# BPM Calibration for GMp/DVCS experiments` 

Thir Gautam Hampton University

## On behalf of the DVCS Collaboration

DVCS Collaboration Meeting January 17, 2017

## Outline

- Motivation
- Hall A beamline and coordinate systems
- Beam position monitoring instruments
- BPM calibrations and results
- Conclusions


## Motivation

- Shift in vertical beam position cause change in spectrometer momentum
- The scattering angle at vertex depends on beam direction, which in turns depends on the beam position measurements


## Hall beamline transport



## Hall A Beam Position Monitors

- Two BPMs provides non destructive measurements when beam is present in the hall
- Each BPM consists of a cylindrical cavity housing of four wires ( $X_{p}, X_{m}, Y_{p}$ and $Y_{m}$ )
- The relative beam positions $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ along the axis of the wires is given by:

$$
X^{\prime}=k \frac{\left(X_{p}-X_{m}\right)}{\left(X_{p}+X_{m}\right)}, Y^{\prime}=k \frac{\left(Y_{p}-Y_{m}\right)}{\left(Y_{p}+Y_{m}\right)}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{k}=0.01887$


Positions in Hall A coordinate system

## Harp scanners

- Harps provides an invasive measurement of the beam position
- Consists of three wires: the first into the beam is vertical and the next two are at $45^{\circ}$ to the vertical
- They operates by moving differently oriented wires across a low current beam and reading out the induced wire signals
- They are routinely surveyed with respect to hall coordinate system




## EPICS and hall coordinate system

- Accelerator coordinate system are left handed system where all EPICS information are given
- Hall coordinates are right handed where all the survey information are given



## BPM calibration

- Idea is to convert the relative beam position from BPM to the absolute positions in hall coordinate system using positions determined by the harp scan.
- Need to find the calibration constants that give positions at BPM close to positions from harps.
- Estimated uncertainty on beam position is $\sim 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$


BPM Relative coordinates( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ )

Hall coordinates ( $x, y$ )



$$
\left.\binom{x}{y_{\text {Abso }}}^{c_{11}} \begin{array}{ll}
c_{12} \\
c_{21} & c_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}+\binom{x_{o f}}{y_{o f}}
$$

- It is an over tuned system (have 5 harp positions). So we use least square method to calculate the constants


## Calibrations for different run periods

Fall 2014 calibration: $\rightarrow$ Preliminary results of BPMB: https://logbooks.jlab.org/entry/3311886
$\rightarrow$ The harp next to BPMA was not operational and bull's eye scans were overlapping with one another
$\rightarrow$ No final BPM calibration

Left BPMA y vs x


Left BPMB y vs x


## Calibrations for different run periods

## Spring 2015 calibration:

LHRS
BPMA: - 0.7716110 .8349720 .8022780 .7872250 .0008598020 .000368513
BPMB: -0.744433 0.7652360 .8257680 .774278 -0.000325983 0.00162295
RHRS:
BPMA: -0.661529 0.7153920 .6807290 .6717650 .0002210950 .0017538
BPMB: -0.789031 $0.8089090 .8725210 .812793-0.00026796-0.000476752$

## Spring 2016 calibration:

LHRS

| BPM A: | -0.728829 | 0.760656 | 0.798555 | 0.746075 | 0.00138441 | -0.000184231 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BPM B: | -0.751747 | 0.774562 | 0.823933 | 0.761607 | 0.000742791 | -0.00128245 |
| RHRS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BPM A: | -0.73589 | 0.764353 | 0.805468 | 0.749956 | 0.00102968 | 0.00167268 |
| BPM B: | -0.75004 | 0.773907 | 0.826183 | 0.762423 | 0.000323344 | -0.000890677 |

# Calibrations for different run periods 

## Fall 2016 calibration (Oct):

## LHRS

| BPMA | -0.750796 | 0.723848 | 0.77656 | 0.72107 | 0.00143766 | $-6.81262 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BPMB | -0.624004 | 0.761298 | 0.659681 | 0.708074 | 0.000601645 | -0.000985787 |
| RHRS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BPMA: -0.759028 | 0.737819 | 0.787136 | 0.736787 | 0.00108179 | 0.0017238 |  |
| BPMB: | -0.636638 | 0.768906 | 0.673286 | 0.716724 | 0.000252628 | -0.000682291 |

## LHRS Fall 2016 calibration (Dec):

$\rightarrow$ Apparent position shift in the data-stream was found which followed the RF card replacement for BPM H04A
$\rightarrow$ Addressed by new bull's eye scan
$\rightarrow$ Analysis is still in progress due to harp encoder mismatch

## Conclusion

- BPMs are calibrated at different run periods
- Beamline databases are updated with new calibrations for each run period
- Analysis of bull's eye scans for Dec 2016 run is in progress


## Harp encoder

- The harp scan results in a text file consists of encoder positions and corresponding adc signals
- The encoder value needs to be translated to real world position
- The beam position is determined by the encoder positions where the peaks of the adc signals are
- Wires are moved across the beam path
- When beam hits the wire, a signal is detected by the PMT's positioned next to the scanner
- From the combination of size of the signal and the position of scanner beam position is determined


