Update on eg1dvcs part C analysis: DVCS and piO-DVMP

Daria Sokhan, Gavin Murdoch





CLAS Collaboration Meeting, JLab, 30th March 2017

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering

• GPDs can be interpreted as relating transverse position of partons to longitudinal momentum. Part of 3D imaging of the nucleon.

contain information on angular momentum of quarks

• Can be accessed in measurements of cross-sections and asymmetries in, eg: Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS).



$$Q^2 = -(e - e')^2$$
 $t = (p' - p)^2$

- $x \pm \xi$ longitudinal momentum $\xi \approx \frac{x_B}{2 x_B}$ fractions of quarks
- At high exchanged Q², access to four GPDs: E_q , \tilde{E}_q , H_q , \tilde{H}_q (x, ξ ,t)

Neutron DVCS



Eg1-dvcs experiment

Data taken: Feb – Sept 2009Longitudinally polarised targets:Beam: polarised electronsNH3 (95 days) $E_e = 4.7$ to 6 GeVND3 (33 days)

polarisation ~ 85% Proton / neutron pol. ~ 80 / 30 %

$$\vec{e} + \vec{d} \rightarrow e' + \gamma + n + (p_s)$$



Exclusive reconstruction of e', N, and γ . Spectator proton identified via missing mass.

high-energy forward photon detection



DVCS on different targets

n

F.-X. Girod et al, PRL. 100 (2008) 162002

Free proton





Free <mark>proton</mark> in nuclear medium

Quasi-free proton in deuterium and in heavier nuclear medium



Quasi-free neutron in deuterium and in heavier nuclear medium

Calculate DVCS on

a "free" neutron

MM

Particle ID – Electrons

- q and p from track-curvature through drift chambers in magnetic field.
- Separation from π : on basis of energy deposit in electromagnetic calorimeter (EC) and number of photoelectrons produced in Cerenkov counters (CC).



p (GeV/c)

cut p < 0.8 GeV/c cut E(in) < 60 MeV

Particle ID – Photons and Neutrons



• Forward, low-angle photons in additional Inner Calorimeter



Hits in IC with E deposit > 1 GeV



• **Photons:** *E* deposited in calorimeters.

IC: cut E < 0.3 GeV

cut low energy IC hits at < 6°

• Neutrons — in principle, could reconstruct thus: βm

$$E_n = \sqrt{m_n^2 + p_n^2}$$

$$p_n = \frac{\beta m_n}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}$$

But that's not ideal...

A closer look at the EC



• Divided into two groups of scintillator/lead layers:

Inner and outer.

Inner EC (simulation)

- For $\sim 45\%$ of neutron candidates, there is no energy deposit in outer EC.
- Neutron momentum incorrectly reconstructed:



Outer EC (simulation)

- For $\sim 35\%$ of neutron candidates, there is no energy deposit in inner EC.
- Neutron momentum incorrectly reconstructed:



In the case where E(in) = 0, path length needed a -20 cm adjustment (applied for the plots above).

Full EC (simulation)

- For ~20% of neutron candidates, there is an energy deposit in both stacks of the EC.
- Neutron momentum reconstruction is better but still not perfect:



Momentum (and therefore beta) is over-estimated only for a very small fraction of events.

Neutron hit position: inner EC

• Compare reconstructed neutron trajectory with generated:



Neutron hit position: outer EC

• Compare reconstructed neutron trajectory with generated:



Neutron hit position: full EC

• Compare reconstructed neutron trajectory with generated:



 Direction of the neutron is well reconstructed, wherever in the EC stacks it registers, to ~ 1.5 degrees.

Neutrons: beta cut

- Energy deposit utterly unreliable.
- Beta reconstructs correctly only in a fraction of cases (though it's reliable for photons!).
- Direction of neutron has the highest resolution (on the order of a degree).



Generated MC neutron momentum distribution (*before full neutron* selection cuts)

Max neutron momentum of 2 GeV/c corresponds to max beta of 0.9.



