

Lattice QCD 101

- § Lattice QCD is an ideal theoretical tool for investigating the strong-coupling regime of quantum field theories § Physical observables are calculated from the path integral $\langle 0|O(\bar{\psi},\psi,A)|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi \ e^{iS(\bar{\psi},\psi,A)}O(\bar{\psi},\psi,A)$ in **Euclidean** space
- Quark mass parameter (described by m_{π})
 Impose a UV cutoff discretize spacetime
 Impose an infrared cutoff finite volume
 S Recover physical limit $m_{\pi} \rightarrow m_{\pi}^{\text{phys}}, a \rightarrow 0, L \rightarrow \infty$ x, y, z x, y,

Nucleon Matrix Elements

Lattice-QCD calculation of $\langle N | \overline{q} \Gamma q | N \rangle$



§ Control all systematic errors:

- \approx Finite-volume effects $L \rightarrow \infty$
- ≈ Chiral extrapolations to physical u/d quark masses $m_{\pi} → m_{\pi}^{\text{phys}}$
- ≈ Extrapolation to the continuum limit (lattice spacing $a \rightarrow 0$)
- Nonperturbative renormalization using the RI/SMOM scheme
- Contamination from excited states
- ✤ Statistical effects



§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)

<i>a</i> (fm)	V	$M_{\pi}L$	$oldsymbol{M}_{\pi}$ (MeV)	t _{sep}	# Meas.
0.12	24 ³ × 64	4.55	310	8,10,12	64.8k
0.12	24 ³ × 64	3.29	220	8,10,12	24k
0.12	$32^3 \times 64$	4.38	220	8,10,12	7.6k
0.12	$40^3 \times 64$	5.49	220	8,10,12,14	64.6k
0.09	32 ³ × 96	4.51	310	10,12,14	7.0k
0.09	$48^3 \times 96$	4.79	220	10,12,14	7.1k
0.09	64 ³ × 96	3.90	130	10,12,14	84.7k
0.06	$48^3 \times 144$	4.52	310	16,20,22,24	64.0k
0.06	64 ³ × 144	4.41	220	16,20,22,24	41.6k
0.06	96 ³ × 192	3.80	135	16,18,20,22	52.5k

- § Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
- § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)a = 0.12 fm, 310-MeV pion
- ✤ Move the

excited-state systematic into the statistical error

$$C^{3\text{pt}}(t_{f}, t, t_{i}) = |\mathcal{A}_{0}|^{2} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}|0\rangle e^{-M_{0}(t_{f}-t_{i})}$$
$$+\mathcal{A}_{0}\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}|1\rangle e^{-M_{0}(t-t_{i})} e^{-M_{1}(t_{f}-t)}$$
$$+\mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}\mathcal{A}_{1} \langle 1|\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}|0\rangle e^{-M_{1}(t-t_{i})} e^{-M_{0}(t_{f}-t)}$$
$$+|\mathcal{A}_{1}|^{2} \langle 1|\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}|1\rangle e^{-M_{1}(t_{f}-t_{i})}$$

No obvious contamination between 0.96 and 1.44 fm separation



- § Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
- § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)a = 0.09 fm, 310-MeV pion
- ✤ Move the

excited-state systematic into the statistical error

 $C^{3\text{pt}}(t_f, t, t_i) = |\mathcal{A}_0|^2 \langle \mathbf{0} | \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} | \mathbf{0} \rangle e^{-M_0(t_f - t_i)}$ $+ \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{A}_1^* \langle \mathbf{0} | \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} | \mathbf{1} \rangle e^{-M_0(t - t_i)} e^{-M_1(t_f - t)}$ $+ \mathcal{A}_0^* \mathcal{A}_1 \langle \mathbf{1} | \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} | \mathbf{0} \rangle e^{-M_1(t - t_i)} e^{-M_0(t_f - t)}$ $+ |\mathcal{A}_1|^2 \langle \mathbf{1} | \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} | \mathbf{1} \rangle e^{-M_1(t_f - t_i)}$

 Much stronger effect at finer lattice spacing!
 Needs to be studied case by case





§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
 § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)
 a = 0.06 fm, 220-MeV pion



§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
 § A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)
 a = 0.06 fm, 220-MeV pion



