_n^- \quad P_N^\pm = \int P_{\pm\frac{1}{2}\pm\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^N(\mathbf{p}, E) d\mathbf{p}dE$$

The formula $g_1^n \simeq \frac{1}{p_n} (g_1^{3\text{He}} - 2p_p g_1^p)$ can be used



g_1^n - - - free neutron
 g_1^n — appr. formula
 $A_{\vec{3}}$ - - - appr. formula
 $A_{\vec{3}}$ — full convol.

applications and problems I

The effective polarization approximation (EPA) has been widely used by experimental collaborations to extract g_1^n from measurements of $g_1^{^3\text{He}}$: P. L. Anthony *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 6620 (1996); K. Ackerstaff *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **404**, 383 (1997); K. Abe *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 26 (1997); M. Amarian *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 022301 (2004).

F. Bissey *et al.* [*Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 064317 (2002)] suggested that EPA cannot give a precise description of $g_1^{^3\text{He}}$: shadowing, antishadowing and more important the Δ in the ^3He nucleus should be included. These effects were considered by X. Zheng *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **70**, 065207 (2004) and by K. Kramer *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 142002 (2005).


The relevance of finite values of Q^2 instead of the Bjorken limit in the light cone momentum distribution G_1^N in the region around $Q^2 \approx 1$ was stressed by Kulagin and Melnitchouk [*Phys. Rev. C* **78**, 065203 (2008)].

$G_1^N(z, \gamma)$ for various values of $\gamma = |\mathbf{q}|/q_0$

$$z = y = \frac{p \cdot q}{M\nu}$$

Bjorken limit $\rightarrow \gamma = 1$

around $Q^2 \approx 1$ EPA does not give a good approximation for $g_1^{^3\text{He}}$




g_1^n from ^3He

problems II - Δ components and nuclear off-shell corrections

Ethier and Melnitchouk [Phys. Rev. C 88, 054001(2013)], following Bissey *et al.*, showed that at $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ the Δ gives a negative contribution to $g_1^{^3\text{He}}$. This contribution is offset by the positive nucleon off-shell correction obtained through p^2 dependent light-cone distributions G_{ij}^N and nucleon structure functions g_j^N : $(i, j = 1, 2)$

$$g_i^{^3\text{He}}(x, Q^2) = \int \frac{dy}{y} \int dp^2 \left[2G_{ij}^p(y, \gamma, p^2) g_j^p\left(\frac{x}{y}, Q^2, p^2\right) + G_{ij}^n(y, \gamma, p^2) g_j^n\left(\frac{x}{y}, Q^2, p^2\right) \right]$$


The off-shell corrections generated by two different models for the nucleon structure functions are similar in sign and magnitude and were found to cancel somewhat the effects of the Δ contribution bringing the total ^3He structure functions closer to the on-shell result.



Furthermore they found that at $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ EPA gives a reasonable approximation for $g_1^{^3\text{He}}$.

Single Spin Asymmetries (SSAs) with a detected π

$\vec{A}(e, e'h)X$: Unpolarized beam and T-polarized target $\rightarrow \sigma_{UT}$



$$\sigma_{U\uparrow} \equiv \frac{d^6\sigma}{dx dy d\phi_S d\mathbf{P}_h}$$

$$x \doteq \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q} \quad y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot l} \quad \boxed{\hat{q} = -\hat{e}_z}$$

The number of emitted hadrons at a given ϕ_h depends on the orientation of \vec{S}_\perp !
In SSAs 2 different mechanisms can be experimentally distinguished

$$A_{UT}^{Sivers(Collins)} = \frac{\int d\phi_S d^2 P_{h\perp} \sin(\phi_h - (+)\phi_S) \sigma_{UT}}{\int d\phi_S d^2 P_{h\perp} \sigma_{UU}}$$

with $\sigma_{UT} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{U\uparrow} - \sigma_{U\downarrow})$ $\sigma_{UU} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{U\uparrow} + \sigma_{U\downarrow})$

SSAs \rightarrow the neutron \rightarrow ^3He

SSAs for a nucleon in terms of

$h_1^{q,N}$, $f_{1T}^{\perp q,N}$, $f_1^{q,N}$ transversity, Sivers and unpolarized parton distributions
and $H_1^{\perp q,h}$, $D_1^{q,h}$ fragmentation functions:

$$A_{UT}^{Sivers} = \Delta\sigma_S^N(x, Q^2)/\sigma^N \quad A_{UT}^{Collins} = \Delta\sigma_C^N(x, Q^2)/\sigma^N$$

$$\Delta\sigma_C^N = \frac{1-y}{1-y+y^2/2} \times$$

$$\sum_q e_q^2 \int d^2\kappa_{\mathbf{T}} d^2\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}} \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{T}} - \kappa_{\mathbf{T}}) \frac{\hat{h}_{\perp} \cdot \kappa_{\mathbf{T}}}{m_h} h_1^{q,N}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}}^2) H_1^{\perp q,h}(z, (z\kappa_{\mathbf{T}})^2),$$

