Proposal for JLab PAC45 Strange Hadron Spectroscopy with a Secondary KL Beam at GlueX

Moskov Amaryan



GlueX Collaboration

JLab PAC 45 Meeting, July 10, 2017



• Physics Motivation:

-Hyperon Spectroscopy

-Meson Spectroscopy

- Previous measurements
- The KL Facility at JLab
- Proposed measurements
- Summary

- This proposal follows LOI submitted to PAC43 in 2015
- Since then we organized 3 International Workshops to discuss physics and experimental aspects of K_L Facility at ILab:



FEBRUARY 1-3, 2016 Jefferson Lab Newport News, Virginia

SCOPE

The Workshop is following Lol12-15-001 "Physics Opportunities with Secondary KL beam at Juab" and will be dedicated to the physics of hyperons produced by the kaon beam on unpolarized and polarized targets with GlueX set up in Hall D. The emphasis will be on the hyperon spectroscopy. Such studies could contribute to the existing scientific program on hadron spectroscopy at Jefferson Lab.

The Workshop will also aim at boosting the international collaboration, in particular between the US and EU research institutions and universities.

The Workshop would help to address the comments made by the PAC43, and to prepare the full proposal for the next PAC44.

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Moskov Amaryan, ODU, chair Eugene Chudakov, JLab Curtis Meyer, CMU Michael Pennington, JLab James Ritman, Ruhr-Uni-Bochum & IKP Julich Igor Strakovsky, GWJ

0

MAININGTON JULICH OLD DOMINION Jefferson Lab



NOVEMBER 16 - 17, 2016 Jefferson Lab

Newport News, Virginia

A workshop to discuss the influence of possible "missing" hyperon resonances (JLab KLF Project) on QCD thermodynamics, on freeze-out in heavy ion collisions and in the early universe, and in spectroscopy. Recent studies that compare lattice QCD calculations of thermodynamic calculations, statistical hadron resonance gas models, and ratios between measured vields of different hadron species in heavy ion collisions provide indirect evidence for the presence of "missing" resonances in all of these contexts. The aim of the workshop is to sharpen these compari sons, advance our understanding of the formation of baryons from quarks and gluons microseconds after the Big Bang and in today's experiments, and to connect these developments to experimental searches for direct. spectroscopic, evidence for these reso nances. This Workshop is a successor to the recent KL2016 Workshop

<section-header><text><text><text><text><text>

WWW JLAB.ORG/CONFERENCES/YSTAR2016



Our proposal is based on a free discussions and talks significantly

Hyperon Spectroscopy

Lattice QCD calculations



Edwards, Mathur, Richards and Wallace Phys. Rev. D 87, 054506 (2013)

Lattice QCD calculations

Thick borders: Hybrid states



Low Lying states

Edwards, Mathur, Richards and Wallace Phys. Rev. D 87, 054506 (2013)

Strange Mesons

STRAN	STRANGE		STRANGE	
$(S=\pm 1, C)$	$(S = \pm 1, C = B = 0)$		= B = 0)	
	$I(J^{P})$	- 、 、 、	$I(J^P)$	
• K^{\pm}	$1/2(0^{-})$	• K*(1680)	$1/2(1^{-})$	
$\bullet K^0$	$1/2(0^{-})$	• $K_2(1770)$	$1/2(2^{-})$	
$\bullet K_S^0$	$1/2(0^{-})$	• $K_3^*(1780)$	$1/2(3^{-})$	
$\bullet K_L^0$	$1/2(0^{-})$	• K ₂ (1820)	$1/2(2^{-})$	
$K_0^*(800)$	$1/2(0^+)$	K(1830)	$1/2(0^{-})$	
• K*(892)	$1/2(1^{-})$	$K_0^*(1950)$	$1/2(0^+)$	
• $K_1(1270)$	$1/2(1^+)$	$K_{2}^{*}(1980)$	$1/2(2^+)$	
• $K_1(1400)$	$1/2(1^+)$	• $K_{4}^{*}(2045)$	$1/2(4^+)$	
• <i>K</i> *(1410)	$1/2(1^{-})$	$K_{2}(2250)$	$\frac{1}{2(2^{-})}$	
• $K_0^*(1430)$	$1/2(0^+)$	$K_{2}(2230)$	$\frac{1}{2}(2)$	
• $K_2^*(1430)$	$1/2(2^+)$	N3(2320)	$1/2(5^{+})$	
K(1460)	$1/2(0^{-})$	$h_{5}(2380)$	1/2(5)	
$K_2(1580)$	$1/2(2^{-})$	$K_4(2500)$	1/2(4)	
K(1630)	1/2(??)	K(3100)	?:(?::)	
$K_1(1650)$	1/2(1+)			

almost half not measured

Previous Measurements



Previous Measurements



 Inconsistent data significantly limit confidence level for any model and Partial Wave Analysis

Previous Measurements

Cross sections



not sensitive to any model and PWA

Experimental data on Ξ^*

Very poorly measured at AGS (BNL) 34 years ago

•

C.M. Jenkins et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 951 (1983)

 However clear Indications for excited states





How to make a kaon beam? Thomas Jefferson National Accelerate about Thomas Jefferson National Accelerate About Thomas Jefferson National Accelerate About The About Th



Aerial View



KL Beam



- Compact Photon Source
 - Radiator 0.1 R.L.

