Phenomenology of Gluon TMDs

Cristian Pisano



di Trento ("Trint"), watercolor 19.8 x 27.7, painted by A. Dürer on his way back from Venice (1495). British Museum, London

Partons Transverse Momentum Distribution at Large x: A Window into Partons Dynamics in Nucleon Structure within QCD Trento Antil 11-15, 2016



72 4 1









- Definition of the gluon TMDs of the proton
 - Unpolarized TMD distribution f₁^g
 - linear polarization of gluons inside an unpolarized proton $h_1^{\perp g}$
 - Gluon Sivers function $f_{1T}^{\perp g}$

- Discussion of their experimental determination
 - ep collisions (EIC)
 - *pp* collisions (RHIC, LHC)

The gluon correlator describes the hadron \rightarrow gluon transition



Gluon momentum $p^{\alpha} = x P^{\alpha} + p_T^{\alpha} + p^- n^{\alpha}$, with $n^2 = 0$ and $n \cdot P = 1$

Definition of $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ for a spin-1/2 hadron

$$\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{n_{\rho} n_{\sigma}}{(p \cdot n)^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}(\xi \cdot P) \,\mathrm{d}^2 \xi_T}{(2\pi)^3} \, e^{i p \cdot \xi} \left\langle P, S \right| \mathrm{Tr} \left[F^{\alpha\rho}(0) \, U_{[0,\xi]} F^{\beta\sigma}(\xi) \, U'_{[\xi,0]} \right] \left| P, S \right\rangle \right]_{\xi \cdot n = 0}$$

Mulders, Rodrigues, PRD 63 (2001) 094021

U, *U*': process dependent gauge links transverse projectors: $g_T^{\alpha\beta} \equiv g^{\alpha\beta} - P^{\alpha}n^{\beta} - n^{\alpha}P^{\beta}$, $\epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}P_{\gamma}n_{\delta}$ Spin vector: $S^{\alpha} = S_L \left(P^{\alpha} - M_h^2 n^{\alpha}\right) + S_T$, with $S_L^2 + S_T^2 = 1$

Gluon TMDs The gluon correlator

Parametrization of
$$\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$$
 (at "Leading Twist" and omitting gauge links)

$$\Gamma_{U}^{\alpha\beta}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}) = \frac{1}{2x} \left\{ -g_{T}^{\alpha\beta}f_{1}^{g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) + \left(\frac{p_{T}^{\alpha}p_{T}^{\beta}}{M_{h}^{2}} + g_{T}^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}}{2M_{h}^{2}}\right)h_{1}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2})\right\} [unp. hadron]$$

$$\Gamma_{L}^{\alpha\beta}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}) = \frac{1}{2x} S_{L} \left\{ i\epsilon_{T}^{\alpha\beta}g_{1L}^{g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{p_{T\rho}\epsilon_{T}^{\rho\{\alpha}p_{T}^{\beta\}}}{M_{h}^{2}}h_{1L}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) \right\} [long. pol. hadron]$$

$$\Gamma_{T}^{\alpha\beta}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}) = \frac{1}{2x} \left\{ g_{T}^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\epsilon_{T}^{\rho\sigma}p_{T\rho}S_{T\sigma}}{M_{h}}f_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) + i\epsilon_{T}^{\alpha\beta}\frac{p_{T}\cdot S_{T}}{M_{h}}g_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) - \frac{p_{T\rho}\epsilon_{T}^{\rho\{\alpha}S_{T}^{\beta\}} + S_{T\rho}\epsilon_{T}^{\rho\{\alpha}p_{T}^{\beta\}}}{4M_{h}}h_{1T}^{g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{p_{T\rho}\epsilon_{T}^{\rho\{\alpha}p_{T}^{\beta\}}}{2M_{h}^{2}}\frac{p_{T}\cdot S_{T}}{M_{h}}h_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) \right\} [transv. pol. hadron]$$

- f_1^g : unpolarized TMD gluon distribution
- ▶ h₁^{⊥g}: distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside an unpol. hadron Mulders, Rodrigues, PRD 63 (2001) 094021
- ► $f_{1T}^{\perp g}$: *T*-odd distribution of unp. gluons inside a transversely pol. hadron Sivers, PRD 41 (1990) 83



 $h_1^{\perp g}$ is a *T*-even, helicity-flip distribution, and a rank-2 tensor in p_T

