

# *Photoproduction of vector mesons off nuclei*

A. Somov (JLab)

A. Gasparyan (NC A&T), L. Gan (UNCW), S. Gevorkyan (JINR), I. Larin (UMas, ITEP)

and GlueX Collaboration

PR 12 -17 -10

PAC 45, July 11, 2017

- Physics motivation
- Theoretical predictions
- Reconstruction of vector mesons
- Projected errors
- Run conditions and beam request

# Physics Goal

Measure photoproduction cross sections of vector mesons  $\omega$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\phi$  on C, Si, Sn and Pb targets in the energy range of 6-9 GeV with the GlueX detector


- Extract nuclear transparency at different energies
- Extract spin density matrix elements
- Extract cross section  $\sigma_L(\omega N)$  with two methods
  - nuclear transparency  $A_{\text{eff}}$
  - spin density matrix element  $\rho_{00}^A$

# Why is it Important ?

- There are experimental indications that  $\sigma_L(\text{VN}) < \sigma_T(\text{VN})$ , but there have been no direct measurements of  $\sigma_L(\text{VN})$  so far. Measurements of  $\sigma_L$  are important for the interpretation of the color transparency in vector meson electroproduction and comparison between different theoretical approaches
- Disagreement between existing experimental data and theoretical predictions for nuclear transparency


# Effect of $\sigma_L$ (VN) on Color Transparency

CT measurements at CLAS



Nuclei become more transparent at large  $Q^2$   
(color transparency effect)

$Q^2$  dependence of the longitudinal-to-transverse cross section ratio for  $\rho$  mesons





- Larger fraction of longitudinally polarized mesons produced at larger  $Q^2$

screen CT if  $\sigma_T$  (VN)  $\gg$   $\sigma_L$  (VN)

# Distribution of Quarks in Vector Meson

Different distributions of quarks in the transversely and longitudinally polarized mesons



- In AdS/QCD (Brodsky & G. Teramond) light-cone wave functions depend on meson polarization
- Light-cone wave functions for  $\rho$  mesons: J. Forshaw and R. Sandapen Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 081601, 2012
- Color dipole model of strong interaction

**different cross sections for interactions of transversely and longitudinally polarized mesons**



# Recent Theoretical Calculations

S. Gevorkyan, paper in preparation

$\sigma_{L,T}(\rho N)$  cross sections predicted in a color dipole model using different parameterizations of wave functions:

-AdS / QCD holographic wave function (FS)  
J.Forshaw and R. Sandopen,  
Phys.Rev.Let. 109, 2012

Boosted Gaussian (BG) wave function  
B.Kopeliovich et al., Phys.Rev. C65, 2002




# Polarization-Dependent Interactions: Experimental Observations

- Hints from the electroproduction of vector mesons:

$$R = \frac{\sigma(\gamma_L P \rightarrow V_L P)}{\sigma(\gamma_T P \rightarrow V_T P)} = \xi^2 \frac{Q^2}{m_\rho^2} \quad \text{where} \quad \xi = \frac{\sigma_L(Vp)}{\sigma_T(Vp)}$$

$\rho$  mesons:  $\xi \sim 0.7$       Nucl. Phys. B113, 53 (1976)

$\phi$  mesons:  $\xi^2 \sim 0.33$       Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 516 (1977)



Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 7, 27, 2010  
 Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 2010

- Similar observations of the polarization-dependent interactions of deuterons with Carbon target - measured in Dubna and Juelich

- Measurements in the charge exchange process at Argonne: Nucl. Phys. B67, 333 (1973)  
 $\pi^+ + \text{Ne} \rightarrow \rho + \text{Ne}'$

Propose to measure  $\sigma_L(VN)$  using photoproduction on nuclei

# Photoproduction of $\omega$ Mesons

- In the coherent  $\gamma + A \rightarrow \omega + A$  production at JLab energies the essential contribution of pion exchange cancels out. From the absorption of  $\omega$ 's one can extract  $\sigma_T(\omega N)$

- it has been measured by several experiments ( $\sigma_T \sim 26$  mb)

- In the incoherent process  $\gamma + A \rightarrow \omega + A'$  the cross section can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_A(q)}{dt} = \frac{d\sigma_N(q)}{dt} (\rho_{00} \cdot N(0, \sigma_L) + (1 - \rho_{00}) \cdot N(E, \sigma_T)) \quad \frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_N} = A_{eff}$$