$$\Delta\sigma_S^N = \sum_q e_q^2 \int d^2\kappa_{\mathbf{T}} d^2\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}} \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{T}} - \kappa_{\mathbf{T}}) \frac{\hat{h}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}}}{m_N} f_{1T}^{\perp q,N}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}}^2) D_1^{q,h}(z, (z\kappa_{\mathbf{T}})^2),$$

$$\sigma^N(x, Q^2) = \sum_q e_q^2 \int d^2\kappa_{\mathbf{T}} d^2\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}} \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{T}} - \kappa_{\mathbf{T}}) f_1^{q,N}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{T}}^2) D_1^{q,h}(z, (z\kappa_{\mathbf{T}})^2),$$

\bullet LARGE A_{UT}^{Sivers} measured in $\vec{p}(e, e'\pi)x$: HERMES PRL 94, 012002 (2005)

\bullet SMALL A_{UT}^{Sivers} measured in $\vec{D}(e, e'\pi)x$: COMPASS PRL 94, 202002 (2005)

A strong flavor dependence confirmed by recent data PRL 107 (2011)

Importance of the neutron for flavor decomposition!


^3He as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions – p.11/37

\vec{n} from ${}^3\vec{H}e$: SiDIS case ${}^3\vec{H}e(e, e'\pi)X$

The process was first evaluated in IA [S.Scopetta, PRD 75 (2007) 054005]:

→ no FSI between the measured fast, ultrarelativistic π the remnant debris and the two nucleon recoiling system

$E_\pi \simeq 2.4 \text{ GeV}$ in JLAB exp at 6 GeV - Qian et al., PRL 107 (2011) 072003



SSAs involve convolutions of the **transverse light-cone momentum distributions** f_N^\perp (m.d. for a transversely polarized nucleon in a transversely polarized nucleus) with **parton distributions** AND **fragmentation functions** :

$$A_3^{C(S)} = \frac{\int_x^A d\alpha \left[\Delta\sigma_{C(S)}^n(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_n^\perp(\alpha, Q^2) + 2\Delta\sigma_{C(S)}^p(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_p^\perp(\alpha, Q^2) \right]}{\int d\alpha \left[\sigma^n(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_n(\alpha, Q^2) + 2\sigma^p(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_p(\alpha, Q^2) \right]}$$

$$f_N^\perp(\alpha, Q^2) = \int dE \int \frac{m_N}{E_N} P_N^\perp(E, \mathbf{p}) \delta\left(\alpha - \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{m_N \nu}\right) \theta\left(W_Y^2 - (m_N + m_\pi)^2\right) d^3\mathbf{p}$$

W_Y invariant mass of hadronizing debris

The **nuclear effects** were studied using the transverse **spectral function** $P_N^\perp(E, \mathbf{p})$ for a transv. polarized nucleon in a transv. polarized nucleus and models for $f_{1T}^{\perp q}$, $D_1^{q,h}$...



\vec{n} from ${}^3\vec{H}e$: SiDIS case

Ingredients of the calculations :

- A realistic **spin-dependent spectral function** of ${}^3\text{He}$ (C. Ciofi degli Atti et al., PRC 46 (1992) R 1591; A. Kievsky et al., PRC 56 (1997) 64) obtained using the **AV18** interaction and the **wave functions** evaluated by the **Pisa** group [A. Kievsky et al., NPA 577 (1994) 511] (small effects from 3-body interactions)
- Parametrizations of data for **pdfs** and **fragmentation functions** whenever available:
 $f_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$, Glueck et al., EPJ C (1998) 461 ,
 $f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$, Anselmino et al., PRD 72 (2005) 094007,
 $D_1^{q,h}(z, (z\kappa_T)^2)$, Kretzer, PRD 62 (2000) 054001
- Models for the unknown **pdfs** and **fragmentation functions**:
 $h_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$, Glueck et al., PRD 63 (2001) 094005,
 $H_1^{\perp q,h}(z, (z\kappa_T)^2)$ Amrath et al., PRD 71 (2005) 114018

Results will be model dependent. Anyway, the aim for the moment is **to study nuclear effects**.

\vec{n} from ${}^3\vec{H}e$: A_{UT}^S, A_{UT}^C @JLab in IA (E = 8.8 GeV)



FULL: Neutron asymmetry (model)

DASHED : Neutron asymmetry extracted from 3He (calculation) taking into account nuclear structure effects through the formula:

$$A_n \simeq \frac{1}{p_n d_n} \left(A_3^{calc} - 2p_p d_p A_p^{model} \right)$$

$$p_N = \int d\alpha f_N^\perp(\alpha, Q^2)$$


$$d_N(x, z) = \frac{\sigma^N(x, Q^2, z)}{\sigma^n(x, Q^2, z) + 2\sigma^p(x, Q^2, z)}$$

The extraction procedure successful in DIS works also in SiDIS, for both Collins and Sivers SSAs !

