# Jets in Heavy Ion Collisions

7th Workshop of the APS Topical Group on Hadronic Physics

> 3 February 2017 Washington, D.C.



Dennis V. Perepelitsa University of Colorado Boulder



### Jets in LHC Run 1

#### dijet asymmetry vs. reaction plane



modification of multi-jet correlations

- Broad program of jet suppression and modification measurements since first dijet asymmetry in 2011
  - → how do we best make progress in Run 2?

### Jet physics during LHC Run 2





ALICE 2 76 TeV

 $10^{2}$ 

10<sup>2</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

### Extreme kinematic reach: hadrons

CMS charged hadron R<sub>AA</sub> in Run 2 Pb+Pb

- →  $R_{AA}$  → 1 at  $p_T$  > 200 GeV?
- confirmation of highp<sub>T</sub> behavior from ATLAS at QM?

### Extreme kinematic reach: jets



### Extreme kinematic reach: FF

#### increasing $p_{\rm T}$



Low and high-z excess becomes <u>systematically smaller</u> with  $p_{T...}$ 

➡ fragmentation functions for multi-hundred-GeV jets?

# HF jets: Run 1 status



- Demonstration of *b*-jet tagging in HI collisions by CMS, but:
  - ➡ Run 1 statistics → measurement of inclusive yield only

7

non-trivial contribution
from *gluon-splitting* late in parton shower





Q

Run 2 data will allow differential studies of b-jets



- Photon / Z grants external handle on initial hard scattering
  - tests absolute E-loss of balancing jet
  - ➡ can make "apples to apples" pp to Pb+Pb comparisons
  - selects quark-enhanced jet sample (flavor dependence)
  - no "surface bias"

#### high-energy jet

# EXPERIMENT

Run 168795, Event 7578342 Time 2010-11-09 08:55:48 CET

#### <u>Pb+Pb 2.76 TeV</u> <u>LHC Run 1</u>

 X
beams going into/ out of the page

#### no balancing jet



Run: 286834 Event: 124877733 2015-11-28 01:15:42 CEST Pb+Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV photon + multijet event  $\Sigma E_T^{FCal} = 4.06$  TeV

<u>Pb+Pb 5.02 TeV</u> <u>LHC Run 2</u>

> balancing jet?



### $\gamma$ +jet: $p_T$ balance (central events)

<u>ATLAS-CONF-2016-110</u>



- Measurements of  $x_{Jy} = p_T^{jet} / p_T y$  with large Run 2 statistics
  - systematic depletion of balancing jet  $p_T$  distribution
  - ➡ insight into absolute E-loss

# $\gamma$ +jet: $p_T$ balance (at large $p_T$ )



for 100-150 GeV photons, x<sub>Jy</sub> in peripheral events *similar to vacuum...* 

...indicates that fractional energy lost decreases?

### $\gamma$ +jet: $\Delta \phi$ balance, differential data



**Δφ** balance sensitive to largeangle (Rutherford) scattering off QGP quasi-particles

→ no evidence of deflection (for  $p_T^{jet} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ )

# Full suite of results vs. centrality and p<sub>T</sub>s

 differential constraints on energy loss models



- Nominal experimental advantages:
  - → lower photon- $p_T$  reach limited by finite purity
  - → probe quenching with fixed- $p_T$  but large  $Q^2$  jets
- However: existing Z+ and  $\gamma$ +tagged results are consistent within uncertainties... <sup>15</sup>



- Large  $\gamma$ +jet statistics open many opportunities, e.g.
  - implicit flavor difference between jets in the numerator and denominator
  - ➡ causes artificial features in, e.g. D(z) ratio
- Run 2 possibility: measure distribution of p<sub>T</sub><sup>hadron</sup>/p<sub>T</sub><sup>jet</sup>, but in photon-containing events

# Substructure: y-tagged jet FF



(Y. Mehtar-Tani, QM'15 talk) PLB 725 (2013) 357

Test of color coherence picture: *e.g. what if entire parton shower loses energy, but structure unmodified?* 



**γ**+<u>hadron</u> measurements can't distinguish E-loss from modification of fragmentation...

# Jet substructure: Zg



- Measurement of  $z_g$  quantity, which in vacuum is sensitive to first branching in the parton shower
  - sensitive to coherent or de-coherent energy loss of parton shower in medium
  - systematic modification vs. centrality at the LHC

### Jets in sPHENIX



- Collab. formed December 2015, Expt. received CD-0 in Fall 2016
  - extensive R&D and beam tests of core subsystems
  - physics goal: make analogous measurements at RHIC kinematics, where QGP is closer to transition temperature

# thank you!

1. extreme kinematic reach

2. HF + EW probes

*3. jet substructure* 



zg

Z