 $h_1^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2) \neq 0$ in the absence of ISI or FSI, but, as any TMD, it will receive contributions from ISI/FSI \longrightarrow it can be nonuniversal

In the transverse momentum plane $(h_1^{\perp g}$ taken to be a Gaussian):



The ellipsoid axis lengths are proportional to the probability of finding a gluon with a linear polarization in that direction

Extraction of $h_1^{\perp g}$ at a future EIC Heavy quark pair production in DIS

Ideal process:
$$e(\ell) + p(P) \rightarrow e(\ell') + Q(K_1) + \bar{Q}(K_2) + X$$

- the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair is almost back to back in the plane \perp to q and P
- $q \equiv \ell \ell'$: four-momentum of the exchanged virtual photon γ^*



 \implies Correlation limit: $|\boldsymbol{q}_T| \ll |\boldsymbol{K}_{\perp}|, \qquad |\boldsymbol{K}_{\perp}| \approx |\boldsymbol{K}_{1\perp}| \approx |\boldsymbol{K}_{2\perp}|$

Heavy quark pair production in DIS Angular structure of the cross section

 $y_1(y_2)$ rapidities of $Q(\bar{Q})$ in the $\gamma^* p$ cms; x_B, y : DIS variables

 $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{q}_T &\equiv \boldsymbol{K}_{1\perp} + \boldsymbol{K}_{2\perp} = |\boldsymbol{q}_T| (\cos \phi_T, \sin \phi_T) \\ \boldsymbol{K}_\perp &\equiv (\boldsymbol{K}_{1\perp} - \boldsymbol{K}_{2\perp})/2 = |\boldsymbol{K}_\perp| (\cos \phi_\perp, \sin \phi_\perp) \end{aligned}$



3D

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}y_{1}\,\mathrm{d}y_{2}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}x_{B}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{q}_{T}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{K}_{\perp}} \propto \left\{A_{0} + A_{1}\cos\phi_{\perp} + A_{2}\cos2\phi_{\perp}\right\}f_{1}^{\mathcal{B}} \qquad \left|\boldsymbol{q}_{T}\right| \ll |\boldsymbol{K}_{\perp}| \\ & + \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{T}^{2}}{M_{\rho}^{2}}h_{1}^{\perp\,\mathcal{B}}\left\{B_{0}\cos2(\phi_{\perp}-\phi_{T}) + B_{1}\cos(\phi_{\perp}-2\phi_{T}) + B_{1}'\cos(3\phi_{\perp}-2\phi_{T}) + B_{2}\cos2\phi_{T} + B_{2}'\cos2(2\phi_{\perp}-\phi_{T})\right\} \end{split}$$

Upper bounds on the asymmetries $R \equiv |\langle \cos 2(\phi_{\perp} - \phi_{\tau}) \rangle|$ and $R' \equiv |\langle \cos 2\phi_{\tau} \rangle|$



CP, Boer, Brodsky, Buffing, Mulders, JHEP 1310 (2013) 024 Boer, Brodsky, Mulders, CP, PRL 106 (2011) 132001

Polarized gluons and Higgs boson production $p p \rightarrow H X$

At LHC the dominant channel is $gg \rightarrow H$

 $h_1^{\perp g}$ contributes to the Higgs q_T -spectrum at LO $_{\pm 1}$



q_T -distribution of the Higgs boson

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{d\boldsymbol{q}_T^2} \propto 1 + R_0(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2) \qquad R_0 = \frac{h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_1^{\perp g}}{f_1^g \otimes f_1^g} \qquad |h_1^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)| \leq \frac{2M_\rho^2}{\boldsymbol{p}_T^2} f_1^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$$

Boer, den Dunnen, CP, Schlegel, Vogelsang, PRL 108 (2012) 032002 Boer, den Dunnen, CP, Schlegel, PRL 111 (2013) 032002 Echevarria, Kasemets, Mulders, CP, JHEP 1507 (2015) 158

Gaussian Model

With TMD evolution



C = +1 quarkonia

q_T -distribution of η_Q and χ_{QJ} (Q=c,b) at $q_T\ll 2M_Q$



Proof of factorization at NLO for $p p \rightarrow \eta_Q X$ in the Color Singlet Model (CSM) Ma, Wang, Zhao, PRD 88 (2013), 014027; PLB 737 (2014) 103



