Lowering boundaries between data analysis ecosystems

Jim Pivarski

Princeton University - DIANA Project

May 3, 2017



Data analysis ecosystems



HiggsCombiner

ROOOT MadGraph PyROOT EVIGen CVMFS Delphes Condor FairRooT Fastlyfet TMVA limet CLHEP CORAL gentuple Indico Gaudi dcache siurm FroNTier RooFit XRootD RooStats Geant

Spark Parquet HDFS MongoDB Hive scalding Spark-Streaming HBase Hadoop Cassandra Protocol-buffers Pig spanner TensorFlow ElasticSearch SparkSOL Num Scikit-Learn elasticnet Theano Pandas C50 PIL graphviz Bokeh plot.ly ggplot2 SymPy scikit-Bio e1071 XGBoost AstroPy Anaconda gbm Numba Iulia otlib jupyter randomForest



Physicists developed their own software for a good reason: no one else was tackling such large problems.

Not so today...







Servers at the CERN Data Centre collected 75 petabytes of LHC data in the last nage: CERN)

Computer engineers at CERN today announced that the CERN Data Centre has recorded over 100 petabytes of physics data over the last 20 years. Collisions in the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) generated about 75 petabytes of this data in the past three years.

One hundred petabytes (which is equal to 100 million gigabytes) is a very large number indeed - roughly equivalent 700 years of full HD-quality

ABOUT CERN	
	l
	1

CERN UPDATES

The LHC has restarted for

SCOAP3

more undates >



Not so today...









One hundred petabytes (which is equal to 100 million gigabytes) is a very large number indeed – roughly equivalent 700 years of full HD-quality marine. Stating it is a shallowing ALCEDN the bulk of the data (show 88



Relative rate of web searches (Google Trends):



Question-and-answer sites:

- RootTalk: 14,399 threads in 1997–2012 (15 years)
- StackOverflow questions tagged #spark: 26,155 in the 3.3 years the tag has existed.

More users to talk to; more developers adding features/fixing bugs.











Jim Pivarski



- ▶ 5 years CLEO (9 GeV e^+e^-)
- ► 5 years CMS (7 TeV *pp*)
- ▶ 5 years Open Data Group
- ▶ 1+ years Project DIANA-HEP



Jim Pivarski



- ▶ 5 years CLEO (9 GeV e^+e^-)
- 5 years CMS (7 TeV pp)
- ► 5 years Open Data Group -
- ▶ 1+ years Project DIANA-HEP

hyperspectral imagery automobile traffic network security Twitter sentiment Google n-grams DNA sequence analysis credit card fraud detection and "Big Data" tools



Collaborative Analyses

Establish infrastructure for a higher-level of collaborative analysis, building on the successful patterns used for the Higgs boson discovery and enabling a deeper communication between the theoretical community and the experimental community



Reproducible Analyses

Streamline efforts associated to reproducibility, analysis preservation, and data preservation by making these native concepts in the tools

5	

Interoperability

Improve the interoperability of HEP tools with the larger scientific software ecosystem, incorporating best practices and algorithms from other disciplines into HEP



Faster Processing

Increase the CPU and IO performance needed to reduce the iteration time so crucial to exploring new ideas



Better Software



Training

Provide training for students in all of our core research topics.

Develop software to effectively exploit emerging many- and multi-core hardware. Promote the concept of software as a research product.



Activities/Products

DIANA Fellows Blog



12 / 41



Data plumbing: a CMS analysis in Apache Spark Histogrammar: HEP-like tools in a functional world Femtocode: the "query system" concept in HEP





Like Hadoop in that it implements map-reduce, but these are just two out of many functionals.





- Like Hadoop in that it implements map-reduce, but these are just two out of many functionals.
- ▶ Not a competitor to Hadoop: can run on a Hadoop cluster.





- Like Hadoop in that it implements map-reduce, but these are just two out of many functionals.
- Not a competitor to Hadoop: can run on a Hadoop cluster.
- ▶ Primary interface is a commandline console. Each command does a distributed job and returns a result, While-U-Wait[™].





- Like Hadoop in that it implements map-reduce, but these are just two out of many functionals.
- ▶ Not a competitor to Hadoop: can run on a Hadoop cluster.
- ▶ Primary interface is a commandline console. Each command does a distributed job and returns a result, While-U-Wait[™].
- ► User controls in-memory cache on the cluster, effectively getting an O(TB) working space in RAM.

