# HTCC update

CLAS12 software workshop

N. Markov, Y. Sharabian, W.Phelps, D. Riser

# Outline

- オ KPP run
- Simulation
- Calibration
- Reconstruction
- Data analysis
- Current results
- **Future steps**

# HTCC

#### In transit



#### In the Hall



#### 

# KPP run



- HTCC was functioning during the whole KPP run period;
- All the systems (HV, readout, gas, monitoring) were working properly





## GEMC

- Full HTCC geometry
- Measured mirror and Winston cone reflectance

**PMTs** 

- Realistic Quantum Efficiency of the PMT with Quartz Entry Window
- Realistic CO<sub>2</sub> gas transparency
- Realistic CO<sub>2</sub> gas refraction index



### Reconstruction: clusters



Cerenkov radiation from single electron may split between mirrors and is collected by different PMTs



#### Geometrical pattern of single- and multiple hit events:



### Data processing



FADC spectrum in time, Mode1

Calculating pulse size and time based on threshold value

Calculating absolute signal strength and coordinate based on the PMT calibration

### Calibration



- Gain match with a SPE peak fit function with LED light pulser runs
- Successful HV Gain Match at two gain settings (225 and 400 channels per s.p.e)
- Most Channels matched within ±10%.

#### Gain Match







# Data analysis

#### No solenoid magnet in front of the HTCC

Two approaches for electron ID:

- DC and EC/PCAL

  - ↗ Limited to sector 2 (8 PMTS)
- - Based on geometrical match between hits in EC/PCAL and HTCC
  - No tracks
  - Can access all 48 PMTs

# Run subperiods

- Low lumi: nphe@ 225, inbending, runs 805 806
  - full set used
- Inbending400: nphe@400, runs 753-769
  - ~ 61 files, runs 75\*, 76\*
- Outbending400: nphe@400, runs 790-797
  - **7** 11 files, runs 792, 795, 796, 797&798
- **Zero field**: nphe@400, run 798
  - one file exists, used
- Inbending225: nphe@225, regular lumi, runs 806-810
  - run 809 used

### Procedure

- Electron ID, we select good electrons based on DC and EC information;
- Overall HTCC signal for single hit events: we select good electrons and for them looks at the NPE spectrum for the single hit events;
- HTCC signal by individual mirrors for single hit events: look at the same signal within individual mirrors up to ring 3 (not enough statistics beyond that);
- Geometry and signal strength considerations: is there any dependence of the signal strength on the angular distributions of the electron events;

# DC and EC/PCAL: electron id

60

10

18

- E/p > 0.14
- P > 1
- 8> (Theta\_DC -٠
- Theta\_DC > 10

ш



60

Set of cuts on sampling fraction, particle momentum, vertex position, and angular match between the DC and HTCC are developed.

# DC and EC/PCAL: NPE spectrum



# DC and EC/PCAL: Summary

#### Position of a 1 hit peak

Configuration		Overall	R1HS1	R1HS2	Ratio	R2HS1	R2HS2	Ratio		
Low lumi (inbending 225)		13.7	15.0	9.9	1.52	12.6	14.5	0.87		
Outbending400		17.65	19.9	10.6	1.83	14.1	20.7	0.68		Г
Inbending400		15.9	17.8	12.4	1.43	12.6	16.6	0.76	IL	5
Inbending225		15.7	17.0	9.7	1.75	12.7	17.0	0.75		R
Number of <mark>1 hit</mark> events										
Configuration		Overall		R1HS1	R1HS2	R2HS:	R2HS1 R			
	Low lumi	1194	÷ ۲	547	251	182	1	89	I.	101
	Outbending400	0 1463	32 6	5468	3199	1942	1942 2		in n	n n
	Inbending400	777	2	259	132		1	161		n R
	Inbending225	862	3	389	145	118	1	42		

Different gain, same polarity Rather consistent

HS1/HS2 asymmetry in number of events in R1

# Full HTCC

- remove DC track requirement
  - not able to use event builder;
- Select runs with 6 sector trigger (runs 753 767, inbending field)
- ↗ Require EC/PCAL hit & HTCC hit
  - **Remove MIP by using PCAL;**
  - Plot all HTCC hits vs PCAL hits;
  - **7** find geometrical matches;
  - cut events based on geometry;
- ↗ Plot NPHE spectrum for each PMT

### Electron ID in PCAL



# Nphe by PMT



Cluster in EC/PCAL + geom match with PCAL + MIP cut in PCAL Ccluster in EC/PCAL + geom match with PCAL + geom match with EC\_IN+ MIP cut in PCAL

~ 20 Nphe on average

Consistent between different methods of electron ID

Sector 4 of EC was off during this part of the run.

# Integral Nphe spectrum



1 hit events

Electron ID performed with PCAL provides systematically higher Nphe Second hump in case of PCAL electron ID needs more investigation

### HTCC occupancy

Average number of Nphe per event as a function of angle as measured by DC when DC and EC are used to select events





# HTCC occupancy

Average number of Nphe per event as a function of angle as measured by PCAL when PCAL is used to select events



# Conclusion

- HTCC worked properly during the whole KPP run;
- Data were cooked and analyzed immediately;
- As a part of the KPP run it met the DOE requirements;
- Signals from all 48 PMTs were extracted;
- Average number of Nphe is 19.4 for a single hit events (expected to grow when account for multiple hit events);
- Signals from 8 PMTs of sector 2 were extracted using different ways of electron ID;
- Average number of Nphe is compatible with estimations;
- There are discrepancies between different PMTs in the same ring to understand.