-  $N(0, \sigma_L)$  and  $N(E, \sigma_T)$  are absorptions of the longitudinally and transversely polarized mesons predicted by theoretical models

-  $\rho_{00}$  is the spin density matrix element measured in  $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$  reaction

## Why $\omega$ mesons ?

- Some fraction of  $\omega$  mesons is longitudinally polarized at GlueX energies,  $\rho_{00}$  measured by SLAC is  $0.2 \pm 0.07$



# How to Extract $\sigma_L(\omega N)$ ?

$\sigma_L(\omega N)$  can be extracted from the measurements of:

**Method 1: Nuclear transparency ( $A_{\text{eff}}$ )**

**Method 2: Spin density matrix element ( $\rho_{00}^A$ )**

## Method 1

Calculations by S. Gevorkyan for GlueX Phys. Rev. C 93, 015203 (2016)


- Measure  $A_{\text{eff}}$  for each target
- $A_{\text{eff}}$  depends on  $\sigma_L$  (see plot)

$$A_{\text{eff}} = \rho_{00} \cdot N(0, \sigma_L) + (1 - \rho_{00}) \cdot N(E, \sigma_T)$$

$N(0, \sigma_L)$  and  $N(E, \sigma_T)$  are predicted by theoretical models.

$N(E, \sigma_T)$  will be independently measured for  $\rho$  mesons

Predictions for  $A_{\text{eff}}$



$A_{\text{eff}}$  will be normalized to Carbon data: some systematic uncertainties will cancel out ( $\sigma_N$ , Br, some reconstruction efficiencies, )

# How to Extract $\sigma_L (\omega N)$ ?


## Method 2

- Measure  $\rho_{00}^A$  for each target from the fit to the  $\omega$  decay angular distribution
- $\rho_{00}^A$  depends on  $\sigma_L$ 
  - extract  $\sigma_L$

$$\rho_{00}^A = \frac{N(0, \sigma_L)}{\rho_{00} \cdot N(0, \sigma_L) + (1 - \rho_{00}) \cdot N(k, \sigma_T)} \cdot \rho_{00}$$

$\rho_{00}$  is measured in the  $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$  reaction

Predictions for  $\rho_{00}^A$  Phys. Rev. C 93, 015203 (2016)




A-dependence of the spin density matrix elements  $\rho_{00}^A$

# Energy Dependence of Nuclear Transparency


- Energy dependence of the nuclear transparency due to the interference of amplitudes in the production of mesons on nuclei
  - dependence of the incoherent cross section on energy  $\nu$  via the coherence length
- Predicted for both electroproduction and photoproduction

$$l_c = \frac{2\nu}{M^2 + Q^2}$$

Measured in the electroproduction




not observed in  $\rho$  photoproduction



G. McClellan, et al. Phys. Rev. Let. 23, 10, 1969

# Energy Dependence Predicted for GlueX

- Predicted energy dependence of the nuclear transparency for  $\omega$  mesons



similar dependence for  $\rho$ -mesons ( $\rho_{00} = 0$ )

5 GeV

9 GeV

# Beamline Conditions

- Study meson production on the large energy range  $E_\gamma > 6 \text{ GeV}$
- Use amorphous radiator (incoherent bremsstrahlung)
- Decrease the flux of uncollimated photons by a factor of 12 compared with the GlueX flux at high lumi
  - rate of accidental hits in the tagging detectors is about 20 %

| Conditions                                 | GlueX                          | Proposed Exp            |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Collimator (mm)                            | 3.4                            | 5                       |
| Radiator thickness ( $X_0$ )               | $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$<br>(Diamond) | $10^{-4}$<br>(Aluminum) |
| Beam current ( $\mu\text{A}$ )             | 1.1                            | 0.18                    |
| Photon beam energy range of interest (GeV) | 8.4 - 9.1                      | 6 - 11.7                |
| Rate of uncollimated photons (Hz)          | $1.2 \cdot 10^8$               | $5.3 \cdot 10^7$        |
| Photon rate on target (Hz)                 | $5 \cdot 10^7$                 | $1.5 \cdot 10^7$        |

# Nuclear Targets






Use four nuclear targets: C, Si, Sn, Pb with the thickness of 7 % R.L.