Photon beta peak has sigma ~ 0.023. Cut at 0.9 is well outside of this.

Neutron momentum

• Apply kinematics and conservation laws:

 $\gamma^* + d \to n + \gamma + p_s$

Energy and momentum conservation:

$$q + d = n + \gamma + p_s$$
where: $d = [m_d, 0, 0, 0]$
 $q = e - e' = [\nu, q_x, q_y, q_z]$
 $\gamma = [p_\gamma, p_{x\gamma}, p_{y\gamma}, p_{z\gamma}]$
 $n = [E_n, p_{xn}, p_{yn}, p_{zn}]$
 $p_s = [E_s, p_{xs}, p_{ys}, p_{zs}]$

Applying Taylor approximation:

 $E_s = (m_s^2 + p_s^2)^{1/2} \approx m_s (1 + \frac{p_s^2}{2m_s^2})$



Neutron direction cosines are known:

$$p_{xn} = p_n sin\theta_n cos\phi_n$$
$$p_{yn} = p_n sin\theta_n sin\phi_n$$
$$p_{zn} = p_n cos\theta_n$$
$$E_n = (m_n^2 + p_n^2)^{1/2}$$

Four unknowns $(p_{xs}, p_{ys}, p_{zs}, p_n)$, four equations (for each term in the fourmomenta): can be solved!

Neutron momentum

Solving the equations, one can arrive at the expression for the kinetic energy, T_n , of the neutron:

$$T_n = \frac{-(bc - 2a^2m_n) \pm \sqrt{(bc - 2a^2m_n)^2 - 4(b^2 - a^2)c^2}}{2(b^2 - a^2)}$$

where the "+" solution gives the correct answer and

$$a = (p_{x\gamma} - q_x) sin\theta_n cos\phi_n + (p_{y\gamma} - q_y) sin\theta_n sin\phi_n + (p_{z\gamma} - q_z) cos\theta_n$$

$$b = p_\gamma - \nu - m_d$$

$$c = (p_\gamma + m_n - m_d - \nu)^2 - (m_s^2 + p_\gamma^2 + q^2 - 2q \cdot p_\gamma)$$

Finally, calculate neutron and spectator momenta:

$$p_n = \sqrt{T_n(T_n + 2m_n)}$$

Adapted from Manuel Dieterle, Masters Thesis, Basel (2009)

Neutron DVCS in ND_3 – identifying reaction

Deep Inelastic Scattering cuts:

- $W > 2 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ where W is the missing mass of $(eN \rightarrow e'X)$, isolate resonance region of remaining γN
- $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ $Q^2 > -t$ Region where factorisation applies

Additional DVCS cuts:

- $E_{\gamma} > 1 \text{ GeV}$
- $p_n > 0.4 \text{ GeV/c}$

Recoiling nucleon should not have a low p



Compare reconstructing neutron momentum from beta and from reaction kinematics.

Exclusivity cuts: spectator

Use NH₃ data to subtract the nuclear background from the ND₃ distributions (normalised by Faraday Cup counts), purely for visibility.

• Missing momentum from $ed \rightarrow e'N'\gamma X$ should be low for spectator nucleon in quasi-free reaction: $p_X < 0.2 \text{ GeV/c}$

If neutron is assigned momentum calculated on the basis of beta:

• Missing mass of spectator from $ed \rightarrow e' N'\gamma X$:



Possible to place a cut: $0.5 < |m_X^2| < 2 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$

Exclusivity cuts: cone angle

 γ cone angle: Difference between calculated and measured γ direction

• Neutron momentum calculated from beta:



• Neutron momentum calculated from kinematics:





Exclusivity cuts: coplanarity

 $| \Delta \phi |$ Coplanarity between γ and N



• Neutron momentum calculated from beta:





• Neutron momentum calculated from kinematics:



After exclusivity cuts

Missing mass from $eN \rightarrow e'NX$ (should correspond to photon)

Neutron momentum calculated from beta: ullet





Neutron momentum calculated from kinematics:





After exclusivity cuts

Missing mass from $eN \rightarrow e'\gamma X$ (should correspond to recoil neutron)

• Neutron momentum calculated from beta:



Distributions shown after NH3 subtraction.