3He as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions – p.14/37

FSI: Generalized Eikonal Approximation (GEA)

Del Dotto, Kaptari, Pace, Salmè, Scopetta, PRC 89 (2014) 035206; arXiv:1704.06182



Relative energy between $A - 1$ and the remnants: a few GeV \rightarrow **eikonal** approximation

$$d\sigma \simeq l^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}^A(S_A)$$

$$W_{\mu\nu}^A(S_A) \approx \sum_{A-1, Y} J_{\mu}^A J_{\nu}^A$$

$$J_{\mu}^A \simeq \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \hat{G} \{ \Phi_{\epsilon_{A-1}^*}, \lambda', \mathbf{p}_N \} | \hat{j}_{\mu}(i) | S_A \mathbf{P} \rangle$$

$\hat{G} = \text{Glauber operator}$

$$Y = h + X'$$

$$J_{\mu}(i) \approx \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 d\mathbf{r}_3 \Psi_{23}^{*f}(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) e^{-i\mathbf{p}_Y \mathbf{r}_1} \chi_{S_Y}^+ \phi^*(\xi_Y) \cdot \hat{G}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) \hat{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}_1) \Psi_3^{S_A}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)$$

IF $\left[\hat{G}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3), \hat{j}_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}_1) \right] = 0$ THEN (FACTORIZED FSI!):

$$W_{\mu\nu}^A = \sum_{N, \lambda, \lambda'} \int dE d\mathbf{p} \frac{m_N}{E_N} w_{\mu\nu}^{N, \lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{p}) P_{N \lambda\lambda'}^{FSI}(E, \mathbf{p}, \dots) \quad \text{CONVOLUTION!}$$

$$\text{nucleon tensor } w_{\mu\nu}^{N, \lambda\lambda'}(\mathbf{p}) \approx \sum_{X'} \langle p, \lambda' | \hat{j}_{\mu}^N | P_h, X' \rangle \langle P_h, X' | \hat{j}_{\nu}^N | p, \lambda \rangle \delta^4(q + p - P_h - P_{X'}) d\tau_{X'}$$

³He as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions – p.15/37

FSI: distorted spin-dependent spectral function of ^3He

Kaptari, Del Dotto, Pace, Salmè, Scopetta, PRC 89 (2014) 035206; arXiv:1704.06182

Relevant part of the **GEA-distorted** spectral function for transverse asymmetries:

$$P_N^{\perp,FSI}(E, \mathbf{p}) \equiv \Re e \left[P_{N \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{FSI \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}(E, \mathbf{p}) + P_{N \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}^{FSI - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}}(E, \mathbf{p}) \right] \quad \text{with}$$

$$P_{N, \lambda \lambda'}^{FSI M, M'}(E, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{f_{A-1}} \not\int_{\epsilon_{A-1}^*} \rho(\epsilon_{A-1}^*) \langle M', \mathbf{P}_A | \hat{G} \{ \Phi_{\epsilon_{A-1}^*}^{f_{A-1}}, \lambda', \mathbf{p}_N \} \rangle \times$$


$$\langle \hat{G} \{ \Phi_{\epsilon_{A-1}^*}^{f_{A-1}}, \lambda, \mathbf{p}_N \} | M, \mathbf{P}_A \rangle \delta(E - B_A - \epsilon_{A-1}^*) \quad M, M' : \text{polarizations along } \mathbf{q}$$

Glauber operator: $\hat{G}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) = \prod_{i=2,3} [1 - \theta(z_i - z_1) \Gamma(\mathbf{b}_{i1}, z_{i1})]$, $\mathbf{r}_i \equiv (\mathbf{b}_i, z_i)$

gener. profile function: $\Gamma(\mathbf{b}_{i1}, z_{i1}) = \frac{(1-i\eta) \sigma_{eff}(z_{i1})}{4\pi b_0^2} \exp\left[-\frac{\mathbf{b}_{i1}^2}{2b_0^2}\right]$, $\mathbf{r}_{i1} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_1$

(hadronization model: Kopeliovich et al., NPA 2004; σ_{eff} model: Ciofi & Kopeliovich, EPJA 2003;

successfull application to unpolarized $^2H(e, e'p)X$: Ciofi & Kaptari PRC 2011)




^3He as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions – p.16/37

FSI: distorted spin-dependent spectral function of ${}^3\text{He}$

Kaptari, Del Dotto, Pace, Salmè, Scopetta, PRC 89 (2014) 035206; arXiv:1704.06182

- While \mathcal{P}^{IA} depends on ground state properties, \mathcal{P}^{FSI} is process dependent: for each experimental point $(x, Q^2 \dots)$ a different \mathcal{P}^{FSI} has to be evaluated !
- \mathcal{P}^{FSI} : a really cumbersome quantity, a very demanding evaluation (≈ 1 Mega CPU*hours @ “Zefiro” PC-farm, PISA, INFN “gruppo 4”).