|                               | $LH_2$ (GlueX)       | C                   | Si                  | Sn                  | Pb                  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| A                             | 1                    | 12                  | 28                  | 119                 | 207                 |
| Target thickness ( $X_0$ )    | 3.4%                 | 7 %                 |                     |                     |                     |
| Relative EM background        | 1                    | 0.3                 |                     |                     |                     |
| Number of atoms ( $N/cm^2$ )  | $1.28 \cdot 10^{24}$ | $1.5 \cdot 10^{23}$ | $3.3 \cdot 10^{22}$ | $3.1 \cdot 10^{21}$ | $1.3 \cdot 10^{21}$ |
| $N^{Target} \cdot A/N^{LH_2}$ | 1                    | 1.4                 | 0.7                 | 0.3                 | 0.2                 |

- small electromagnetic background compared with GlueX
- neutron background will not exceed the GlueX level  
(JLAB-TN-11-005, 2011)

# Monte Carlo Simulation

- We studied reconstruction capabilities for  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\phi$  mesons using detailed GlueX detector simulation
- Signal events were generated by Pythia (with the Fermi motion taken into account) in the energy range between 6 GeV – 12 GeV




|                               | $\pi^0 \gamma$ | $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ (MeV)      | 7.7            | 8.2                 |
| $M_{\omega}$ (MeV)            | 33             | 33                  |
| $\Delta t$ ( $\text{GeV}^2$ ) | 0.017          | 0.02                |

$\sigma(M_{\text{mis}}) \sim 190 \text{ MeV}$



# Monte Carlo Simulation

Angular acceptance for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays  
(helicity frame)



- Uniform angular acceptance
  - important for polarization measurements

- Reconstruction of  $\rho \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$



$$\sigma(t) \sim 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$\sigma(M_{\text{mis}}) = 180\text{-}200 \text{ MeV}$$




# Reconstruction Efficiencies and Yields

|   | $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ | $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ | $\rho \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ | $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Efficiency (%)                                    | 24.6                              | 49.4                                   | 39.3                           | 19.9                       |
| Incoherent cross section,<br>Pb ( $\mu\text{b}$ ) |                                   |  |                                |                            |
| 6 GeV   | 119                               | 546                                    | 36.4                           |                            |
| 9 GeV   | 67                                | 325                                    | 33.6                           |                            |
| Reconstructed events ( k )<br>$0.1 < t < 0.5$     |                                   |  |                                |                            |
| 6 – 9 GeV   | 10                                | 50                                     | 500                            | 10                         |
| 9 – 12 GeV  | 6                                 | 27                                     | 280                            | 9                          |

# S/B Estimates

- Estimate background using photoproduction on target walls and air ( runs with empty target )

Production vertex for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$



Vacuum cell and window:  
75 , 100  $\mu\text{m}$  Kapton

Cold H<sub>2</sub> gas:  
 $\rho = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ g /cm}^3$

Air:  
 $\rho = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ g /cm}^3$





# Runs with Empty Target



$$\gamma (p, A) \rightarrow \omega (X)$$

$$\gamma (p, A) \rightarrow p \omega$$

(require to reconstruct only  $\omega$ )



$$\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$$



$$\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$$

Relatively small BG for both  
 $\omega$  decay channels

# Errors on Measurements of $A_{\text{eff}}$ and Extraction of $\sigma_L$ ( $\omega N$ )

- Systematic uncertainties dominate
  - **smallest data sample** of  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$  reconstructed decays (16 K per target)
  - conservatively assume that  $S/B = 1$

## systematic uncertainties on $A_{\text{eff}}$

|   |              |
|---|--------------|
| Target thickness                                  | 1.0 %        |
| Photon flux determination                         | 1.5 %        |
| Signal yield (bg subtraction) and event selection | 3.0 %        |
| Dependence on $\rho_{00}$                         | 1.2 %        |
| Reconstruction efficiencies                       | 3.0 %        |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>4.7 %</b> |

- Statistical errors on  $A_{\text{eff}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(A_{\text{eff}}) / A_{\text{eff}} &= 1.4 \% \quad (6 - 9 \text{ GeV}) \\ &= 1.8 \% \quad (9 - 12 \text{ GeV}) \end{aligned}$$

- $\sigma_L$  is computed according to the predicted dependence of  $A_{\text{eff}}$  on  $\sigma_L$