CMS analysis on Spark



- Oliver Gutsche, Matteo Cremonesi, Cristina Suárez (Fermilab) wanted to try their CMS dark matter search on Spark.
- This was my first project with DIANA-HEP: I joined to plow through technical issues before the analysts hit them.



https://cms-big-data.github.io/



- 1. Need a Spark cluster.
- Spark, like most "Big Data" tools, runs on the Java Virtual Machine (JVM), not C++, and doesn't recognize our ROOT data format.
- 3. HEP analysis tools like histograms don't have the right API to fit Spark's functional interface.



Several other groups are interested in this and were willing to share resources in exchange for having us test their system.

- Alexey Svyatkovskiy (Princeton) was active in the group, helping us use the Princeton BigData cluster.
- Saba Sehrish and Jim Kowalkowski (Fermilab) modified the analysis for NERSC.
- Maria Girone, Luca Canali, Kacper Surdy (CERN), and Vaggelis Motesnitsalis (Intel) are now setting up a Data Reduction Facility at CERN as an OpenLab project.
- Offer from Marco Zanetti and Mauro Morandin at Padua.

#2. Getting data from ROOT files into JVM \bigotimes dianahep

A run-down of the attempted solutions. . .

1. Java Native Interface (JNI)

No! This ought to be the right solution, but Java and ROOT are both large, complex applications with their own memory management: couldn't keep them from interfering (segmentation faults).



2. Python as glue: PyROOT and PySpark in the same process

PySpark is a low-performance solution: all data must be passed over a text-based socket and interpreted by Python.



3. Convert to a Spark-friendly format, like Apache Avro

We used this for a year. Efficient after conversion, but conversion step is awkward. Avro's C library is difficult to deploy.

4. Use pure Java code to read ROOT files What we do now. It's worth it.

FreeHEP ROOTIO

Last Published: 2013-03-01 | Version: 2.2.1



General Introduction License Team User Info Summary Am File(s) Dependencies Forum @ Bug Reports @ Developer Info Source Code

Root Object Browser

As an illustration of the use of the Java interface, we have built a sample application which is a simple Root Object Browser. It can be used to open any Root file and look at all the objects inside the file. If you already have Java 2 installed JOK 1.3), you can download the root jar file containing the application, and run it using the command:

java -jar root.jar

(on Windows you can just double-click on the root.jar file). A screen shot of the application is show below. The pane on the left shows the directory structure of the file. The object browser knows how to navigate directories (TDirectories), trees (TTrees and TBranches) and these will all be shown in the left pane. Clicking on any object in the left pane will cause the details of the object to be shown in the right pane. The right pane knows how to follow embedded pointers to other objects.





ROOT4J

A fork of http://java.freehep.org/freehep-rootio/



A fork of http://java.freehep.org/freehep-rootio/



This is how Spark processes data (functional programming):

val final_counter = dataset.filter(event => event.goodness > 2) .map(event => do_something(event.muons)) .aggregate(empty_counter)((counter, result) => increment(counter, result), (c1, c2) => combine(c1, c2))



This is how Spark processes data (functional programming):

```
val final_counter =
    dataset.filter(event => event.goodness > 2)
        .map(event => do_something(event.muons))
        .aggregate(empty_counter)(
        (counter, result) => increment(counter, result),
        (c1, c2) => combine(c1, c2))
```

Read as a pipeline from top to bottom:

- 1. Start with dataset on the cluster somewhere.
- 2. Filter it with event.goodness > 2.
- 3. Compute do_something on each event's muons.
- Accumulate some counter (e.g. histogram or other data summary), starting with empty_counter, using increment to fill with each event's result, combining partial results with combine.

all distributed across the cluster, returning only final_counter.



This is how ROOT/PAW/HBOOK histograms expect to be called:

// on a worker handling one partition of data
hist = new TH1F("name", "title", numBins, low, high);

```
for (i = start_partition; i < end_partition; i++) {
    dataset.GetEntry(i);
    if (goodness > 2)
        hist->Fill(do_something(muons));
}
```

// on the head node, after downloading partial hists
hadd(hists);



Trying to wedge the square peg into the round hole:

```
import ROOT
empty_hist = ROOT.TH1F("n", "t", numBins, low, high)
def increment(hist, result):
    hist.Fill(result)
    return hist
def combine(h1, h2):
    return h1.Add(h2)
filled hist =
  data.filter(lambda event: event.goodness > 2)
      .map(lambda event: do_something(event.muons)) \
      .aggregate (empty_hist, increment, combine)
```



It's not impossible, but it's awkward.