Study of $p p \rightarrow \eta_c X$ at NLO with TMD evolution (LHCb data) Echevarria, Kasemets, Lansberg, CP, Signori, in preparation



Advantage: study of the TMD evolution by tuning the hard scale Boer, CP, PRD 91 (2015) 074024

TMD Master Formula

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathcal{K}_{H}}{(2\pi)^{3} 2 \mathcal{K}_{H}^{0}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathcal{K}_{j}}{(2\pi)^{3} 2 \mathcal{K}_{j}^{0}} \sum_{a,b,c} \int \mathrm{d}x_{a} \,\mathrm{d}x_{b} \,\mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{aT} \,\mathrm{d}^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{bT} (2\pi)^{4} \\ \times \delta^{4} (\boldsymbol{p}_{a} + \boldsymbol{p}_{b} - \boldsymbol{q}) \mathrm{Tr} \left\{ \Phi_{g} (x_{a}, \boldsymbol{p}_{aT}) \Phi_{g} (x_{b}, \boldsymbol{p}_{bT}) \left| \mathcal{M}^{ab \to Hc} \right|^{2} \right\}$$

Higgs and jet almost back to back in the \perp plane: $|q_T| \ll |K_{\perp}|$

$$\boldsymbol{q}_T = \boldsymbol{K}_{HT} + \boldsymbol{K}_{jT}, \qquad \boldsymbol{K}_{\perp} = (\boldsymbol{K}_{HT} - \boldsymbol{K}_{jT})/2$$

 $|K_{\perp}|$: evolution scale, only $|q_{T}|$ of the pair needs to be small

At LO in pQCD the partonic subprocesses that contribute are

$$g g
ightarrow H g \qquad g q
ightarrow H q \qquad q \, \bar{q}
ightarrow H g$$



Quark masses taken to be zero, except for $M_t
ightarrow \infty$ Kauffman, Desai, Risal, PRD 55 (1997) 4005

No indications that TMD factorization can be broken due to color entanglement Rogers, Mulders, PRD 81 (2010) 094006 Focus on $gg \rightarrow Hg$ (dominant at the LHC). In the hadronic c.m.s.:

$$\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathcal{T}} = |\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathcal{T}}|(\cos\phi_{\mathcal{T}},\sin\phi_{\mathcal{T}}) \quad \boldsymbol{K}_{\perp} = |\boldsymbol{K}_{\perp}|(\cos\phi_{\perp},\sin\phi_{\perp}) \quad \phi \equiv \phi_{\mathcal{T}} - \phi_{\perp}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}y_{H}\,\mathrm{d}y_{j}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{K}_{\perp}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{q}_{T}} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\sigma} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\int_{0}^{q_{T_{\mathrm{max}}}^{2}}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{q}_{T}^{2}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\mathrm{d}\phi\,\mathrm{d}\sigma}$$

Normalized cross section for $p p \rightarrow H \operatorname{jet} X$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \,\sigma_0(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2) \left[1 + R_0(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2) + R_2(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2) \cos 2\phi + R_4(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2) \cos 4\phi \right]$$

$$\sigma_0(\boldsymbol{q}_T^2) \equiv \frac{f_1^g \otimes f_1^g}{\int_0^{q_{T_{\max}}^2} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{q}_T^2 f_1^g \otimes f_1^g}$$

The three contributions can be isolated by defining the observables

$$\langle \cos n\phi \rangle_{q_T} \equiv \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi \, \cos n\,\phi\,\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\sigma} \qquad (n=0,2,4)$$

such that

$$\langle \cos n\phi \rangle = \int_0^{q_{T \max}^2} \mathrm{d}q_T^2 \, \langle \cos n\phi \rangle_{q_T}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}^2 q_T} \equiv \langle 1 \rangle_{q_T} \implies 1 + R_0 \propto f_1^g \otimes f_1^g + h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_1^{\perp g}$$
$$\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle_{q_T} \implies R_2 \propto f_1^g \otimes h_1^{\perp g}$$
$$\langle \cos 4\phi \rangle_{q_T} \implies R_4 \propto h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_1^{\perp g}$$



Higgs plus jet production Models for the TMD gluon distributions

f_1^g : Gaussian + tail

$$f_1^g(x, p_T^2) = f_1^g(x) \frac{R^2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 + p_T^2 R^2}$$
 $R = 2 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$

$h_1^{\perp g}$: Maximal polarization and Gaussian + tail

$$h_{1}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) = \frac{2M_{p}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}}f_{1}^{g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) \qquad [max \ pol.]$$

$$h_{1}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) = 2f_{1}^{g}(x)\frac{M_{p}^{2}R_{h}^{4}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{(1+\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}R_{h}^{2})^{2}} \qquad R_{h} = \frac{3}{2}R$$

Boer, den Dunnen, NPB 886 (2014) 421



q_T -distribution

Configuration in which the Higgs and the jet have same rapidities



Effects largest at small q_T (hard to measure), but model dependent!