Measured by  
SLAC



| Polarization of<br>$\omega$ mesons | Error on $\sigma_L$ (26 mb) (mb) |                       |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                    | 6 - 9 GeV                        | 9 - 12 GeV            |
| $\rho_{00} = 0.2$                  | 1.1 (stat) 3.5 (syst)            | 1.1(stat) 2.7 (syst)  |
| $\rho_{00} = 0.1$                  | 2.1 (stat) 6.6 (syst)            | 2.0 (stat) 5.0 (syst) |
| $\rho_{00} = 0.3$                  | 0.9 (stat) 2.5 (syst)            | 0.8 (stat) 2.0 (syst) |

# Errors on Measurements of $\rho_{00}^A$ and Extraction of $\sigma_L$ ( $\omega N$ )

- Obtain  $\rho_{00}^A$  from the fit to the decay angular distribution in the helicity frame
- Statistical errors :  $\sigma(\rho_{00}^A) = 0.011$  (6 – 9 GeV)  
(from the fit)  $= 0.014$  (9 -12 GeV)



$\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  ( 6 – 9 GeV )  
Signal + BG, realistic acceptance  
(input  $\rho_{00} = 0.2$  )

$$W(\cos \theta) \sim \frac{1}{2} \cdot (1 - \rho_{00}) \cdot \sin^2 \theta + \rho_{00} \cdot \cos^2 \theta$$

## systematic uncertainties on $\rho_{00}^A$

- $\sigma_L$  is computed according to the predicted dependence of  $\rho_{00}^A$  on  $\sigma_L$



$\sigma_L$  (26 mb)  $\pm 1.4$  (stat)  $\pm 2.1$  (syst) mb 6 – 9 GeV

$\sigma_L$  (26 mb)  $\pm 1.6$  (stat)  $\pm 2.3$  (syst) mb 9 – 12 GeV

|   |              |
|---|--------------|
| Signal yield (bg subtraction) and event selection | 1.0 %        |
| Dependence on $\rho_{00}$                         | 3.7 %        |
| Acceptance determination                          | 3.0 %        |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>5.6 %</b> |

# Projected Errors: $A_{\text{eff}}$ and $\rho_{00}^A$



- Projected errors on measurements of  $A_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\rho_{00}^A$  for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays  
(input values:  $\sigma_T = 26$  mb,  $\rho_{00} = 0.2$ )



# Projected errors on $\sigma_L$ ( $\omega N$ )

- $\sigma_L$  will be extracted for  $\omega$  mesons using two measurements:  $A_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\rho_{00}^A$
- Expected errors on  $\sigma_L$  for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays obtained from measurements on a Pb target:

|                    |   |                      |                     |                                 |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| $\sigma(\sigma_L)$ | = | 3.7 mb (6 – 9 GeV) ; | 2.9 mb (9 – 12 GeV) | extracted from $A_{\text{eff}}$ |
| $\sigma(\sigma_L)$ | = | 2.6 mb (6 – 9 GeV) ; | 2.8 mb (9 – 12 GeV) | extracted from $\rho_{00}^A$    |
| Combined:          |   | 2.3 mb               | 2.0 mb              |                                 |



- $\sigma_L$  will be independently measured using  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  decays

# Beam Time Request

| Activity      | Time (days) |
|---------------|-------------|
| C production  | 3           |
| Si production | 4           |
| Sn production | 6           |
| Pb production | 10          |
| Empty target  | 3           |
| Calibration   | 2           |
| Total         | 28          |

- Propose to take data for 28 days (one run)
- No modifications of the GlueX detector except for the target installation

## Compatibility with the PR12-17-007 Experiment (CT and SRC)

**PR12-17-007:** large lumi, small energy range, light targets ( $^{40}\text{Ca}$  the heaviest)

**This proposal:** smaller lumi, large energy range, 16 days on heavy targets (Sn, Pb)  
(accidental hits in tagger) we can use  $^{40}\text{Ca}$  instead of Si

Take data at high and low lumi sequentially for C and Ca targets:

- minimize overhead for target installation and calibration runs
- simplify offline detector calibration and data analyses

Both experiments will benefit from additional data sets acquired on light heavy targets