Awkward is bad for data analysis because you really should be focusing on the complexities of your analysis, not your tools.



There's a natural way to do histograms in functional programming: add a fill rule to the declaration.



There's a natural way to do histograms in functional programming: add a fill rule to the declaration.

This way, what_to_fill doesn't have to be specified in the (non-existent) "for" loop.

dataset.fill_it_for_me(hist)



standard 1-D histogram Bin(numBins, low, high, x_rule, Count())

- Bin splits into bins by x_rule, passes to a Count in each bin,
- Count counts.



profile plot Bin(numBins, low, high, x_rule, Deviate(y_rule))

- Bin splits into bins by x_rule, passes to a Deviate in each bin,
- Deviate computes the mean and standard deviation of y_rule.



```
# 2-D histogram
Bin(numBins, low, high, x_rule,
Bin(numBins, low, high, y_rule,
Count()))
```

- Bin splits into bins by x_rule, passes to a Bin in each bin,
- second Bin does the same with y_rule.



different binning methods on different dimensions Categorize(event_type, SparselyBin(trigger_bits, IrregularlyBin([-2.4, -1.5, 1.5, 2.4], eta, Bin(100, 0, 100, pt, Count()))))

- Categorize splits based on string value (like a bar chart)
- SparselyBin only creates bins if their content is non-zero
- IrregularlyBin lets you place bin edges anywhere



```
# bundle histograms to be filled together
Bundle(
    one = Bin(numBins, low, high, fill_one),
    two = Bin(numBins, low, high, fill_two),
    three = Bin(numBins, low, high, fill_three))
```

Bundle is a directory mapping names to aggregators; same interface as all the other aggregators

It's cooler this way



Functional programming emphasizes composition: building new functionality by composing functions.

```
# to organize your analysis
pack_o_plots = Bundle(
    one = Bin(numBins, low, high, fill_one),
    two = Bin(numBins, low, high, fill_two))
Bundle(
    withcut = Select(cut_rule, pack_o_plots),
    nocut = pack_o_plots)
```

- Select only passes down events that pass cut_rule
- Bundles are now nested like subdirectories, one pack_o_plots with cut, the other without



▶ fills a *directory* of "nonzero," "mean," and "maximum" in each bin.



histo-grammar

MAKING HISTOGRAMS FUNCTIONAL

Histogrammar is a suite of data aggregation primitives for making histograms and much, much more. A few composable functions can generate many different types of plots, and these functions are reimplemented (exactly!) in multiple languages and serialized to JSON for cross-platform compatibility.

http://histogrammar.org

Femtocode: query system for HEP

What's a query system?

User asks a question, gets an answer quickly enough to *explore* the data.

Like a Google query, but aggregating HEP data, returning (e.g.) histograms.

Embedded within an analysis script: provides sliced projections of the data for users to fit/plot/analyze in any way they want.

How it differs from what we do now

Physicists arrange data as sets of files that have to be filtered into progressively smaller sets of files until the final set is small enough for real-time data analysis.

Instead, we propose a service that serves aggregated views of analysis object data on demand.

Must be responsive to requests in realtime: ~1 sec for each scan over a dataset.



40/41

High-level language

User writes expressions that pick apart the structure of objects within arbitrary-length lists, to any depth of nesting.

Higher-order functions like .map, .pairs, .filter, .reduce instead of explicit for loops.

Femtocode query language is distributed in quoted snippets throughout a structured workflow and tree of aggregators.

(See http://histogrammar.org for histogram abstraction.)

 $\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{l} \text{substitute} & = \sup_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^$

Low-level execution

No objects at runtime:

All nested structures are represented as homogeneous arrays.



utdoms.map { mu1 =>>
 e1 = mu1.p**2 + 0.105658**2; }
 physicist
 e2 = mu2.p**2 + 0.105658**2; }
 unnecess
 wrote the
 inesi to t
 }).max
 loop over
 muon.map { mu1 = mu1
 }).max
 loop over
 munn.max
 loop over
 loop over
 loop over
 munn.max
 loop over
 loop o



- We're not the big fish anymore: time to look to industry to see how they're solving problems similar to ours.
- Historical mismatches in non-essential details (e.g. data formats) are annoying, but surmountable.
- Differences in fundamental approach are an opportunity: alien civilizations can learn from each other.