- Be target 40cm
- Distance Be-LH2 16m
- LH2 target 40cm
- LH2 target R=3cm

K⁰_L beam (continued)

- -Electron beam with $I_e = 5\mu A$
- -Delivered with 64 ns bunch spacing avoids overlap in the range of P=0.3-10.0 GeV/c
- -Momentum measured with TOF
- - K^{0}_{L} flux measured from the decay in flight

-Side remark: Physics case with polarized targets is under study and feasible

Rate of neutrons and K⁰_L on GlueX target

- SLAC 16 GeV
- PRL22.996 (1969) Brody et al.







FIG. 2. Comparison of the neutron and K_2^0 fluxes at the hydrogen bubble chamber for 2° production with 16-GeV electrons.

using proton beam the ratio n/K_L is 10³-10⁴



KL Momentum will be measured with TOF



• ProjectX (Fermi Lab) arXiv:1306.5009

Table III-2: Comparison of the K_L production yield. The BNL AGS kaon and neutron yields are taken from RSVP reviews in 2004 and 2005. The *Project X* yields are for a thick target, fully simulated with LAQGSM/MARS15 into the KOPIO beam solid angle and momentum acceptance.

		Beam energy	Target (λ_I)	$p(K) (\mathrm{MeV}/c)$	K_L/s into 500 μ sr	$K_L : n (E_n > 10 \text{ MeV})$	
	BNL AGS	24 GeV	1.1 Pt	300-1200	60×10^{6}	$\sim 1:1000$	
	Project X	3 GeV	1.0 C	300-1200	$450 imes 10^6$	$\sim 1:2700$	
KL beam can be used to study rare decays Iowever it will be impossible to use it for hyperon spectroscopy because of momentum range and n/K Ratio							

Expected rates

Production	J-PARC*	Jlab (this proposal)	
Kaons/s	$3 \times 10^4 K^-$	$3 \times 10^4 K_L$	
$\Xi^*/month$	3×10^5	2×10^5	
$\Omega^{-*}/month$	600	4000	

H.~Takahashi, NP A 914, 553 (2013) M.~Naruki and K.~Shirotori, LOI-2014-JPARC

*

• More details about J-PARC in a talk by Shinya Sawada

Proposed Measurements

 $\mathbf{K_Lp} \to \mathbf{K_Sp}$



100 days of running

Proposed Measurements



100 days of running

Proposed Measurements: Recoil polarization

 $K_L p \rightarrow K^+ \Xi^0 (\Lambda \pi^0)$



10% stat error on polarization drives 100 days of running

Proposed Measurements



100 days of running

Proposed <u>Measurements</u>



100 days of running

al state. These will be important inputs for obtaining accommatrix elements $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ are $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ are a solution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ are a solution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ are a solution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ are a solution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ are a solution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ are a solution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{red}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{$

ruction of the proposed facility at JLab will be *unique* is the proposed facility at JLab will be *unique* is the proposed facility at JLab will be *unique* is the proposed facility at JLab will be *unique* is the proposed facility at JLab will be *unique* is the proposed facility at JLab will be *unique* is the proposed facility at JLab will be unique is the pr ntensity and high-fluty-factor CEBAF electron beam with one at SLAC in the $\overline{1970s}$; but now, with three orders of y to perform simplar studies with charged kaon beams is ties similar to those proposed for the M_L beam at JLab. imental data from J-PARC^w 1 be complementary to those

resent the expected statistics for 1900 days to be up not a server of the statistics for the 5 major reactions are ver

Other Impacts

Evolution of an Early Universe at Freeze-out



Chemical potential



YSTAR2016 Proceedings arXiv: 1701.07346

Summary

- KN scattering still remains very poorly studied
- Lack of data on excited hyperon states requires significant experimental efforts to be completed
- Experimental data on $K\pi$ system need an update for many different reasons
- Our preliminary studies show that production of few times $I 0^4 K_L^0/s$ at GlueX target in Hall D is feasible

-Proposed setup will have highest intensity K⁰_L beam ever used for hadron spectroscopy ~10³ times higher than in SLAC

-Data obtained at JLab will be unique and complementary to charged kaon data

Thank You!