\mathcal{P}^{IA} and \mathcal{P}^{FSI} , as well as the unpolarized and the transverse light-cone momentum distributions f_N^{IA} and f_N^{FSI} can be very different (JLAB kinematics - $\mathcal{E}=8.8$ GeV)





FSI's have therefore a strong effect on the spin-dependent and spin-independent SIDIS cross sections

$$\alpha = p \cdot q / m_N \nu$$

FSI effects on asymmetries, polarizations and dilution factors

In asymmetries light-cone m. d. f_N appear in the numerator and in the denominator

$$A_3^{C(S)} = \frac{\int_x^A d\alpha \left[\Delta\sigma_{C(S)}^n(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_n^\perp(\alpha, Q^2) + 2\Delta\sigma_{C(S)}^p(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_p^\perp(\alpha, Q^2) \right]}{\int d\alpha \left[\sigma^n(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_n(\alpha, Q^2) + 2\sigma^p(x/\alpha, Q^2) f_p(\alpha, Q^2) \right]}$$




Sivers and Collins asymmetries evaluated taking into account FSI effects (full line) and in PWIA (dashed line).

FSI's change effective polarizations $p_{p(n)}$ by 10-15 %, but the products $p_{p(n)}^{FSI} d_{p(n)}^{FSI}$ and

$p_{p(n)}^{IA} d_{p(n)}^{IA}$ are essentially the same. $d_N = \sigma^N / (N_n \sigma^n + 2N_p \sigma^p)$ $N_N = \int d\alpha f_N(\alpha)$

Good news from GEA studies of FSI!



Effects of GEA-FSI (shown at $E_i = 8.8$ GeV) in the dilution factors and in the effective polarizations compensate each other to a large extent: the **usual extraction** is safe!

$$A_n \approx \frac{1}{p_n^{FSI} d_n^{FSI}} \left(A_3^{FSI} - 2p_p^{FSI} d_p^{FSI} A_p^{exp} \right) \approx \frac{1}{p_n^{IA} d_n^{IA}} \left(A_3^{IA} - 2p_p^{IA} d_p^{IA} A_p^{exp} \right)$$

A. Del Dotto, L. Kaptari, E. Pace, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta, arXiv:1704.06182, submitted to PRC

Spectator SIDIS ${}^3\vec{\text{H}}\text{e}(\vec{e}, e' {}^2\text{H})X \rightarrow g_1^p$ for a bound proton

Kaptari, Del Dotto, Pace, Salme', Scopetta PRC 89, 035206 (2014)

The distorted spin-dependent spectral function of ${}^3\text{He}$ with the Glauber operator \hat{G} can be used to study the "spectator SIDIS" process, where a slowly recoiling two-nucleon system is detected.

Final goal \longrightarrow polarized parton distribution $g_1^N(x_N = \frac{Q^2}{2p_N q})$ of a bound nucleon.

Longitudinal asymmetry of electrons with opposite helicities scattered off a longitudinally polarized ${}^3\text{He}$ for parallel kinematics ($\mathbf{p}_N = -\mathbf{p}_{mis} \equiv -\mathbf{P}_{A-1} \parallel \hat{z}$, with $\hat{z} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{q}}$)

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma^{\hat{S}_A}}{d\varphi_e dx dy d\mathbf{P}_D} \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{\hat{S}_A}(h_e = 1) - d\sigma^{\hat{S}_A}(h_e = -1)}{d\varphi_e dx dy d\mathbf{P}_D} =$$

$$\approx 4 \frac{\alpha_{em}^2}{Q^2 z_N \mathcal{E}} \frac{m_N}{E_N} g_1^p\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{p}_{mis}) \mathcal{E}(2-y) \left[1 - \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{mis}|}{m_N}\right] \quad \text{Bjorken limit}$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2m_N \nu}, \quad y = (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}')/\mathcal{E}, \quad z = (p_N \cdot q)/m_N \nu$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{p}_{mis}) = \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}} - \mathcal{O}_{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{parallel component of the spectral function}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda\lambda'}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}'(FSI)}(\mathbf{P}_D, E_{2bbu}) = \left\langle \hat{G} \{ \Psi_{\mathbf{P}_D}, \lambda, \mathbf{p}_N \} | \Psi_A^{\mathcal{M}} \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{q}}} \left\langle \Psi_A^{\mathcal{M}'} | \hat{G} \{ \Psi_{\mathbf{P}_D}, \lambda', \mathbf{p}_N \} \right\rangle_{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}$$



For a detected pp pair the neutron structure function g_1^n could be obtained.

