

Inefficiencies in simulation / analysis

How to deal with "imperfections": dead channels / calibration time evolutions

M. Ungaro

Detectors responces vary with run

Convolution of calibration / dead channels

In CLAS6 we averaged some quantities:

DC resolution:

gpp a,b,c parameters



FTOF resolution:

• f parameter

Detectors responces vary with run

Convolution of calibration / dead channels

In CLAS6 we averaged some quantities:

DC resolution:

gpp a,b,c parameters
WRONG: we "accused" the
DC of being responsible for
something they were not!
a,b,c = 1.5, even 2!!

FTOF resolution:

f parameter



Detectors responces vary with run



Sometimes we calculate "average inefficiencies" "Ok" if we take into account correlations.

Wanted:

Ability to do analysis in the both ways:

- "classical": average resolution, average inefficiencies.
 Constants are in one run.
- "advanced" calibrations / inefficiencies run by run.

"classical" way

-RUNNO=10 option

-N=100,000 generates:

100,000 events with constants from run number 10



- correlations between channels and detectors are automatically taken care of
- time evolution of calibration

Problem:

We have to generate events with statuses proportional to the number of events (or charge) for each run.



-N=100,000 generates:

10,000 with constants from run 2 60,000 with constants from run 13 20,000 with constants from run 22 10,000 with constants from run 30



-N=100,000 generates:

10,043 with constants from run 2 59,901 with constants from run 13 20,034 with constants from run 22 10,022 with constants from run 30 In reality we want to randomize this. So even 100 events is a true sample of 500 runs.



-N=100,000 generates:

10,043 with constants from run 2 59,901 with constants from run 13 20,034 with constants from run 22 10,022 with constants from run 30

- Run number put in header bank
- Events are ordered by run



TEST: FTOF paddle

-RUN_WEIGHTS="runs.txt" -N=100000

DB status "3"

for paddle 11 in run 13 for paddle 13 in run 22 for paddle 15 in run 30

TEST: FTOF paddle

-RUN_WEIGHTS="runs.txt" -N=100000

<pre>> Run weights</pre>	table loaded:	
- run: 2	weight: 0.1	n. events: 10043
- run: 13	weight: 0.6	n. events: 59901
- run: 22	weight: 0.2	n. events: 20034
- run: 30	weight: 0.1	n. events: 10022

DB status "3"

for paddle 11 in run 13 for paddle 13 in run 22 for paddle 15 in run 30

TEST: FTOF paddle

-RUN_WEIGHTS="runs.txt" -N=100000

> Run weights	table loaded:	
- run: 2	weight: 0.1	n. events: 10043
- run: 13	weight: 0.6	n. events: 59901
- run: 22	weight: 0.2	n. events: 20034
- run: 30	weight: 0.1	n. events: 10022

DB status "3"

for paddle 11 in run 13 for paddle 13 in run 22 for paddle 15 in run 30



gemc has "advanced" digitization

i paddle tres

paddle

∆ t (ns) Aean 1 ADC: 15.30 0.107 nteoral9.431e+04 Attenuation according to exponential law 0.5 Conversion from energy to ADC based on MIP signal (dEdxMIP=2 MeV/cm, countsForAMinimumIonizing=2000) 150 100 TDC: • Delay due to light propagation in the paddle 50 -1.5 (effective velocity) • Parameterized Time Walk paddle Gaussian time spread based on parameters that will be matched to data $(\sigma 2 = \sigma 02 + \sigma 12 / \sqrt{EPMT}$ Conversion Fitted value of par[2]=Sigma from time to TDC (time2tdc=20ns-1) paddle tres 2 0.14 Entries 62 Mean 33.43 $0.08 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}$ 0.12 RMS 17.62 Output: both "smeared" and "unsmeared" TDCs Underflow Overflow Integral 6.254 Status: 0 - fully functioning 0.06 1 - noADC0.04 2 - noTDC3 – noADC, noTDC(PMT is dead) 0.02 5 - any other reconstruction problem 10 20 30 50

Summary

- We can now use both "classical" and "advanced" mode of dealing with calibration / dead channels time evolution.
- "advanced" mode automatically takes care of correlations within a detector and between detectors
- "advanced" mode will produce a sample of events that is representative of a whole run period. Even 100 events for 500 runs.
- How: can use a spreadsheet with run / luminosity weight columns

2	0.1
13	0.6
22	0.2
30	0.1