• Neutron momentum calculated from kinematics:



PiO electroproduction: Electron ID



PiO electroproduction: EC photon ID



PiO electroproduction: IC photon ID



PiO electroproduction: piO ID



Recoil reconstruction: p-pi0 on NH3

Try reconstructing neutrons from missing mass and neutron candidate direction, determine cut on basis of p-pi0. Start with pi0 electro-production on proton (part B data):

$$e + p \to e' + \pi^0 + X$$

Proton cone angle

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

0.5

Gavin Murdoch, Glasgow University

Cone angle: between calculated and measured nucleon direction.

Missing mass for pDVMP on NH



Recoil reconstruction: p-pi0 on ND3

Entries

 MM_X

2.5

MM_{ex^oX} [GeV/c²]

cone angle vs

392994

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

Next, check pi0 electro-production on proton from part C: Fermi smearing.

$$e + p \to e' + \pi^0 + X$$

Cone angle (between calculated and measured nucleon direction) vs MM(X):

Proton cone angle vs. missing mass for pDVMP on ND

1.5

Proton cone angle

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

0.5



Recoil reconstruction: n-pi0 on ND3



In summary

- Originally, reconstructed neutron fully from EC beta: very low stats, low resolution, large backgrounds, unclear where to place exclusivity cuts.
- Attempt to identify exclusive events via recoil hadron direction matching and missing mass, test in the case of pi0-production: Fermi-smearing masks peak, huge background and low stats.
- Calculate neutron and spectator momenta on basis of neutron direction: cleaner distributions, still very low stats.
- Next: systematic study of exclusivity cuts. Might not be possible to extract a pi0 measurement.
- Possible other channels, e.g.: $e + n \rightarrow e' + \pi^- + p$





A_{LU} in neutron DVCS on ND₃

v Beam-spin asymmetry (A_{LU}) :

One previous measurement from Hall A @ JLab, $A_{LU} \sim 0$. Big statistical and systematic uncertainties, slightly different kinematic (M. Mazouz et al, PRL 99 (2007) 242501)



Fit:
$$A_{LU} = p_0 \sin \varphi$$

$$\frac{N^+ - N^-}{P(N^+ + N^-)} \approx 0.20 \pm 0.05$$

Uncorrected for π^0 contamination, which has an asymmetry of its own!

A_{UL} in neutron DVCS on ND₃^{measurement}

v Target-spin asymmetry (A_{UL}) :



Fit:
$$A_{UL} = \frac{p_0 \sin \varphi}{1 + p_1 \cos \varphi}$$

$$p_0 \approx -0.30 \pm 0.14$$

 $p_1 \approx 0.195 \pm 0.134$

Uncorrected by the dilution factor due to the nuclear background!

Simulation: neutrons.



Distributions after exclusivity cuts.

generated *reconstructed*

A_{LU} – check on proton DVCS in NH_3 and ND_3



Fit: $A = \frac{p_0 \sin \varphi}{1 + p_1 \cos \varphi}$



Previously measured result on H_2 is in range 0.2 -0.3. F.-X. Girod et al, PRL. 100 (2008) 162002 $\frac{N^+ - N^-}{P(N^+ + N^-)} \approx 0.23 \pm 0.02$

Uncorrected for π^0 contamination

 \rightarrow actual A_{LU} larger!

Deuterium target – smearing due to Fermi motion requires wider data cuts. $\frac{N^+ - N^-}{P(N^+ + N^-)} \approx 0.16 \pm 0.02$

 π^0 contamination more significant \longrightarrow measured A_{LU} lower than on NH3