§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
§ A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)
➢ Statistical effect (worst case) *a* = 0.06 fm, 220-MeV pion



§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
§ A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME) *a* = 0.06 fm, 220-MeV pion



Plots by Boram Yoon



§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
§ A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)

> Extrapolate to the physical limit





§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
§ A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)

> Extrapolate to the physical limit





§ Much effort has been devoted to controlling systematics
§ A state-of-the art calculation (PNDME)

> Extrapolate to the physical limit





FLAG rating system

PNDME, 1506.06411; 1606.07049





Beta Decays & BSM

§ Given precision $g_{S,T}$ and O_{BSM} , predict new-physics scales Low-Energy Precision LOCD input

Expt
$$\rightarrow O_{\text{BSM}} = fo(\varepsilon_{s,\tau} g_{s,\tau}) + (m_{\pi} \rightarrow 140 \text{ MeV}, a \rightarrow 0)$$



 $\varepsilon_{S,T} \propto \Lambda_{S,T}^{-2}$ Upcoming precision low-energy experiments LANL/ ORNL UCN neutron decay exp't $|B_1 - b|_{\rm BSM} < 10^{-3}$ $|b|_{\rm RSM} < 10^{-3}$ CENPA: ${}^{6}\text{He}(b_{GT})$ at 10^{-3} PNDME, PRD85 054512 (2012); 1306.5435; 1606.07049 $\Lambda_S > 7 \text{ TeV}$ $\Lambda_T > 13 \text{ TeV}$



Flavor Decomposition

§ Disconnected diagram

Multiple ways to calculate this notorious contribution...

Truncated solver, hopping-parameter expansion, hierarchical probing, ...





Strange Contribution

$\langle N | s \overline{s} | N$

> Purely disconnected contribution





Up/Down Contribution

$\{ \langle N | u \overline{u} + d \overline{d} | N \rangle$

 \sim Including the disconnected contribution

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}a + c_{4}e^{-m_{\pi}L}$$

$$g_{S}(a, m_{\pi}, L) = c_{1} + c_{2}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{3}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{4}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{4}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{4}m_{\pi}^{2} + c_{4}m$$



Huey-Wen Lin — The Proton Mass Workshop @ ECT*

Sígma Term

§ Convert to sigma term using FLAG 2+1+1f m_{ud}

35.5(2.2) MeV





§ Using Feynman-Hellman Theorem to get sigma term $M_N(a, m_\pi, L) = c_1 + c_2 m_\pi^2 + c'_2 m_\pi^4 + c_3 a + c_4 m_\pi^2 e^{-m_\pi L}$





§ Using Feynman-Hellman Theorem to get sigma term $M_N(a, m_\pi, L) = c_1 + c_2 m_\pi^2 + c'_2 m_\pi^4 + c_3 a + c_4 m_\pi^2 e^{-m_\pi L}$



§ Sea-flavor dependence: 2f vs 2+1f



§ Sea-flavor dependence: 2f vs 2+1f vs 2+1+1f



"b" Term

§ On Monday, Jianwei mentioned

♦ Quark mass contribution:

$$H_m = \int d^3 \vec{x} \, \bar{\psi} m \psi \qquad \qquad M_m = \left. \frac{\langle P | H_m | P \rangle}{\langle P | P \rangle} \right|_{\text{at rest}} = b \, M_p$$

♦ Trace anomaly contribution:

$$H_a = \int d^3 \vec{x} \frac{9\alpha_s}{16\pi} \left(\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{B}^2 \right) \quad M_a = \left. \frac{\langle P|H_a|P \rangle}{\langle P|P \rangle} \right|_{\text{at rest}} = (1-b) \frac{1}{4} M_p$$

 $|T_1|T_1|T_1|$

 $bM_p = 2m_{ud} \langle N | u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} | N \rangle + m_s \langle N | s\bar{s} | N \rangle = 80(16) \text{ MeV}$

 $\Rightarrow b = 0.086(17)$

➢ Quark-mass contribution to proton mass: 8.6%

§ Trace anomaly contribution: 22.8%



How about Nuclei?