Spectator SIDIS ${}^3\vec{\text{H}}e(\vec{e}, e' {}^2\text{H})X \rightarrow g_1^p$ for a bound proton

Kaptari, Del Dotto, Pace, Salme', Scopetta PRC 89, 035206 (2014)

The kinematical variables upon which $g_1^N(x_N)$ depends can be changed independently from the ones of the nuclear-structure $\mathcal{P}_{\parallel}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{p}_{mis})$. This allows to single out a kinematical region where the final-state effects are minimized: $|\mathbf{p}_{mis} \equiv \mathbf{P}_D| \simeq 1 \text{ fm}^{-1}$. Then a direct access to $g_1^N(x_N)$ is feasible.

Spectator SiDIS with a deuteron in the final state : ${}^3\vec{\text{H}}e(\vec{e}, e' {}^2\text{H})X \quad \mathcal{E} = 12 \text{ GeV}$



However for $x \geq 0.6$ one enters the resonance region and the model of the GEA approach should be modified.

Poincarè covariance - JLAB experiments

@12 GeV

The **Relativistic Hamiltonian Dynamics (RHD)** of an interacting system, introduced by Dirac (1949), *plus* the Bakamijan-Thomas construction of the Poincarè generators allow one to generate a description of DIS, SIDIS, DVCS off ^3He which :

- is fully Poincarè covariant
- has a fixed number of on-mass-shell constituents

The **Light-Front** form of **RHD** is adopted. It has **7 kinematical generators**, a **subgroup** structure of the **LF boosts** (separation of the **intrinsic motion** from the global one: **very important for us !**) and a **meaningful Fock expansion**.

- It allows one to take advantage of the whole successful non-relativistic phenomenology for the nuclear interaction
- A **Light-Front spin-dependent Spectral Function** can be defined to be used to describe DIS and SIDIS processes. It implements **macroscopic locality** (\equiv **cluster separability**): i.e. **observables associated with different space-time regions commute in the limit of large spacelike separation, rather than for arbitrary (μ -locality) spacelike separations** (Keister-Polyzou, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **21** (1991))

Light-Front Hamiltonian Dynamics

Among the possible forms of RHD, the Light-Front one has several advantages:

- 7 Kinematical generators: i) three LF boosts (at variance with the dynamical nature of the Instant-form boosts), ii) $\tilde{P} = (P^+, \mathbf{P}_\perp)$, iii) Rotation around the z-axis.
- The LF boosts have a subgroup structure, then one gets a trivial separation of the intrinsic motion (as in the non relativistic case).
- $P^+ \geq 0$ leads to a meaningful Fock expansion.
- No square roots in the dynamical operator P^- , propagating the state in the LF-time.
- The IMF description of DIS is easily included.

Drawback: the transverse LF-rotations are dynamical

- However, using the BT construction, one can define a *kinematical*, intrinsic angular momentum (**very important for us!**) .

Bakamjian-Thomas construction and the Light-Front Hamiltonian Dynamics

- An explicit construction of the 10 Poincaré generators, in presence of interactions, was given by Bakamjian and Thomas (PR 92 (1953) 1300).

The key ingredient is the mass operator :

- only the mass operator M contains the interaction;
- it generates the dependence upon the interaction of the three dynamical generators in LFHD, namely P^- and the LF transverse rotations \vec{F}_\perp ;

- The mass operator is the free mass, M_0 , plus an interaction V , or $M_0^2 + U$. The interaction, U or V , must commute with all the kinematical generators, and with the non-interacting angular momentum, as in the non-relativistic case.

- For the two-nucleon case it allows one to embed easily the NR phenomenology:

$$[M_0^2 + U] |\psi_D\rangle = [4m^2 + 4k^2 + 4mV^{NR}] |\psi_D\rangle = M_D^2 |\psi_D\rangle \sim [4m^2 - 4mB_D] |\psi_D\rangle$$

- For the three-body case the mass operator is

$$M_{BT}(123) = M_0(123) + V_{12,3}^{BT} + V_{23,1}^{BT} + V_{31,2}^{BT} + V_{123}^{BT} \sim M^{NR}$$

where

$$M_0(123) = \sqrt{m^2 + k_1^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + k_2^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + k_3^2} \quad \text{is the free mass operator}$$

LF Nucleon Spectral Function for ${}^3\text{He}$

A. Del Dotto, E. Pace, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta, PR C95 (2017) 014001

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma'\sigma}^{\tau_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}, \epsilon, S) = \rho(\epsilon) \sum_{JJ_z \alpha} \sum_{T\tau} {}_{LF} \langle \tau T; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_z; \tau_1 \sigma', \tilde{\mathbf{k}} | \Psi_0; ST_z \rangle \langle ST_z; \Psi_0 | \tilde{\mathbf{k}}, \sigma \tau_1; JJ_z; \epsilon, \alpha; T\tau \rangle_{LF}$$