# Summary

- We propose to use the GlueX detector to study photoproduction of light mesons on nuclear targets: C, Si, Sn, and Pb in the large beam energy range between 6 GeV and 12 GeV. The primary goal is:
  - Measure nuclear transparency and the SDME for omega mesons in incoherent photoproduction and extract the total cross section of the longitudinally polarized  $\omega$  mesons with nucleon. Measurements are important in interpreting color transparency effects.
  - Measure nuclear transparency of light mesons such as  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$ . Study the dependency of the nuclear transparency on the beam energy, predicted by theoretical models.
  - Data sample acquired on nuclear target will allow to study other physics topics, like production of mesons at larger  $t$ , etc.
- The expected length of the experiment is 28 days of taking data. The detector will be operated at small luminosity. No modifications of the GlueX detector is required

# Backup Slides


# GlueX Spectrometer

- Optimized to detect multi-particle final states
- Hermetic, large/uniform acceptance for charged and neutral particles, good energy and momentum resolution

Tracks:  $\sigma_p/p \sim 2 - 5\%$   
 Photons:  $\sigma_E/E = 6\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus 1.6\%$   
 Acceptance:  $1^\circ < \theta < 120^\circ$

## Proposed experiment:

- install nuclear targets
- no modifications to the existing detector





*tagger to detector distance  
is not to scale*

# Projected errors on $\sigma_L$ ( $\omega N$ )

- $\sigma_L$  will be extracted for  $\omega$  mesons using two measurements:  $A_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\rho_{00}^A$
- Expected errors on  $\sigma_L$  for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays obtained from measurements on a Pb target:

( Input values of  $\rho_{00} = 0.14$  )

$$\sigma(\sigma_L) = 5.0 \text{ mb (6 - 9 GeV)}; \quad 4.6 \text{ mb (9 - 12 GeV)}$$




- $\sigma_L$  will be independently measured using  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  decays

# Timeline

- Nuclear Photoproduction with GlueX Workshop, Jefferson Lab, April 28 - 29, 2016  
<https://www.jlab.org/conferences/photoproduction16/>
  - interesting discussions, motivated further theoretical studies
- Photoproduction of  $\omega$  mesons of nuclei and impact of polarization on the meson-nucleon interactions, Phys. Rev. C93, 1, 015203, 2016
- Topic presented at several conferences / workshops

# Measurement of $\rho_{00}$

- Originally measured by SLAC in the  $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$  reaction: bubble chamber experiment, small statistics  $\rho_{00} = 0.2 \pm 0.07$  at 9.3 GeV [J.Ballam et. al. Phys.Rev. D7, 3150, 1973]
- $\rho_{00}$  will be independently measured by the GlueX experiment in the  $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$  reaction using a very large data sample of reconstructed  $\omega$  mesons.  $\rho_{00}$  will be extracted from the fit to the  $\omega$  decay angular distribution in the helicity frame (see page 11, Eq. 2 ) using existing GlueX data
- Based on the quality of the GlueX experimental data for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays, we expect the dominant systematic error on the  $\rho_{00}$  determination to be on the level of 4%
  - the GlueX detector has a uniform angular acceptance for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  and  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  decays
  - relatively small background under reconstructed  $\omega$  mesons



- angular acceptance for  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays  
(helicity frame)

# Sensitivity of $A_{\text{eff}}$ and $\rho_{00}^A$ to $\rho_{00}$

I) 
$$A_{\text{eff}} = \rho_{00} \cdot N(0, \sigma_L) + (1 - \rho_{00}) \cdot N(E, \sigma_T)$$

uncertainties of  $\rho_{00}$  of  $\pm 4\%$  affect  $A_{\text{eff}}$  by  $\pm 1.2\%$

total systematic error on  $A_{\text{eff}} = 4.7\%$

II) 
$$\rho_{00}^A = \frac{N(0, \sigma_L)}{\rho_{00} \cdot N(0, \sigma_L) + (1 - \rho_{00}) \cdot N(k, \sigma_T)} \cdot \rho_{00}$$

uncertainties of  $\rho_{00}$  of  $\pm 4\%$  affect  $A_{\text{eff}}$  by  $\pm 3.7\%$

total systematic error on  $A_{\text{eff}} = 5.6\%$

Extract from  $A_{\text{eff}}$

$\sigma_L$  (26 mb)  $\pm 1.1$  (stat)  $\pm 3.5$  (syst) mb

Extract from  $\rho_{00}^A$

$\sigma_L$  (26 mb)  $\pm 1.4$  (stat)  $\pm 2.1$  (syst) mb