§ Only A≤4 light nuclei are calculated on the lattice
 ➢ Assume isospin symmetry
 NPLQCD, 1306.6939

$$\sigma_{Z,N} = \overline{m} \langle Z, N | \overline{u}u + \overline{d}d | Z, N \rangle = \overline{m} \frac{d}{d\overline{m}} E_{Z,N} \approx \frac{M_{\pi}}{2} \frac{d}{dM_{\pi}} E_{Z,N}$$

➢ Express in terms of the nuclear binding energies *E* = *AM_N* − *B*➢ Impulse correction is $\delta \sigma_{Z,N} = \frac{\langle Z,N(gs) | \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d | Z,N(gs) \rangle}{A\langle N | \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d | N \rangle} - 1$

§ Lattice + physical data suggest effects are O(10%) or less





Backup Slides





Strangeness

§ Importance of g_S^s

> Strange-quark intrinsic-spin contribution to proton

Astrophysics application: the CCSN "problem"

3D explosions require $g_A^s \approx -0.2$

§ Global fit: $g_A^s \approx -0.1$ assumptions often used:

$$\Delta \bar{s}(x,Q^2) = \Delta \bar{u}(x,Q^2)$$
$$= \Delta \bar{d}(x,Q^2)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\Delta s^+(x,Q^2)$$

§ Lattice status

More players since the last Spin -0.15°_{0} $0.05^{\circ}_{0.05}$ M_{π}^{2} (Control of the last Spin M_{π}^{2} (Control of the last Spin M

Janka, Melson, & Summa (2016)



Fundamental Questions

Advanced computing makes it possible!

§ How does QCD bind (hyper)nuclei?



Quark EDM

§ Extrapolate to the physical limit PNDME, 1506.04196; 1506.06411 $a^d = 0.222(20) a^u = 0.774((()) a^s = 0.000(0)$

 $g_T^d = -0.233(28), g_T^u = 0.774(66), g_T^s = 0.008(9)$



would falsify the split-SUSY scenario with gaugino mass unification

the current limit and $4 \times 10^{-28} e \cdot cm$

\mathcal{PNDME}

Precision Neutron-Decay Matrix Elements

https://sites.google.com/site/pndmelqcd/

Tanmoy Bhattacharya Rajan Gupta







HWL









Saul Cohen Anosh Joseph



Yong-Chull Jang



Boram Yoon



The Trouble with Nucleons

Nucleons are more complicated than mesons because...

§ Noise issue ➢ Signal diminishe § Excited-state cor > Nearby excited s § Hard to extrapol $\gg \Delta$ resonance near ✤ Less an issue in t § Requires larger V ➢ Ensembles are no *≫* High-statistics:



The disappearance of X(750)

The Trouble with Nucleons

Nucleons are more complicated than mesons because...





Nucleon Axíal Charge





n-Lífetíme Díscrepancy

§ Neutron lifetime discrepancy?

 $\approx \tau_n = 980(50)$ s Using lattice g_A and V_{ud}

The Situation...Today

Slide by Geoff Greene





Others' Results



§ Flavor-dependent couplings, 1st moments of PDFs, ...
 ➢ qEDM by Cirigliano (this afternoon)



§ Updates from Lattice 2016

Quark and gluon momentum fraction

First moment of q/g parton distribution function: $\langle x \rangle_{q/g} = \int dx \, x \, F_{q/g}(x)$.



Connected insertion: u, d. Disconnected insertion: u, d, s, g

ETMC: [Vaquero, Thu, 17:30] $N_f = 2$ twisted mass with clover term,

 $m_{\pi} = 131$ MeV, $Lm_{\pi} = 3$, a = 0.093 fm. Stout smearing to reduce noise. Approx: 2000 (cfgs) × 100 (sources)



Sara Collins, Lattice 2016

Renormalisation: mixing between $\sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}$ and $\langle x \rangle_{g}$: 1-loop to $\overline{\text{MS}}$ at 2 GeV. $\langle x \rangle_{g}^{bare} = 0.318(24) \rightarrow \langle x \rangle_{g}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 0.320(24), \qquad (\langle x \rangle_{u} + \langle x \rangle_{d} + \langle x \rangle_{s})^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 0.72(11)$

Momentum sum satisfied: $\sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q} + \langle x \rangle_{g} = 1.04(11)$

Consistent with χ QCD quenched calculation [Deka,1312.4816].

Also computed: $g_A^{u,d}$, $g_T^{u,d}$, $g_S^{u,d}$.

46 / 49