$\rho(\epsilon) \equiv$ density of the t-b states: 1 for the bound state, and $m\sqrt{m\epsilon}/2$ for the excited ones

$${}_{LF} \langle T\tau; \alpha, \epsilon; JJ_z; \tau_1 \sigma, \tilde{\mathbf{k}} | j, j_z; \epsilon^3, \Pi; \frac{1}{2} T_z \rangle = \sum_{\tau_2 \tau_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_{23} \sum_{\sigma'_1} D^{\frac{1}{2}}[\mathcal{R}_M(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})]_{\sigma\sigma'_1} \times$$

$$\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2E(\mathbf{k})} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^+ E_{23}}{k^+ E_S}} \sum_{\sigma''_2, \sigma''_3} \sum_{\sigma'_2, \sigma'_3} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma''_2, \sigma'_2}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{23}, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_2) \mathcal{D}_{\sigma''_3, \sigma'_3}(-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{23}, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_3) \times$$

$${}_{NR} \langle T_{23}, \tau_{23}; \alpha, \epsilon_{23}; j_{23} j_{23z} | \mathbf{k}_{23}, \sigma''_2, \sigma''_3; \tau_2, \tau_3 \rangle \langle \sigma'_3, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_1; \tau_3, \tau_2, \tau_1; \mathbf{k}_{23}, \mathbf{k} | j, j_z; \epsilon^3, \Pi; \frac{1}{2} T_z \rangle_{NR}$$

● $\mathbf{k}_\perp = \boldsymbol{\kappa}_\perp, \quad k^+ = \xi M_0(123) = \kappa^+ \mathcal{M}_0(123) / \mathcal{M}_0(1, 23)$

● $\mathcal{M}_0(1, 23) = \sqrt{m^2 + |\boldsymbol{\kappa}_1|^2} + \sqrt{M_S^2 + |\boldsymbol{\kappa}|^2} \quad \text{with} \quad M_S = 2\sqrt{m^2 + m\epsilon_S}$

$$M_0^2(1, 2, 3) = \frac{m^2 + k_\perp^2}{\xi} + \frac{M_{23}^2 + k_\perp^2}{1 - \xi} \quad \text{with} \quad M_{23} = 2\sqrt{(m^2 + |\mathbf{k}_{23}|^2)}$$

● $D^{\frac{1}{2}}[\mathcal{R}_M(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})]_{\sigma\sigma'_1}$ Melosh operator

Conclusions & Perspectives

Polarized ^3He targets are an essential tool for studying the neutron spin structure, both in the quasi-elastic and in the DIS region, for inclusive or semi-inclusive processes.

The increasing levels of precision of polarized experiments requires a good understanding of the nuclear effects, **beyond the PWIA in the Bjorken limit**.

E.g. **FSI, Δ and nucleon off-shell effects** in the nucleus are to be considered.

We studied DIS and SIDIS processes off ^3He **beyond** the **NR, IA** approach.

- **FSI effects are studied by a Generalized Eikonal Approx.:**
 - a **NR distorted spin-dependent spectral function** is defined
 - - A procedure to extract Sivers and Collins neutron asymm. from ^3He was shown useful, even taking into account the FSI
 - "Spectator SIDIS" processes, where a two-nucleon system is detected, could allow to obtain g_1^N for a bound nucleon.
- **A Poincaré covariant description for ^3He , based on the Light-front Hamiltonian Dynamics, has been proposed**
 - **Our goal** : to evaluate SIDIS cross sections off ^3He with **relativistic FSI**, through our LF spin-dependent spectral function

^3He as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions – p.26/37

Why a relativistic treatment ?

- The Standard Model of Few-Nucleon Systems, where nucleon and pion degrees of freedom are taken into account, has achieved a very high degrees of sophistication.
- Nonetheless, one should try to fulfill, as much as possible, the relativistic constraints, dictated by the covariance with respect the Poincaré Group, \mathcal{G}_P , if processes involving nucleons with high 3-momentum are considered and a high precision is needed.
This is the case if one studies, e.g., i) the nucleon structure functions (unpolarized and polarized); ii) the nucleon TMDs, iii) signatures of short-range correlations; iv) SIDIS processes.
- At least, one should carefully deal with the boosts of the nuclear states, $|\Psi_{init}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{fin}\rangle$!

Poincaré covariance and locality

General principles to be implemented

★ Extended Poincaré covariance

$$[P^\mu, P^\nu] = 0, \quad [M^{\mu\nu}, P^\rho] = -i(g^{\mu\rho} P^\nu - g^{\nu\rho} P^\mu),$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = -i(g^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\nu\sigma} M^{\mu\rho} - g^{\mu\sigma} M^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\rho} M^{\mu\sigma})$$

\mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} have to be taken into account !