Azimuthal $\cos 2\phi$ asymmetries

Sensitive to the sign of $h_1^{\perp g}$: $\langle \cos 2\phi \rangle_{q_T} < 0 \implies h_1^{\perp g} > 0$



 $q_{T\max} = M_H/2$ $\langle \cos 2\phi
angle pprox 12\%$ at $K_\perp = 100 \; {
m GeV}$

Azimuthal $\cos 4\phi$ asymmetries



 $q_{T\mathrm{max}} = M_H/2$ $\langle \cos 4 \phi
angle pprox 0.1 - 0.2\%$ at $K_\perp = 100$ GeV

Gaussian model for the unpolarized TMDs



$$q_{ au_{
m max}}=K_\perp/2$$
 , $\langle\cos 2\phi
anglepprox9\%,~\langle\cos 4\phi
anglepprox0.4\%$ at $K_\perp=100$ GeV



Quarkonium $Q \equiv Q\bar{Q}[{}^{3}S_{1}]$ and isolated γ produced almost back-to-back den Dunnen,blue Lansberg, CP, Schlegel, PRL 112 (2014) 212001

- Accessible at the LHC : only the transverse momentum of the Q + γ pair needs to be small, not the individual ones
- Study of TMD evolution by tuning the invariant mass of $Q + \gamma$ (evolution scale)
- Color octet (CO) contributions to Q + γ likely smaller than for inclusive Q Kim, Lee, Song, PRD 55 (1997) 5429 Li, Wang, PLB 672 (2009) 51 Lansberg, PLB 679 (2009) 340

CO further suppressed w.r.t. CS contributions when $Q - \gamma$ back-to-back Mathews, Sridhar, Basu, PRD 60 (1999) 014009



TMD factorization approach, in combination with the color-singlet model, for $q_T^2 \ll Q^2$, with $q_T = K_{Q\perp} + K_{\gamma\perp}$, $Q^2 \equiv q^2 = (K_Q + K_\gamma)^2$

TMD Master Formula

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} \frac{d^{3} \mathcal{K}_{Q}}{(2\pi)^{3} 2 \mathcal{K}_{Q}^{0}} \frac{d^{3} \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}}{(2\pi)^{3} 2 \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}^{0}} \int dx_{a} dx_{b} d^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{aT} d^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{bT} (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{4} (\boldsymbol{p}_{a} + \boldsymbol{p}_{b} - \boldsymbol{q}) \\ \times \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \Gamma_{g}(x_{a}, \boldsymbol{p}_{aT}) \Gamma_{g}(x_{b}, \boldsymbol{p}_{bT}) \overline{\sum_{\text{colors}}} \left| \mathcal{A} \left(g \ g \to Q \bar{Q} [^{3} \mathcal{S}_{1}] \right) \right|^{2} \right\}$$



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}\mathrm{d}\Omega} \propto Af_{1}^{g} \otimes f_{1}^{g} + Bf_{1}^{g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g}\cos(2\phi_{CS}) + Ch_{1}^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g}\cos(4\phi_{CS})$

- valid up to corrections $\mathcal{O}(q_T/Q)$
- Y: rapidity of the $Q + \gamma$ pair, along the beams in the hadronic c.m. frame
- ► $d\Omega = d\cos\theta_{CS} d\phi_{CS}$: solid angle for Q γ in the Collins-Soper frame

Analysis similar to the one for $pp \rightarrow \gamma \gamma X$ Qiu, Schlegel, Vogelsang, PRL 107 (2011) 062001