★ ★ Macroscopic locality (\equiv cluster separability): i.e. observables associated with different space-time regions commute in the limit of large spacelike separation, rather than for arbitrary (μ -locality) spacelike separations (Keister-Polyzou, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 21, 225 (1991))

Adopted Tool: The Dirac Relativistic Hamiltonian Dynamics in the Light-Front form

The BT Mass operator for A=2 and A=3 nuclei

- For the two-body case, e.g. the deuteron, the Schrödinger eq. can be rewritten as follows

$$\left[4m^2 + 4k^2 + 4mV^{NR} \right] |\psi_D\rangle = \left[4m^2 - 4mB_D \right] |\psi_D\rangle$$

$$\left[M_0^2(12) + 4mV^{NR} \right] |\psi_D\rangle = \left[M_D^2 - B_D^2 \right] |\psi_D\rangle \sim M_D^2 |\psi_D\rangle$$

and the identification between v_{12}^{BT} and $4mV^{NR}$ naturally stems out, disregarding correction of the order $(B_D/M_D)^2$

- For the three-body case the mass operator is

$$M_{BT}(123) = M_0(123) + V_{12,3}^{BT} + V_{23,1}^{BT} + V_{31,2}^{BT} + V_{123}^{BT} \sim M^{NR}$$

where

$$M_0(123) = \sqrt{m^2 + k_1^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + k_2^2} + \sqrt{m^2 + k_3^2}$$

is the free mass operator, with $\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 = 0$

V_{123}^{BT} is a short-range three-body force

Final remark: the commutation rules impose to V^{BT} analogous properties as the ones of V^{NR} , with respect to the total 4-momentum and to the total angular momentum.

The BT Mass operator for A=3 nuclei

The NR mass operator is written as

$$M^{NR} = 3m + \sum_{i=1,3} \frac{k_i^2}{2m} + V_{12}^{NR} + V_{23}^{NR} + V_{31}^{NR} + V_{123}^{NR}$$

and must obey to the commutation rules proper of the Galilean group, leading to translational invariance and independence of total 3-momentum.

Those properties are analogous to the ones in the BT construction. This allows us to consider the standard non relativistic mass operator as a sensible BT mass operator, and embed it in a Poincaré covariant approach.

$$M_{BT}(123) = M_0(123) + V_{12,3}^{BT} + V_{23,1}^{BT} + V_{31,2}^{BT} + V_{123}^{BT} \sim M^{NR}$$

The 2-body phase-shifts contain the relativistic dynamics, and the Lippmann-Schwinger eq., like the Schrödinger one, has a suitable structure for the BT construction. Therefore what has been learned till now, within a non relativistic framework, about the nuclear interaction can be re-used in a Poincaré covariant framework

³He as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions – p.30/37

To complete the matter: the spin

- Coupling intrinsic spins and orbital angular momenta is easily accomplished within the **Instant Form of RHD** through the usual **Clebsch-Gordan coefficients**, since in this form the **three generators of the rotations are independent of interaction**.
- To embed this machinery in the LFHD one needs unitary operators, the so-called Melosh rotations that relate the LF spin wave function and the canonical one. For a (1/2)-particle with LF momentum $\tilde{k} \equiv \{k^+, \vec{k}_\perp\}$

$$|s, \sigma'\rangle_{LF} = \sum_{\sigma} D_{\sigma, \sigma'}^{1/2}(R_M(\tilde{k})) |s, \sigma\rangle_{IF}$$

where

$D_{\sigma, \sigma'}^{1/2}(R_M(\tilde{k}))$ is the standard Wigner function for the $J = 1/2$ case ,

$R_M(\tilde{k})$ is the rotation between the rest frames of the particle reached through a LF boost or a canonical boost.

- For quantities, like the Spectral Function, one can easily take care of the Melosh rotations. Schematically one has

$$O_{\sigma''', \sigma}^{LF} = \sum_{\sigma'', \sigma'} D_{\sigma''', \sigma''}^{1/2}(R_M^\dagger) O_{\sigma'', \sigma'}^{IF} D_{\sigma', \sigma}^{1/2}(R_M)$$

The Light-Front Nucleon Spectral Function

Nucleon Spectral Function: probability distribution to find a nucleon with given 3-momentum, and missing energy inside the nucleus.