The three contributions can be disentangled by defining the transverse moments

$$\mathcal{S}_{q_{T}}^{(n)} \equiv \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi_{CS} \cos(n\phi_{CS}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}\mathrm{d}\Omega}}{\int_{0}^{q_{T}^{2}\max} dq_{T}^{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\phi_{CS} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^{2}q_{T}\mathrm{d}\Omega}} \qquad (n = 0, 2, 4) \quad q_{T}^{2\max} = \frac{Q^{2}}{4}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{S}_{q_{T}}^{(0)} & \Longrightarrow & f_{1}^{g} \otimes f_{1}^{g} \\ \mathcal{S}_{q_{T}}^{(2)} & \Longrightarrow & f_{1}^{g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g} \\ \mathcal{S}_{q_{T}}^{(4)} & \Longrightarrow & h_{1}^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp g} \end{array}$$

Quarkonium + photon production Color Singlet vs Color Octet

Process dominated by gg fusion



CS yield is clearly dominant for the Υ , above the CO one for J/ψ at low QFurther suppression of CO contributions by isolating Q (not needed for Υ)

> Kraan, AIP Conf. Proc. 1038 (2008) 45 Kikola, NP Proc. Suppl. 214 (2011) 177

Quarkonium + photon production Results

 $Q = 20 \text{ GeV}, \qquad Y = 0, \qquad heta_{CS} = \pi/2$



den Dunnen, Lansberg, CP, Schlegel, PRL 112 (2014) 212001

f_1^g : Models for Unintegrated Gluon Distributions $h_1^{\perp g}$: predictions only in the CGC: otherwise saturated to its upper bound

 $\mathcal{S}_{q_T}^{(2,4)}$ smaller than $\mathcal{S}_{q_T}^{(0)}$: can be integrated up to $q_T = 10 \text{ GeV}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2.0\%\,({\rm KMR}) < & |\int \,{\rm d}q_T^2 \mathcal{S}_{q_T}^{(2)}| & < 2.9\%\,({\rm Gauss}) \\ 0.3\%\,({\rm CGC}) < & \int \,{\rm d}q_T^2 \,\,\mathcal{S}_{q_T}^{(4)} & < 1.2\%\,({\rm Gauss}) \end{array}$$

Possible determination of the shape of f_1^g and verification of a non-zero $h_1^{\perp g}$

Wilson lines and factorization breaking

- ▶ h₁^{⊥g} receives contributions from ISI/FSI (gauge links) which make it process dependent and can even break factorization
- It is possibile to define five independent h₁^{⊥g} functions with specific color structures. Depending on the process, one extracts different combinations Buffing, Mukherjee, Mulders, PRD 88 (2013) 054027
- ▶ In $ep \to e'Q\bar{Q}X$ and in all the processes with a colorless final state, $pp \to \gamma\gamma X$, $pp \to H/\eta_c/\chi_{c0}/...X$, only two $h_1^{\perp g}$ functions appear (in the same combination)
- ▶ In $pp \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}X$ and $pp \rightarrow \text{jet jet } X$ problems due to factorization breaking. Same holds for quarkonium production in pp in the CO and CEM models CP, Boer, Brodsky, Buffing, Mulders, JHEP 1310 (2013) 024 Mukherjee, Rajesh, arXiv:1511.04319



The Gluon Sivers Function Possible measurements at EIC, RHIC, LHC

• Direct extraction from A_N in $e p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e' Q \bar{Q} X$ and in $e p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e' \text{ jet jet } X$ (EIC)

D. Boer, P. Mulders, CP, J. Zhou, in preparation

• Single spin asymmetries for $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow \gamma\gamma X$ (RHIC)

Qiu, Schlegel, Vogelsang, PRL 107 (2011) 062001

Other observables can probe GSF in future experiments (AFTER@LHC, RHIC):

$$A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_S}$$
 in $p p^{\uparrow} \to \eta_Q X$ e in $p p^{\uparrow} \to \chi_{QJ} X$ per $q_T \ll 2M_Q$ al LO (CSM)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{UT}^{\sin\phi S}(\eta_Q) &= \frac{|\mathbf{S}_{T}|}{2(1-R_0)f_1^g \otimes f_1^g} \left\{ f_1^g \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp g} - h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1T}^g - h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp g} \right\} \\ A_{UT}^{\sin\phi S}(\chi_{Q0}) &= \frac{|\mathbf{S}_{T}|}{2(1+R_0)f_1^g \otimes f_1^g} \left\{ f_1^g \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp g} + h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1T}^g + h_1^{\perp g} \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp g} \right\} \\ A_{UT}^{\sin\phi S}(\chi_{Q2}) &= \frac{|\mathbf{S}_{T}|}{2f_1^g \otimes f_1^g} f_1^g \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp g} \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Sezione d'urto polarizzata per } p \uparrow &\to J/\psi + \gamma X \text{ al LO (CSM)} \quad [\phi \equiv \phi_T - \phi_\perp] \\ \hline \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{UT}}{\mathrm{d}y_\psi \,\mathrm{d}y_\gamma \,\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{K}_\perp \,\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{q}_T} &\propto \sin \phi_S \, f_1^g \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp \, g} + B \left\{ \sin(\phi_S - 2\phi) \, f_1^g \otimes h_{1T}^g \\ &+ \sin \phi_S \cos 2\phi \, [f_1^g \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp \, g} + h_1^{\perp \, g} \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp \, g}] + \sin \phi_S \cos 4\phi \, [h_1^{\perp \, g} \otimes h_{1T}^g + h_1^{\perp \, g} \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp \, g}] \\ &+ \cos \phi_S \sin 2\phi \, [f_1^g \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp \, g} + h_1^{\perp \, g} \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp \, g}] + \cos \phi_S \sin 4\phi \, [h_1^{\perp \, g} \otimes h_{1T}^g + h_1^{\perp \, g} \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp \, g}] \right\} \end{aligned}$

J. Lansberg, CP, M. Schlegel, in preparation

Assumption: Factorization and universality of TMDs (Test of the GPM) Motivation: New highly precise mid-rapidity RHIC data for A_N in $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow \pi^0 X$

 $\sqrt{s} = 200 \, \text{GeV}$



[PHENIX Coll.] PRD 90 (2014) 012006

Data for $A_N(p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow \text{jet } X)$ not included in the fit, but consistent with new bound [STAR Coll.] PRD 86 (2012) 032006

Estimate of the gluon Sivers function Analysis

$$A_N = A_N^{\text{quark}} + A_N^{\text{gluon}} \qquad \chi^2 = \sum \frac{\left(A_N^{\text{gluon}} + A_N^{\text{quark}} - A_N^{\text{exp}}\right)^2}{\sigma_{\text{exp}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{quark}}^2} \qquad (4 \text{ parameters})$$

 σ_{quark} : Estimated theoretical uncertainty on the quark contribution



Uncertainty bands: envelope of A_N curves generated by all parameter sets in the explored phase space giving $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{\min} + \Delta \chi^2$, $\Delta \chi^2 = (2\%, 10\%) \chi^2_{\min}$

Open issues: factorization, process dependence, relation to twist-three approach Boer, Lorcé, CP, Zhou, AdHEP (2015)

Estimate of the gluon Sivers function Results

Small, positive GSF, mainly constrained in the range 0.05 < x < 0.3 (SIDIS)

$$\Delta^{N} f_{g/p^{\uparrow}}^{(1)}(x) \equiv \int d^{2} \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \frac{k_{\perp}}{4M_{p}} \Delta^{N} f_{g/p^{\uparrow}}(x, k_{\perp}) = -f_{1T}^{\perp(1)g}(x)$$



D'Alesio, Murgia, CP, JHEP 1509 (2015) 119

Ref. [2]: Old bound on the gluon Sivers function Anselmino, D'Alesio, Melis, Murgia, PRD74 (2006) 094011_

Gluon TMDs could be directly probed by looking at p_T distributions and azimuthal asymmetries in $e p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e' Q \bar{Q} X$ and $e p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e' \text{ jet jet } X$ at a future EIC

In *pp* collisions, $h_1^{\perp g}$ leads to a modulation of the angular independent transverse momentum distribution of scalar (*H*, χ_{c0}, χ_{b0}) and pseudoscalar (η_c, η_b) particles

First determination of $h_1^{\perp g}$ and f_1^g could come from $J/\psi(\Upsilon) + \gamma$ production at the LHC. Other opportunity offered by $J/\psi + J/\psi$ production at the LHC J. Lansberg, CP, F. Scarpa, in preparation

Together with a similar study in the Higgs sector, quarkonium production can be used to extract gluon TMDs and to study their process and scale dependences

First extraction of the GSF f_{1T}^{\perp} from A_N data on $pp \rightarrow \pi^0 X$ (RHIC)