For a **polarized nucleus** in a **NR framework**

$$P_{\sigma, \sigma', \mathcal{M}_z}^N(\vec{p}, E) = \sum_{f_{(A-1)}} N \langle \vec{p}, \sigma; \psi_{f_{(A-1)}} | \psi_{J\mathcal{M}_z}^A \rangle \langle \psi_{J\mathcal{M}_z}^A | \psi_{f_{(A-1)}}; \vec{p}, \sigma' \rangle_N \delta(E - E_{f_{(A-1)}} + E_A)$$

● $|\psi_{J\mathcal{M}_z}^A\rangle$: ground state, eigensolution of

$$M_A^{NR} |\psi_{J\mathcal{M}_z}^A\rangle = E_A |\psi_{J\mathcal{M}_z}^A\rangle$$

● $|\psi_{f_{(A-1)}}\rangle$: a state of the $(A - 1)$ -nucleon spectator system: **fully interacting !**

$$M_{(A-1)}^{NR} |\psi_{f_{(A-1)}}\rangle = E_{f_{(A-1)}} |\psi_{f_{(A-1)}}\rangle$$

● \mathbf{p} and E are the active nucleon 3-momentum and missing energy, respectively

● NR overlaps $N \langle \vec{p}, \sigma; \psi_{f_{(A-1)}} | \psi_{J\mathcal{M}_z} \rangle$ with the same interaction in A and $A - 1$

Normalization and momentum sum rule

From the normalization of the Spectral Function one has

$$\int_0^\infty dz f_{p(n)}^A(z) = 1$$

Then one obtains

$$N_A = \frac{1}{A} \int_0^\infty dz \left[Z f_p^A(z) + (A - Z) f_n^A(z) \right] = 1$$
$$MSR = \frac{1}{A} \int_0^\infty dz z \left[Z f_p^A(z) + (A - Z) f_n^A(z) \right] = \frac{1}{A}$$

By using the ${}^3\text{He}$ wave function, corresponding to the NN interaction AV18, that was evaluated by Kievsky, Rosati and Viviani (Nucl. Phys. A551, 241 (1993)) we obtain

$$MSR_{calc} = 0.3331$$


Namely, within LFHD normalization and momentum sum rule do not conflict !!

We used the Pisa group wave function to evaluate

$$R_2^A(x) = \frac{A F_2^A(x)}{Z F_2^p(x) + (A - Z) F_2^n(x)}$$


Preliminary Results for ^3He EMC effect

We have first calculated the contribution from the **2B channel**, with the spectator pair in a **deuteron state**



- Solid line: calculation with the **LF Spectral Function**.
- Dashed line: as the solid line, but with $\sqrt{\bar{k}_{23}^2} = 136.37 \text{ MeV}$ for D (AV18).
- Dotted line: **LF Momentum Distribution** with only two-body contribution

Preliminary results for ${}^3\text{He}$ EMC effect



Pace, Del Dotto, Kaptari, Rinaldi,
Salmè, Scopetta,
Few-Body Syst. 57(2016)601

$$R_2^A(x) = \frac{A F_2^A(x)}{Z F_2^p(x) + (A - Z) F_2^n(x)}$$

- Solid line: **LF Spectral Function**, with the exact calculation for the 2-body channel, and an average energy in the 3-body contribution: $\langle \bar{k}_{23} \rangle = 113.53 \text{ MeV}$ (proton), $\langle \bar{k}_{23} \rangle = 91.27 \text{ MeV}$ (neutron).
- Dotted line: **LF momentum distribution**

Within the LF framework normalization and momentum sum rule are fulfilled automatically.

Big difference from the IF approach !

${}^3\text{He}$ as a laboratory to study neutron structure from elastic form factors to transverse momentum parton distributions – p.35/37

What about relativity in SIDIS?

GOOD *preliminary* NEWS

We are now going to evaluate the SSAs using the **LF hadronic tensor**, to check whether the proposed extraction procedure still holds within the **LF approach**. We have preliminary encouraging indications:

- **LF longitudinal** and **transverse** polarizations change little from the NR ones:

	<i>proton NR</i>	<i>proton LF</i>	<i>neutron NR</i>	<i>neutron LF</i>
$\int dE d\vec{p} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{P}\sigma_z) \vec{S}_{A=\hat{z}}$	-0.02263	-0.02231	0.87805	0.87248
$\int dE d\vec{p} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{P}\sigma_y) \vec{S}_{A=\hat{y}}$	-0.02263	-0.02268	0.87805	0.87494

The difference between the effective **longitudinal** and **transverse** polarizations is a measure of the relativistic content of the system.

The extraction procedure should work well within **the LF approach** as it does in the non relativistic case.

Conclusions & Perspectives I

- **A Poincaré covariant description of a $A=3$ nucleus, based on the Light-front Hamiltonian Dynamics, has been proposed.**
The Bakamjian-Thomas construction of the Poincaré generators allows one to embed the successful phenomenology for few-nucleon systems in a Poincaré covariant framework.
- We have evaluated the Nucleon Spectral function for ${}^3\text{He}$, by approximating the IF overlaps with their non relativistic counterpart calculated with the AV18 NN interaction
- **A first test of our approach is the EMC effect for ${}^3\text{He}$.** We have calculated the 2-body contribution to the Nucleon SF with the full expression, while the 3-body contribution has been evaluated with an average $|\mathbf{k}_{23}|^2$. Encouraging improvements clearly appear after comparing with experimental data.
- **Next step : full calculation of the 3-body contribution**